



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Experimental Agriculture International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEAI_49631 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effects of rice husk biochar and calcium amendment on remediation of saline soil from rice-shrimp system in Vietnamese Mekong Delta: Results from laboratory experiment |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | A few photographs related to the experiment setup must be added before the final acceptance. | Thank you very much for the comment. We added a figure showing our experimental setup in the revised manuscript. Accordingly we changed figure numbers. |
| Minor REVISION comments | In abstract, the sentence "Our hypothesized was that the addition of biochar might improve the infiltration rate and more efficiently remove salts, in particular sodium, from the saline soil" should be recheck and write meaningfully. | We agree with this point. The sentence was changed to the following in the revised file: "Our hypothesis was that the addition of biochar might improve the infiltration rate, so removing salts more effectively, in particular sodium, from the saline soil". |
| Optional/General comments | nil - | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |