



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_49984
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Soil Preparation and Layers on the Weighted Mean Diameter and Geometric Mean Diameter of a Red Distroferric Latosol
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Abstract should be well written again considering the format below: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Brief introduction</li> <li>Methodology</li> <li>Main Results (with numbers or percentages)</li> <li>Conclusion</li> </ol> </li> <li>No results and discussion on the considered parameters on: (a): Table 1. Soil chemical analysis of the experimental area and (b): Table 2: Soil granulometric analysis of the experimental area to be related/linked to weighted average diameter (WMD) and geometric mean diameter (DMG).</li> <li>There should be more elaboration on the results and discussion as it is too short and simple in its current write-ups.</li> <li>Conclusion too short it should reflect to the abstract and the summary of the find of the study.</li> <li>References should be written in line with the author guide</li> </ol>	The requested changes were accepted.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	