

Determinants of the use of the flowchart by registered nurses in the Kasa-Vubu Health Zone in Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo

Abstract

The purpose of this work was to identify the most important factors that contribute to the low use of the flowchart by registered nurses in the Kasa-Vubu Health Zone. Verify the existence of a relationship between these factors studied and the socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents. To this end, 105 RNs and their assistants were interviewed according to the pre-established questionnaire during the period from May to June 2017. The analysis showed that 60% of the respondents were under 40 years of age, 50.5% of the respondents were married, 80% of the respondents had more than 5 years of service and 89.5% had a graduate degree. In addition, 59.0% were performing nurses. In addition, there is a statistically significant association between the use of the flowchart and motivation ($p=0.014$), the importance given by RNs and performers in the therapeutic management of patients ($p=0.001$). Also, there is a statistically significant association between the systematic use of the flowchart and the education level during patient management ($p=0.000$). Finally, there is an association between the systematic use of the flowchart and the importance given to facilitating and/or detrimental factors ($p=0.001$).

In conclusion, socio-demographic factors, in this case seniority, education level and professional activity, factors related to the importance given to harmful/facilitating factors and motivational factors significantly influence the systematic use of the flowchart in the therapeutic management of patients in the Health zone of Kasa-vubu.

Keywords: Flowchart, Health Zone, Kasa-Vubu, Kinshasa.

1. Introduction

The "flowchart" concept is a tool that governs the organization of curative care at the Health Centre (HC) level, encompassing the following elements: reception, consultation, administration of care and laboratory [1]. It defines as a tool for Registered Nurses (RNs) to provide quality care on time and, if necessary, to refer for continuity of care as soon as possible.

The lack of use of the flowchart by RNs is responsible for the exacerbation of cases of morbidity and mortality in the various segments of the population, particularly pregnant women and children under five years of age. Moreover, in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), the poor quality of care, particularly in clinical cases due to the lack of use of the flowchart, exposes patients to serious or even fatal organic consequences.

The shortage of nurses in the services is part of a context where the development of the profession is expanding rapidly with the advent of specialized nurse practitioners (SPIs) and the redefinition of the roles conferred by the law 90. This requires increasing the quality and efficiency of health care and services. Among the information and communication technologies (ICTs) applied to the health field, the electronic health record is considered as the cornerstone for integrating a set of information useful for patient follow-up, including emergency data, immunization, medication, imaging and laboratory tests [2]. A study conducted in Cameroon states that the flowchart is a decision-making and vocational training tool. A good technique for handling flowcharts, observed in 10/16 nurses, is associated with their systematic initial use and with the standardization of care. Inadequate reading skills of dichotomous trees hindering their application in diagnostic decision-making. Retrospective analysis of 800 treatments recorded in the CS consultation registers shows an average rate of 75% of treatment standardization that varies from 52 to

53 98% depending on the CS. Three quarters of non-standardized treatments are ineffective and/or
54 inefficient [3].

55
56 Currently, as part of the decentralized management of primary health care (PHC), they are acquiring
57 renewed interest as tools for rationalizing and standardizing care. Thus, these two concepts are part of
58 the new health policy in Sub-Saharan Africa: rationalization is a condition for the implementation of the
59 Bamako initiative, contributing to the financing of health services, i.e. the payment of care (medicines by
60 the population requires the provision of affordable quality care) and the standardization of care in all
61 health districts in an integrated health district contributes to equity of care and allows rational
62 management of care and medicines throughout the district [4].

63
64 To reduce mortality and morbidity in the DRC, the minimum quality services defined in the Minimum
65 Package of Activities (MAP) should be provided to the population of the health area. In addition, among
66 these services are the benefit services that facilitate the management of morbid phenomena that occur
67 there.

68 In this problem, Kinshasa's RNs operate under very specific conditions, neglecting what would facilitate
69 their work in caring for patients, and this constitutes an unprecedented benchmark.

70
71 In view of the above, two questions arise:

72 - What are the main determinants of the use of the flowchart by RNs, their assistants and health zone of
73 Kinshasa executors?

