



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_46268
Title of the Manuscript:	SCHOOL TYPE AND PRINCIPAL LEADERSHIP STYLES AS CORRELATES OF SCIENCE TEACHERS' JOB PERFORMANCE IN RIVERS STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author should re-write the manuscript again. There is an empirical data but it could not be presented in an order. Abstract: Should be summarized without giving too many statistical data. Introduction: It is like taken somewhere. There is not a logical order. And also no consideration about the research. Method: Should be simply identified. Findings: Should be explained in detail. Conclusion: Should be more understandable.	Thank you for your comment. We have modified the manuscript as per your suggestion.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The author should be more careful while writing the introduction part.	