



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_49834
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the Optimization of Double Parameters of the Air Flow Resistance and the Permeability of Electrospun Nanofiber Nonwovens
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author has succeed writing a comprehensive overview of the Optimization of Double Parameters of the Air Flow Resistance and the Permeability of Electrospun Nanofiber Nonwovens. Relevant and effective introductions, then the paper stated update literatures well. The discussion and optimization of experiment results discussed within academic issue, but the author should clarified upon the issues within an conclusions. The conclusions is written basically to summarize or restate the main idea and take advantages of the fact within research.	The manuscript has been modified accrodingly
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	