74 - Is there a relationship between these determinants and the socio-demographic characteristics of the
75 study population?

76
77 This analytical study was conducted in order to identify the most important factors that would contribute to
78 the low use of the flowchart by health zone of Kasa-vubu RNs in Kinshasa Province City.

79

80 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

81 **2.1 Study area and type of study**

82 The survey was carried out in the health facilities of the health zone of Kasa-vubu offering preventive,
83 curative and promotional services. This study was analytical with a correlational estimate that aims to
84 explore the relationships between variables related to intention and seeks to determine whether the
85 variables involved are factually associated.

86

87 **2.2. Data collection**

88 Data collection was carried out during the period from May to June 2017. To do this, the population of this
89 study concerns RNs, their assistants and performers using the flowchart during the clinical consultation
90 within their respective CSs, all CSs in the said ZS being included.

91

92 The sampling of the study is non-probability for convenience. The sample size was established on the
93 basis of the number of participants per predictor variable in order to meet the statistical power in a
94 multiple regression analysis. XLSTAT2015 software was used to calculate the sample size. However, for
95 the parameters entered (with $\alpha=0.05$), an expected effect size of 0.13; a total of 4 independent variables
96 and a power of 0.90. Thus, the sample size required for this study was 105 RNs and their assistants from
97 ZSK were interviewed according to the pre-established questionnaire.

98

99 The data were collected using an interview guide. A Likert-type scale with seven answer choices was
100 used in the design of the items. The structured interview method for collecting information from subjects.
101 Descriptive analyses (frequency and percentage) were conducted to describe the sample profile.

102

103 Correlational analysis using the Pearson Chi-square test, the confidence interval of the Odds-ratio was
104 performed between the different variables of the study to determine the factors that explain the use of the
105 flowchart in diagnosis and treatment of patients.

106

107 **2.3. Data analysis**
 108 The data analysis was performed using SPSS version 20 software. Probability values less than 0.05 were
 109 considered statistically significant.

110
 111 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

112 **3.1. Socio-demographic characteristics**

113
 114 The socio-demographic characteristics of respondents of the current study is presented in the following
 115 table

116 **Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents**

Caractéristiques	n=105	%
Age		
Less than 40 years	63	60
40 years and more	42	40
Total	105	100
Marital status		
Married	53	50,5
Single	52	49,5
Total	105	100
Seniority		
Less than 5 years	21	20
More than 5 years	84	80
Total	105	100
Level of education		
High school	11	10,5
University	94	89,5
Total	105	100
Professionnal activity		
Registered nurse	21	20
Assistant registered nurse	22	21
Executing nurse	62	59,0
Total	105	100

118
 119 In total, 60% of the respondents were under 40 years of age while more than 50.5% of the subjects
 120 surveyed were married, while 80% of the respondents had more than 5 years of service. In addition,
 121 89.5% of the respondents had a degree. Finally, 59.0% were executing nurses, 21% were assistant RNs
 122 and 21% were RNs.

123
 124 **3.2. Use of the flowchart compared to the affective component**

125 The table below shows the use of the flowchart in relation with the affective component.

126 **Table 2 : Use of the flowchart in relation with the affective component**

Affective component	Use of flowchart		OR	IC _{95%} (OR)		χ ²	dl	p	Decision
	Low use n(%)	Systematic use n(%)		Lim<	Lim>				
High affective level	14 (41,2)	35 (49,3)	0,720	0,315	1,645	0,609	1	0,435	NS
Low affective level	20 (58,8)	36 (50,7)							
Total	34 (100)	71(100)							

128 **Legend : () : number of Registered Nurses, Assistant Registered Nurses and / or Performers who**
 129 **have used the flowchart**

130
 131 Only 58.8% RNs and performers reported having a low affective level to use the flowchart during their
 132 clinical consultation for better patient management. In addition, the bivariate analysis between the
 133 affective component and the use of the flowchart showed that there is a statistically insignificant
 134 association ($p=0.435$), the affective component: OR= 0.72[0.3-1.6]. However, there was no association
 135 between the use of the flowchart and the affective component.

