DEVELOPMENT OF DRUDGERY REDUCING TOOLS FOR THE WORKERS ENGAGED IN FOOD PROCESSING ENTERPRISES

3 4

1 2

ABSTRACT

Food processing is a drudgery prone activity and exposes the workers to several 5 musculoskeletal discomforts. Present study was conducted to identify the most drudgery 6 prone activities in micro, small and medium scale food processing enterprises and thereafter 7 8 develop the tools to reduce the drudgery. For testing the feasibility of tools, 15 subjects were selected and they were allowed to work with and without the tool and their responses were 9 recorded. Results revealed that all the developed tools were acceptable by the subjects on 10 musculoskeletal factors, grip fatigue, physical stress factors, work output factors, tool factors 11 and acceptability factors. 12

13 Keywords: Discomforts, drudgery, food processing, manual material handling, workers.

14 INTRODUCTION

Processing of agricultural products makes the major industries in India (Patel and Ingle 15 16 2007). Workers in food processing enterprises face several health problems among which the 17 major one is musculoskeletal disorders (Smith 2004). Major cause of developing musculoskeletal disorders in food processing enterprises is the manual material handling 18 19 tasks performed by the workers. The processing of fruits and vegetables is the most complex 20 as it is done in various steps and manual involvement is high at every step. Especially in 21 small and micro enterprises due to lack of machinery, almost all the activities are performed 22 by workers. It is a skilled work so women involvement is more and maximum number of 23 workers are female.

The aim of ergonomics is to reduce the work related musculoskeletal discomforts by adopting the work to fit according to the person, instead of forcing the person to fit to the work (Mali and Vyavahare 2015). In all cases, the preferred method for preventing and controlling work related musculoskeletal discomforts is to design jobs, workstations, tools, and other equipments to match the physiological, anatomical and psychological characteristics and capabilities of the worker (Ramsey *et al* 2008). Therefore the present study was conducted with the following objective:

- 31 32
- To identify the most drudgery prone activities in food processing enterprises and develop tools for reducing their drudgery.
- 33 METHODOLOGY

The drudgery prone activities were identified in the food processing enterprises and tools were developed/ modified to replace the strenuous manual task either by mechanizing it or fitting the tool to the worker. For feasibility testing of the developed 37 tools, 15 physically fit subjects were selected purposively who were actively involved in 38 the selected activities. They were allowed to work with and without the tool and their 39 responses were recorded. Responses of the respondents were recorded using interview 40 schedule which comprised of different statements categorized under six main headings i.e. musculoskeletal factors, grip fatigue, physical stress factors, work output factors, tool factors 41 and acceptability factors. The responses of the subjects were recorded on 5 point scale. The 42 43 qualitative score were quantified by assessing scores i.e. strongly agree -5, agree -4, undecided -3, disagree -2 and strongly disagree -1. This scoring was done for positive 44 45 statements. For negative statements the scoring was as follows. Strongly agree -1, agree -2, undecided -3, disagree -4 and strongly disagree -5. The mean scores were calculated for 46 47 each category of statements and attained scores were calculated by summation of the mean 48 scores of different statements under each heading. The percentage of gained score was 49 calculated by using the following formula:

- 50Attained score51Gained score = ______ × 10052Maximum attained score
- 53 The overall scores were then classified as below:

< 40	Not acceptable
40-60	Needs modification
60-80	Acceptable
80-100	Highly acceptable

54 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- 55 The tools developed under the present study are presented as under:
- 56 **1. Pulp extractor**



