



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_49706
Title of the Manuscript:	Modal analysis and optimization of typical parts of 2K-V reducer
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction section is missing 2. Line 44 starts with section 1.2 3. Paper is not clearly written, it cannot be accepted in this form. I suggest authors to rewrite the paper. 4. Authors need to give details of FE Analysis. 5. Authors have only conducted modal analysis using FEA, resonance conditions are not discussed, which are very important. 6. Suggest to use some more references in this area of research to strengthen the paper 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. According to the reviewer's suggestion, it has been identified as "1. Introduction" on the introduction topic after the abstract and keywords. 2. Line 44 doesn't start with section 1.2, it starts with section 1, and the subtitle is 2K-V type reducer transmission principle. 3. According to the reviewer's suggestion, most of the paper has been recompiled 4. According to the reviewer's suggestion, the whole process of FE analysis runs through the entire article. 5. According to the reviewer's suggestion, the resonance conditions have been explained in the fourth section. 6. According to the reviewer's suggestion, more references have been cited.
Minor REVISION comments	Nil	
Optional/General comments	Nil	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	no