136
 137 **3.3. Use of the flowchart compared to the motivation**

138 The use of flowchart in relation to the motivation is presented in the table below.

139 **Table 3 : Use of the flowchart in relation to the motivation**

Motivation	Use of the flowchart		n=105	χ^2	dl	p	Decision
	Low use	Systematic use					
	n(%)	n(%)	N(%)				
Moderate	1(2,9)	7(9,9)	8(7,6)	8,5 54	2	0,01 4	*
Average	17(50)	16 (22,5)	33(31,4)				
High	16(47,1)	48(67,6)	64(61)				
Total	34(100)	71(100)	105(100)				

140 **Legend : *Significant, () : number of RNs and executing motivated to use the flowchart.**

141
 142 The RNs and executing group was statistically different. For this, a stratification according to the
 143 motivation of therapeutic management was necessary because 50% of the respondents had an average
 144 hesitant motivation on the use of the flowchart in the management of patients compared to only 47.1 who
 145 had a high motivational spirit in the systematic use of the flowchart during the management of patients.

146
 147 In addition, the bivariate analysis between the use of the flowchart and motivation showed that there is a
 148 statistically significant association between the use of the flowchart and motivation ($p=0.014$ and one
 149 $ddl=2$). Thus, there is an association between the use of the flowchart and motivation.

150
 151 **3.4. Use of the flowchart in relation with the efficiency of treatment**

152 Table 4 shows the use of the flowchart in relation with the efficiency of treatment.

153
 154 **Table 4 : Use of the flowchart compared to the efficiency of treatment**

Efficiency	Use of the flowchart		OR	IC _{95%} (OR)		χ^2	dl	p	Decision
	Low use	Systematic use		Lim<	Lim>				
	n(%)	n(%)							
Low efficiency	13(38)	31(43,7)	0,799	0,346	1,842	0,278	1	0,598	NS
High efficiency	21(61,8)	40(56,3)							
Total	34(100)	71(100)							

156 **Légende : () : number of Registered Nurses, Assistant Registered Nurses and/or Executors who have**
 157 **used the flowchart.**

158
 159 As a result of this study, 61.8% RNs and executing nurses reported using the flowchart for reasons of
 160 effectiveness in the therapeutic management of patients. Indeed, the bivariate analysis between the use
 161 of the flowchart and the efficacy of the therapeutic management obtained showed that there is a

162 statistically insignificant association ($p=0.598$), efficacy: OR = 0.79[0.3-1.8]. However, there was no
 163 association between the use of the flowchart and the effectiveness of patient management.

164
 165 **3.5. Use of the flowchart compared to the importance given to facilitating or detrimental factors**

166
 167 The use of the flowchart compared to the importance given to facilitating or detrimental factors is
 168 presented in the table below.

169
 170 **Table 5 : Use of the flowchart compared to the importance given to facilitating or detrimental**
 171 **factors**

Importance given to facilitating or detrimental factors	Use of the flowchart		OR	IC _{95%} (OR)		χ^2	dl	p	Decision
	Low use	Systematic use		Lim<	Lim>				
	n(%)	n(%)							
Less important	5(14,7)	35(49,3)	0,177	0,062	0,510	11,66	1	0,001	***
More important	29(85,3)	36(50,7)							
Total	34(100)	71(100)							

172 **Legend : *Significant, ***highly significant**

173 () : number of registered and performing nurses who were motivated to use the flowchart

174
 175 For factors concerning the importance derived from the use of the flowchart, this analysis revealed a
 176 statistically very significant association between the importance given to factors that hinder and facilitate
 177 and the use of the flowchart in the therapeutic management of patients. Nevertheless, 85% of
 178 respondents consider the use of the flowchart in the medical care of patients to be too important.

179
 180 To this end, the bivariate analysis between the systematic use of the flowchart and the importance given
 181 to facilitating and/or harmful factors showed that there is a statistically very significant association
 182 ($p=0.001$), the importance given to facilitating and/or harmful factors : OR=0.1[0.06-0.5] and a ddl=1.
 183 Thus, there is an association between the systematic use of the flowchart and the importance given by
 184 ITs and performers in the therapeutic management of patients.

