



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_48576
Title of the Manuscript:	ADSORPTION OF CYANIDE FROM CASSAVA WASTEWATER USING CALCINED AND ACTIVATED OYSTER SHELL ASH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Figure 2 corresponds to increase in dosage. With that how do the author conclude the optimum dosage value. Why do the author fail to carry isotherm and kinetics?	The research aim was to determine the adsorption parameters of the adsorption of cyanide from cassava wastewater. Therefore, isotherms and kinetics are for further research. The conclusion was based on the range of adsorbent dosage hat was used for the research.It can be included in further research
Minor REVISION comments	Consider stepwise revision for acceptance of this research work.	Noted
Optional/General comments	Introduction can be included with novelty of the manuscript	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) No	