



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49543
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential of entomopathogenic fungi as biological control agent of whitefly (<i>Bemisia tabaci</i> biotype B) (Genn.) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Manuscript is fine well written, no compulsory revisions.	Response: Thanks for the positive opinion. All suggestions were analysed and corrected
Minor REVISION comments	There are few, The sign if temperature °C, there should not be space between ° and C. In result and discussion in first paragraph, correct spelling of except . Check corrected manuscript attached.	Response: Indeed, there is no space between Celsius nomenclature and degrees centigrade. The grammar and spelling were already corrected.
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) No, there is not,	Thank you so much. Your suggestions and revisions helped us a lot.