185
 186 **3.6. Use of the flowchart in relation to socio-demographic characteristics**

187
 188 The Use of the flowchart in relation to socio-demographic characteristics is given in the table below.

189
 190 **Table 6. Distribution of respondents according to the use of the flowchart in relation to socio-**
 191 **demographic characteristics**

Characteristics	Use of the flowchart		χ^2	p
	Low use n (%)	Systematic use n (%)		
Seniority				
Less than 5 years	11 (32,4)	10 (14,1)	4,795	0,029
More than 5 years	23 (67,6)	61 (85,9)		
Total	34 (100)	71 (100)		
Level of education				
High school	11 (32,4)	0 (0)	25,66	0,000
University	23 (67,6)	71 (100)		
Total	34 (100)	71 (100)		
Occupational activity				
RN	3 (8,8)	18 (25,4)	18,18	0,000
ARN	1 (2,9)	21 (29,6)		

EN	30 (88,2)	32 (45,1)
Total	34 (100)	71 (100)

192 Legend : RN : Registered nurse, ARN : Assistant registered nurse, EN : Executing nurse
193

194 For factors concerning the systematic use of the flowchart, this analysis revealed a statistically significant
195 association of the systematic use of the flowchart with the majority of characteristics, namely: level of
196 education, occupational activity and seniority.
197

198 As for the factor such as the level of education in the systematic use of the flowchart, it stipulates that the
199 more we have studied, the more we use the flowchart in the management of patients with 67.6% of
200 respondents. This analysis revealed a statistically very significant association between study level and
201 systematic use of the flowchart during patient management ($p=0.000$), study level: $OR= 4.0[2.8-5.8]$ and a
202 $dl=1$.
203

204 Moreover, the determinants of the systematic use of the flowchart in relation to the occupational activity, it
205 shows that the performing nurses systematically use the flowchart during the therapeutic management of
206 patients at 88.2% against 8.8% of the ITs who benefit from it during their consultation. Thus, the bivariate
207 analysis between the systematic use of the flowchart and the exercise of one's professional activity
208 showed that there is a statistically very significant association ($p=0.029$), seniority in the profession: $OR =$
209 $0.1[1.0-7.7]$ and a $ddl=2$. Thus, there is an association between the systematic use of the flowchart and
210 the professional activity exercised by nurses in the health zones during the therapeutic management of
211 patients.
212

213 In addition to factors concerning educational level in the systematic use of the flowchart and seniority in
214 the profession, it appears that nurses with 5 years or more in the profession find it more important to use
215 the flowchart during therapeutic management at 67.6%.
216

217 However, the bivariate analysis between systematic use of the flowchart and seniority in the profession
218 showed that there is a statistically significant association ($p=0.001$), the importance given to facilitating
219 and/or detrimental factors: $OR = 2.9[1.0-0.5]$ and a $ddl=1$. Hence, there is an association between the
220 systematic use of the flowchart and the importance given by ITs and performers in the therapeutic
221 management of patients.
222

223 3.7 Discussion

224
225 This study showed that 60% of the 105 respondents were under 40 years of age compared to 40%
226 between 40 and over. This may be explained by the fact that retirement in our country is too difficult, but
227 also the succession process is not customary.
228

229 In addition, 50.5% of the respondents were married and 80% had more than 5 years of service and
230 89.5% of the respondents had a degree compared to 10.5% who only had a secondary school diploma
231 (A2). Several studies have shown the effect of education level on the provision of quality care because
232 the more you have studied, the more material and expertise you have in patient care. The results of this
233 study differ from those found in Morocco by Aoufi H. in 2012, which stated that 87.5% were without
234 professions, 60.3% were illiterate and 74.3% were physically active (mild, moderate or high), 15.4% were
235 former smokers and 3 patients consumed alcohol. In addition, 46.3% of patients had a history of diabetes
236 in the family[5].
237

