



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_50278
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTRACTIVISM OF THE UMBUZEIRO IN THE PERCEPTION OF THE FARMERS OF THE COMMUNITY RIACHO DA SERRA, IN SÃO JOSÉ DO SABUGI-PB
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Environmental perception was characterized as a relevant instrument to stimulate popular participation; So that the exploration of the umbuzeiro is configured as an activity of generating employment and income, it must be conceived and accepted by everyone in the Riacho da Serra Community. The community should become more involved in the activities, expose their expectations, wishes, visions and opinions. The relevant contribution of the research was stimulated by encouraging the participation of the local community within a process with sustainable bases, considering that it, besides knowing the peculiarities of the environment in which it lives, must accept the exploration of umbu as a sustainable activity, so that negative impacts are minimized and potential positive aspects	The manuscript has been modified.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	