



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_49758
Title of the Manuscript:	MODFLOW's River Package. Part 2
Type of the Article	Correction, combining analytical and numerical approaches Original research articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper is very well written overall. The methodology seems adequate and the manuscript is well structured. The proposed approach is not quite new and is based on many simplifications and assumptions. It may indeed ameliorate the Modflow model but remain in itself highly conceptual. The lack of the validation on a real case is the main weakness of this study.	Validation on a real case would be useful though itself not necessarily without a great deal of uncertainty. This has been addressed and discussed in a new section #6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	