238 Then, 59.0% of the respondents were performing nurses, 21% were assistant ITs and 21% were ITs.
239 These results are consistent with those found by Kanika M., 63.8% of whom had A1 education, compared
240 to 11.6% who had a Bachelor's degree. Finally, the remaining 21.7% of respondents had an A2 level of
241 education and 2.9% were A3. As for professional experience and seniority in service, those between 5-10
242 years of age were 30.4% compared to 60.9% who were 11 years of age or older. In addition, 69.6% and
243 39.1% respectively had more work experience and seniority in the service[6].
244

245 Among the 105 respondents, only 58.8% of IT and performers reported having a low emotional level to
246 use the flowchart. It has been established that 41.2% of registered and performing nurses working in the
247 above-mentioned health zone at a high emotional level use the flowchart during their clinical consultation
248 for better patient management. In addition, the bivariate analysis between the affective component and
249 the use of the flowchart showed that there is a statistically insignificant association: $p=0.435$, the affective
250 component: $OR = 0.72[0.3-1.6]$. However, there was no association between the use of the flowchart and
251 the affective component. In addition, these results differ from a study conducted in Morocco by
252 Lamchahab.,F. et al. (2011). [7]
253

254 Of the factors influencing knowledge of the risks of diabetic foot, 40% were illiterate. This partly explains
255 the poor compliance with hygiene-dietary rules. On the other hand, the present results are perfectly in line
256 with those found in the United States, which have not shown an association between the level of
257 education and the diabetic foot ($p= 0.1$) Denis.J., Contandriopoulos, A. (2001). [8].
258

259 The results mentioned above reveal that 50% of respondents have a moderately hesitant motivation to
260 use the flowchart in patient management. This can be explained by the lack of knowledge about the
261 importance of this tool in medical management compared to only 47.1% who have a high motivational
262 spirit in the systematic use of the flowchart during patient management.
263

264 In addition, the bivariate analysis between the use of the flowchart and motivation showed that there is a
265 statistically significant association between the use of the flowchart and motivation, $p=0.014$) and a $ddl=$.
266 Thus, there is an association between the use of the flowchart and motivation. According to the Quebec
267 study on the determinants of intent of intensive care nurses in applying physical restraints to patients
268 mechanically ventilated by Parenteau.,M. (2011) points out that, in descending order, nurses consider
269 restraints to be a prudent (6.52 ± 0.71), useful (6.25 ± 0.90), advantageous (6.17 ± 0.98), reassuring (6.17
270 ± 0.94), respectful (4.14 ± 1.25) and rewarding (3.81 ± 1.13) intervention. In addition, some increase in
271 averages in the perception of control versus motivation was related to the accumulation of years of
272 experience[9].
273

274 Among 105 respondents, 61.8% IT and performers reported using the flowchart for reasons of
275 effectiveness of therapeutic management of patients compared to 38% who expressed low effectiveness
276 of therapeutic outcome by using the flowchart for best management. In a study conducted by Haegeman,
277 F. (1994) shows that the eleven nurses who left school have an average compliance score of 80%
278 compared to an average score of 63% for the other five nurses. [3]. Indeed, the bivariate analysis
279 between the use of the flowchart and the efficacy of the therapeutic management obtained showed that
280 there is a statistically insignificant association ($p=0.598$), efficacy: $OR = 0.79[0.3-1.8]$. However, there was
281 no association between the use of the flowchart and the effectiveness of patient management. The same
282 source suggests that there was a negative correlation between the number of previous professional years
283 without a flowchart and the standardization of treatments. This is demonstrated with a linear regression
284 coefficient $r=0.61$. In conclusion, this study suggests that nurses who have worked longer without a
285 flowchart apply it less well.
286

