



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_49766
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Non-Financial Determinants on Indian Banking Stocks – An Empirical Analysis
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Statistical analysis is very simple 2. The value added to the knowledge does not exist 3. Literature are weak 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Elaborate statistical analysis is provided 2. The conclusion is modified 3. Some more literature review are added.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Formatting is not good (see Table No. 2.1) 2. There is confusion between discussion, results and conclusion 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Formatting is checked and done properly 2. The three items i.e. discussion, results and conclusion are represented separately
Optional/General comments	The study did not take into account the effect of the characteristics of banks in determining the independent variables	This study is limited to analyse the impact of external variables on Bank stocks performance only. Hence in that direction analysis was done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	