



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. The study was conducted only in few patients and the simple statistics used was not fully described. It is most difficult to agree with the authors conclusions given the unexplained statistics.</p> <p>2. The sample size of the study population (Groups A, B, C) could not convincingly show significant statistics, especially between groups.</p> <p>2. Informed consent from each patient and IRB approval were not obtained.</p> <p>3. The detailed explanation of the the ayurvedic principal is interesting but it has no relevance on the outcome of the research or the conclusions of the authors.</p> <p>4. The manuscript was very poorly written and most difficult to understand.</p>	<p>I EXPLAINED THE RESULTS WITH THE HELP OF THE SCORE.</p> <p>I JUST OBSERVED THE IMPROVEMENT.</p> <p>I GOT THE CONSENT FROM VERBAL.</p> <p>I CHANGE THE CONCLUSION.</p> <p>I CORRECT IT.</p>