287 For factors concerning the importance derived from the use of the flowchart, this analysis revealed a
288 statistically very significant association between the importance given to harmful and/or facilitating factors
289 and the use of the flowchart in the therapeutic management of patients. Nevertheless, 85% of
290 respondents find the use of the flowchart too important in the medical management of patients compared
291 to only 14.7% who find the use of the flowchart as a tool in patient management less useful. To this end,
292 the bivariate analysis between the systematic use of the flowchart and the importance given to facilitating
293 and/or harmful factors showed that there is a statistically very significant association ($p= 0.001$), the
294 importance given to facilitating and/or harmful factors: $OR = 0.1[0.06-0.5]$ and a $ddl=1$. Thus, there is an
295 association between the systematic use of the flowchart and the importance given by ITs and performers
296 in the therapeutic management of patients. These results corroborate Haegeman's closes...F. (Op. cit.)
297 which stipulates that a positive association observed between the standardization of treatments and the
298 proper handling of flowcharts: the ten nurses who handle them well prescribe on average 84% compliant
299 treatments and the other six on average 60% (Kruskal-wallis test with two groups; p -value <0.01). Indeed,
300 the same association was found with a systematic initial use of flow charts: the eleven nurses who

301 reported having used them initially for each patient prescribe on average 83% of compliant treatments
302 and the other five on average 58% (Kruskal-wallis test with two groups; $p < 0.01$)[3]. For factors related to
303 the level of study in the systematic use of the flowchart, it appears that the more we have studied, the
304 more we use the flowchart in the management of patients by 67.6% of our respondents. This analysis
305 revealed a statistically very significant association between study level and systematic use of the
306 flowchart during patient management: p -value = 0.000, study level: OR = 4.0[2.8-5.8] and a ddl that is
307 equal to 1. Moreover, these results contradict those found by Haegeman F. (1994), whose eleven nurses
308 leaving school have an average compliance score of 80% compared to an average score of 63% for the
309 other five nurses (Kruskal-wallis test; $p < 0.05$). Thus, these nurses also handle flowcharts (reading,
310 interpretation) better (X^2 , exact Fisher, $p < 0.01$) [3].

311
312 Moreover, the determinants of the systematic use of the flowchart in relation to the professional activity, it
313 shows that the nurses performing the flowchart systematically use it during the therapeutic management
314 of patients at 88.2% against 8.8% of the ITs who benefit from it during their consultation. Thus, the
315 bivariate analysis between the systematic use of the flowchart and the exercise of one's professional
316 activity showed that there is a statistically very significant association ($p = 0.029$), seniority in the profession:
317 OR = 0.1[1.0-7.7] and a ddl=2. Thus, there is an association between the systematic use of the flowchart
318 and the professional activity exercised by nurses in CSs during the therapeutic management of patients.
319 These results can also be interpreted in the light of previous studies, despite the different objectives,
320 Essex (1975) finds, under optimal conditions, a concordance of more than 95% between diagnostic and
321 therapeutic conduct guided by the flowchart [10].

322
323 In addition to the factors concerning the level of education in the systematic use of the flowchart and
324 seniority in the profession, it appears that nurses with 5 years or more in the profession find it more
325 important to use the flowchart during therapeutic management at 67.6% compared to only 32.4% for
326 nurses with less than 5 years' professional experience. In addition, the bivariate analysis between
327 systematic use of the flowchart and seniority in the profession showed that there is a statistically
328 significant association ($p = 0.001$), the importance given to facilitating and/or damaging factors: OR =
329 2.9[1.0-0.5] and a ddl=1. Thus, there is an association between the systematic use of the flowchart and
330 the level of education of nurse consultants. Our results meet those of Fontaine (1987) cited by
331 Haegeman, and F. (1994) reveals that the three graduate nurses in the population of his study are less
332 adept at handling flowcharts (X^2 , Fisher exact, $p < 0.03$); the degree of compliance of their treatments is
333 comparable to that of registered nurses[3].

334
335 By the results proven by Daveloose, P. (1976) contradict our results by illustrating that nurses leaving
336 school have an average compliance score of 80% compared to an average score of 63% for the other
337 five nurses (Kruskal-wallis test; $p < 0.05$). In addition, these nurses handle the flowchart more efficiently:
338 (reading and interpretation) with one (X^2 , exact Fisher, $p < 0.01$)[11].

340 4. CONCLUSION

341
342 At the end of this study on the determinants of the use of the flowchart by CS ITs and their assistants in
343 the health zone of Kasa-vubu, 105 respondents were interviewed at the CS level.

