



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_50112
Title of the Manuscript:	Production of Biomass Briquettes using coconut husk and male inflorescence of Elaeis guineensis.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The Abstract needs to be re-written to reflect the Methodology, Results and Conclusion instead of splitting it. The equations were not numbered. The use of future tenses should be corrected. The research work had been carried out and should be reported in past tense.</p> <p>The particle sizes were not specified and this is very important in the analysis The paper needs to be properly overhaul to minimize some of the grammatical errors. Reference number should not preceding a sentence e.g line 10 of section 3.1 and many others.</p> <p>On page 3, 4th line "temperature" should be changed to rainfall</p> <p>The methodology was not quite adequate and needs to be improved upon, especially the production of briquette is not new. The results were well discussed and the comparism was well established.</p>	<p>All comments are necessary and agreed with the reviewers.</p> <p>All changes are now effected in the manuscripts.</p> <p>Thanks to the reviewers.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Major revision required	<p>The paper has been revised and make better.</p> <p>Thanks.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	