



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_42622 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A STUDY OF PATHOGENIC FUNGI CAUSING POST HARVEST LOSSES OF PINEAPPLE SOLD AT WUDIL AND YAN LEMO MARKETS OF KANO STATE |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | This research was conducted to determine the fungal pathogens responsible for post harvest losses of pineapple sold at Wudil and Yen lemo markets. A total of 391 isolates were counted during the study. There were isolated fungal pathogens belonging to <i>Aspergillus</i> species like <i>A. niger</i> , <i>A. fumigates</i> , <i>A. flavus</i> . <i>A. niger</i> had the highest frequency of occurrence of (50%). <i>A.flavus</i> was the second with (27%). And <i>A. fumigatus</i> had the least frequency of occurrence of (23%). The purpose of the paper is accomplished. The results are well presented and interpreted. The results could serve as a scientific base for the other determinations regarding to the quality of this vegetal material. The paper is written in according to the journal requirements. The last bibliographic indices should be standardized. | The Author agree with the reviewers comment. |
| Optional/General comments | | All the corrections pointed out have been fully effected. |

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>