



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49882
Title of the Manuscript:	Spatial - temporal analysis of the use and soil cover in the Rio da Cruz microbasin of the semi-arid region of Paraíba, using remote sensing
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>On introduction epigraph, authors have to describe something about Remote Sensing (SR) techniques that they used on this paper, at least their names as a introduction.</p> <p>What reference is Anjos (2018)? It is not on references epigraph. Could be this one: <a href="http://docplayer.com.br/116911605-Universidade-federal-de-campina-grande-centro-de-saude-e-tecnologia-rural-programa-de-pos-graduacao-em-ciencias-florestais-campus-de-patos-pb.html">http://docplayer.com.br/116911605-Universidade-federal-de-campina-grande-centro-de-saude-e-tecnologia-rural-programa-de-pos-graduacao-em-ciencias-florestais-campus-de-patos-pb.html</a>? Take care with this kind of things on JCR journals</p> <p>Resolution of Fig. 2, 3, and 4 have to be improved. Some caption are not readable.</p> <p>On the text, Fig.4 is mentioned before Fig. 3.</p> <p>Table 2 is not cited on the text</p> <p>Fig 8 is mentioned but it is not on the text!</p>	The manuscript has been thoroughly modified
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>I suggest changing key words; they are not suitable or not specific at all (ex. geotechnology).</p> <p>In my opinion, when the authors want to cite one work of others, I would start: "For the Ministry of the Environment...". And I would put the reference number on the end of the sentence. This is mandatory on 3<sup>ed</sup> paragraph of page 2.</p> <p>Athos shall standardize the references [x] not [ x ]</p> <p>Translate the projection and source of fig.1</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Authors summarized another bigger paper, however there are some mistakes that have to be resolved.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	