344
345 At the end of various analyses carried out, at a risk of 5%, we confirm the alternative hypothesis that
346 socio-demographic factors: seniority, level of education and professional activity, as well as factors
347 relating to the importance given, harmful/facilitating factors and motivational factors significantly influence
348 the systematic use of the flowchart in the therapeutic management of patients.

349
350 According to the bivariate analysis, the variables where the association with the systematic use of the
351 flowchart when managing patients in the CS of the ZSK was statistically significant for some and very
352 significant for others:

- 353 - Socio-demographic factors: seniority, level of education and professional activity;
- 354 - Factors related to the importance given to factors that hinder and facilitate the use of the flowchart as a
355 tool for the therapeutic management of patients: minor and major importance;

356 - The motivational factors leading to the systematic use of the flowchart in patient management:
357 moderate, medium and high would allow the systematic use of the flowchart as a tool for patient
358 management during medical consultation.

359
360 Some nurses did not experience the effectiveness of using the flowchart as a patient management tool,
361 and others argued that the emotional component did not have the best impact by systematically using the
362 flowchart in the private and public sectors, but there was no association between the proven effectiveness
363 of the flowchart in patient management, the emotional component and the systematic use of the flowchart
364 as a recourse tool during medical consultation in the health centre.

365
366 This study is far from exhaustive because it did not cover all aspects of the use of the flowchart at the CS
367 level. However, it has identified determinants that favour the low use of the flowchart by ZSK ITs and is
368 drawing attention from decision-makers for improvement.

369
370

371 REFERENCES

372
373 [1]. Ministère de la santé congolais., (Minisanté., 2011). Ordinogramme des soins au center de
374 santé. Direction de développement des soins de santé primaires. Instructions for the use of
375 ordinogramme.

376 [2]. Fountain., D. (1992). Infirmier, comment traiter votre malade. harmattan: simani.

377 [3]. Haegeman, F., Ledecq, L., Wyffels, A., Damak, K., (1994). Evaluation of the use of
378 diagnostic / trachement equipment in the center of Nord-Cameroun. Service département de la
379 santé publique du diamaré. Cameroun

380 [4]. Audibert, M. et Roodenbeke, E. (2005). Application of services of santé de premier niveau
381 au Mali: Analyze the situation et perspectives. Région Afrique. Département du développement
382 humain. Région Afrique. Banque mondiale.

383 [5]. Aoufi., H. (2012). Les facteurs de risque du pied diabétique à la province de Tétouan: Etude
384 Cas-Témoin. Mémoire de fin d'études. Master in administration sanitaire et sante publique.
385 Ministère de la Santé. Institut National d'Administration Sanitaire. Royaume du Maroc. En ligne.
386 Consulter le 10/07/2017 à 20h sur le site: www.sante.gov.ma/departement/inas/index.asp

387 [6]. Kanika., M. (2015). Connaissances, attitudes and practices of health practitioners in the
388 prevention of nosocomial infections in the health services of the General Hospital of Référence
389 de N'djili. Mémoire inédit de License. ISTM / Kinshasa. DRC.

390 [7]. Lamchahab FZ, El Kihal AN, Khoudri AI, Chraibi A, Hassam B, Ait Ourhroui M. (2011).
391 Factors influencing the awareness of diabetic foot risks. Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation
392 Medicine.

393 [8]. Denis.J., Contandriopoulos, A. (2001). Intégration des soins, dimensions et mise en œuvre,
394 Revue transdisciplinaire en santé, ruptures vol.8.

395 [9]. Adovohekpe., P. (1991). The rationalisation des soins curatifs. Etude de quelques obstacles
396 à l'utilisation des ordinogrammes au bénin. Anvers. Institut de médecine tropicale.

397 [10]. Essix., B, (1976). Diagnostic pathways in clinical medicine.2nd ed. Edindurgh. Chirdill.
398 Livingstone.

399 [11]. DAVELOOSE, P. (1976). Etude méthodologique de la consultation de pédiatrie.
400 Establishment and evaluation of the diagnostic strategy used by the axillaires. Thèse de
401 doctorat. Anvers. Institut de Médecine Tropicale.

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

UNDER PEER REVIEW