



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50278
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTRACTIVISM OF THE UMBUZEIRO IN THE PERCEPTION OF THE FARMERS OF THE COMMUNITY RIACHO DA SERRA, IN SÃO JOSÉ DO SABUGI-PB
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In abstract there are a few unclear and vague sentences: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In first sentence it is not explained what kind of diagnosis is being done;</li> <li>- Sentence "Incomplete first grade (47%), followed by high school (40%)." is unclear.</li> </ul>	The manuscript has been modified
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	