



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_49557
Title of the Manuscript:	AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL SECTOR DEVELOPMENT AND SAVINGS MOBILIZATION IN NIGERIA: ECM Analysis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<u>Unit Root Test</u> : Authors should use Group Unit Root Test by Pesaran and Shin {2001} as ADF is appropriate only for single variable interaction	Group Unit Root Test by Pesaran and Shin {2001} is usually applied in panel data analysis. In this study, the author employed time series analysis. Thank you.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Data range 1986 - 2014 in line 89 and line 559 conflict with the study range 1986 – 2017 compare to line 6 which claimed 1986 - 2017 2. References were duplicated in lines 611 614 for Ewetan O.O 3. References were duplicated in lines 619 and 622 for Gobna W.O 	Effectuated. Thank you
Optional/General comments	Some of the references did not comply with APA 6 style eg line 523 Wai U.T. [1992]	Effectuated. Thank you

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>