57

58

Fig. 1: Side and front view of Pulp extractor

The pulp extractor (Fig 1) can be used to scoop out pulp of fruits like wood apple, watermelon and muskmelon. In micro and small scale enterprises respondents were extracting the pulp of wood apple for making *Sharbats*. There were no tools available to 62 extract the pulp. Respondents used the spatula or spoon to extract the pulp which did not had 63 any handle (Fig 2). Many times, their spoons broke during extracting the pulp which leads to 64 cuts in their palms. Therefore, there was a need to modify the tool used by them.. The pulp 65 extractor has a moderately sharp edge which assists in scooping the pulp without putting extra pressure on palm and fingers. The sharp edge is at the exterior side of the scooper so 66 that the workers can easily clean the scooper without any injury. It has a wooden handle 67 68 wrapped with slip proof material which provides proper grip while scooping (Fig 3). The 69 feasibility testing of pulp extractor was done on 15 subjects whose results are shown in Table 70 1. The modified tool was highly acceptable by the subjects on all the six factors i.e. 71 musculoskeletal stress, grip fatigue, physical stress, work output, tool factor and 72 acceptability.



				n=15	
Factors assessed	Maximum attainable score	Attained score (mean)	% Score gained	Remarks	
Musculoskeletal stress factor	50	47	94	Highly acceptable	
Grip Fatigue	25	24.2	96.8	Highly acceptable	
Physical stress factor	15	13.9	92.66	Highly acceptable	
Work output	15	14.1	94	Highly acceptable	
Tool factor	40	38.1	95.25	Highly acceptable	
Acceptability	15	14.8	98.6	Highly acceptable	

Table 1: Feasibility testing of Pulp extractor

75





84

86

85 Fig 2: Extracting pulp traditionally

Fig 3: Worker using Pulp extractor

Veg-multi-slicer 87 2.

UNDER PEER REVIEW



88 89

Fig 4: Diagonal view of Veg-multi-slicer

90 The Veg-multi-slicer can be used to cut vegetables in several pieces in one effort (Fig 91 4). Seven to eight strand of vegetables like baby corn, carrot, radish can be placed and cut in 92 a fixed size in one go (Fig 5). It is a useful tool for the workers engaged in micro, small and 93 medium scale enterprises as they were cutting number of vegetables either by holding several 94 pieces in hand (Fig 6) or on traditional chopping board (Fig 7) which required more effort. In 95 the newly developed Veg-slicer, the force got evenly distributed on all the pieces with less 96 effort. The feasibility testing of Veg-multi-slicer was done on 15 subjects and its results are 97 displayed in Table 2. Results reveals that it was found to be highly acceptable on 98 musculoskeletal stress factor, grip fatigue, physical stress, work output and acceptability 99 whereas was acceptable on the tool factor.

100 101

Table 2:	Feasibility	testing of	Veg-multi-slicer

- -

				n=15
Factors assessed	Maximum attainable score	Attained score (mean)	% Score gained	Remarks
Musculoskeletal stress factor	60	56.5	94.1	Highly acceptable
Grip fatigue	20	19.3	96.5	Highly acceptable
Physical stress factor	15	14.5	96.6	Highly acceptable
Work output	15	14.6	97.3	Highly acceptable
Tool factor	55	37.9	68.9	Acceptable
Acceptability	15	14.6	97.3	Highly acceptable

102



103 104

105 106

107

108

Fig 5: Cutting multiple baby corns with veg-multi-slicer



Fig 6: Cutting multiple baby

corns at a time by holding in hand



Fig 7: Cutting multiple baby corns at a time on chopping board

109 **3.** Shell cracker



110 111

Fig 8: Diagonal view of Shell cracker

112 Shell cracker helps in breaking the hard outer cover of fruits like wood apple (Fig 8). 113 Cracking the shell of fruits like wood apple or coconut was a tedious job in the micro scale 114 food processing enterprises. Workers used to break each fruit by hitting it on the ground for 115 multiple times. They used to hit each fruit for nearly eight to ten times on the ground to break 116 its shell (Fig 9). Therefore, for processing of hundred of fruits they hit for around eight 117 hundred to one thousand times with high intensity which put stress on their shoulders, hands, 118 palms and upper back. To reduce this drudgery, a tool was developed which would break the 119 shells by making simple hand movements (Fig 10). A jack was fitted in the base which was 120 operated with the help of a handle which breaks the shell in three to four hand movements 121 with less force. The fruits break into pieces with very less force (Fig 12) which previously 122 required high intensity of force on fingers (Fig 11). The results of feasibility testing done on

