



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_46000
Title of the Manuscript:	CIRCULAR ECONOMY: INCLUSION FRAMEWORK OF ALUMINIUM SCRAP IN THE INDIAN MATERIAL FLOW PROCESS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Literature Review lacked knowledge gap to be filled References highly inadequate, no publisher, no vol. nr, no issue nr, no page nr, etc In page 1 – 'scrap ratio of aluminium production" repeated In page 2 – "to towards sustainability" remove "to" In page 3 – "create value of 40 lakh crore in 2030" not clear the units In page 4 – "Due to envi pressure" "envi" not clear In page 5 – "The emissions are reduced 60 % with the third scenario." Is it "to" or "by" 60%? In page 7 – "the errors are 0.19%, for the years 2010 to 2015 respectively" not clear</p>	<p>Improved Rectified the error Modified Modified Environmental degradation By Correction done</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	