



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_49887
Title of the Manuscript:	Asymptomatic Bacteriuria among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Care at the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital Accra, Ghana: A cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Material and Methods part can be elaborated with major focus on methodology and statistical analysis.</p> <p>Why was the questionnaire used for the basic demographic data acquisition? Since, a proforma can easily fulfil all the requirements.</p>	<p>Changes have been effected in these sections to improve the methodology of the study.</p> <p>The collection for demographic information has been incorporated as part of the procedure for urine sample collection. The earlier decision was taken because a form was actually designed to do that but integrating with urine sample collection procedure fits better.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Title of the study can be re-considered as the study is just a prevalent study while the title highlights a broad aspect.	I agree and the change has been effected, meanwhile, the topic was kept in that broad state because other methods like the urine chemistry and urine microscopy was used whether they could make a strong prediction but in all is ultimately a prevalent study and should be presented as such.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	