



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50059
Title of the Manuscript:	Importance of the Non-wood Products Market for the Socio-economic development of the Maceió Municipality, Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Excellent article with very relevant topic. Very well written and organized. The data presentation, analysis and references are totally adequate.</p> <p>However, it needs some minor typing and English version revisions. There are also some points in the text that need to be reviewed. They are highlighted in the manuscript and they need to be clarified or better explained to the manuscript, in my opinion, be accepted and published.</p> <p>I also include some words that, in my point of view, allow the reading of the text more fluent. But it is just a suggestion.</p>	<p>Thank you</p> <p>Noted</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	