- 123 15 subjects are portrayed in Table 3. The tool was found to be highly acceptable by the
- subjects on all the six factors.
- 125 126

Table 3: Feasibility testing of Shell-cracker

				n=15
Factors assessed	Maximum attainable score	Attained score (mean)	% Score gained	Remarks
Musculoskeletal stress factor	60	58	96.6	Highly acceptable
Grip fatigue	20	19.5	97.5	Highly acceptable
Physical stress factor	15	14.5	96.6	Highly acceptable
Work output	15	12.5	83.3	Highly acceptable
Tool factor	60	56.7	94.5	Highly acceptable
Acceptability	15	13.9	92.6	Highly acceptable

127



Fig 9: Breaking the shell of wood apples by hitting on ground



Fig 10: Worker using shell cracker



Fig 11: Separating shells after cracking traditionally





Fig 12: Separating shells after cracking the shells with Shell cracker

128

129 4. Shifting trolley

130



Fig 13: Diagonal view of shifting trolley 131 132 Shifting trolley helps in moving the materials from one place to another (Fig 13). In 133 micro and small scale food processing enterprises workers generally preferred to work in 134 either shed or open area due to which they had to shift all the materials (Fig 14) from room to 135 the place of work which required several trips and awkward postures while lifting and 136 carrying the materials. For this purpose, a trolley had been developed which can accommodate all the materials required by them and can easily be moved by maintaining an 137 138 appropriate body posture. The trolley was equipped with hanging hooks and hanging bars 139 which to hang cutting/pealing tools and mats/sacks respectively. The feasibility testing of 140 Shifting trolley was done on 15 subjects whose results are presented in Table 4. The Shifting 141 trolley was highly acceptable on musculoskeletal stress factor, grip fatigue, physical stress 142 factor, work output and tool factor whereas, was acceptable on acceptability factor.

Table 4.1 casibility testing of Sinting froney				
Factors assessed	Maximum attainable score	Attained score	% Score gained	Remarks
Musculoskeletal stress factor	60	52.6	87.6	Highly acceptable
Grip fatigue	20	18.2	91.0	Highly acceptable
Physical stress factor	15	14.7	98.0	Highly acceptable
Work output	15	14.8	98.6	Highly acceptable
Tool factor	50	41.1	82.2	Highly acceptable
Acceptability	15	9.1	60.6	Acceptable

 Table 4 : Feasibility testing of Shifting trolley

144 145

143

UNDER PEER REVIEW



(a) *Patila* (b) Plastic crates (c) Sac for keeping wastes (d) Knife

146 147

Fig 14: Materials used by the workers in processing work

148 CONCLUSION

Workers in food processing enterprises faced several problems due to unavailability of tools. Maximum discomforts were faced in pulp extraction, cutting vegetables, shell cracking and shifting of materials. Four tools were developed to reduce the discomforts of respondents engaged in food processing enterprises. The results of feasibility testing shows that all the tools were acceptable by the subjects.

154 **REFERENCE**

- Mali S C and Vyavahare R T (2015) An ergonomic evaluation of an industrial workstation: A
 review. *Inter J Current Engg and Tech-5*: 1820-26
- Patel V S and Ingle S T (2007) Occupational noise exposure and hearing loss among pulse
 processing workers. *Environmentalist* 28: 358-65.
- Ramsey J, Dang B and Habes D (2008) Ergonomic evaluation of workers at a cabinet mill
 and assembly plant. Health Hazard Evaluation Report. Department of Health and
 Human Services. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Institute for
 Occupational Safety and Health, Pennsylvania.
- Smith T A (2004) Incidence of occupational skin conditions in a food manufacturing
 company: Results of a health surveillance programme. *Occupational Medicine* 54:
 227-30.