



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_44870
Title of the Manuscript:	The Evolving Knowledge Management Adult Learner
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript is of potential interest to the readership of this journal, but there are major issues that must be addressed before the article could be published.</p> <p>1/* Research methodology based on research goals is poor on absent.</p> <p>2/* More recent bibliography is necessary. Furthermore, the reference list is a little bit weak.</p> <p>3/* The discussion should be more concise, and the outcomes should be discussed in relation to the existing research.</p> <p>4/* Recommendations should also be given for practice and further research.</p> <p>In preparing a revised manuscript, please also include a table of how you have responded to each of the issues listed above point by point.</p>	Correction done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	