zones, Southeast Nigeria.

1

3

4 5

6

### 7

9

#### **&BSTRACT**

16

17

15

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

#### 1 .INTRODUCTION

under study.

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz.) is a perennial woody shrub with an edible root which grows in tropical and sub-tropical areas of the world. It has the ability to grow on marginal lands and can

Field Survey of cassava susceptibility to termite infestation as influenced

The field survey was conducted in 2016 in four selected Agricultural zones of Owerri,

Southeastern Nigeria namely Ezinnihite Mbaise (Zone 1), Owerri North (Zone 2), Mbaitoli (Zone 3) and Owerri West (Zone 4). A random selection of thirty cassava farmers from each of the study

areas was made. The sample size was made up of a total of one hundred and twenty (120)

respondents. Data were collected through structural questionnaire administration to the

respondents on the four selected zones and were analyzed using Descriptive Statistics such as the use of Percentages, Frequencies and Means. Result shows that cassava stems were more

susceptible to termite attack than tubers especially during dry periods. Equally cassava devastation by termite occurred mostly at planting where newly planted cuttings suffered most.

Also, the study reveals that termite infestation on cassava was more between January and May as

well as between October and December. On the other hand, respondents submitted that the use of chemical control either singly or in synergy with other non chemical means to control termites

appears to be very popular amongst respondents in the study area. Result also shows that youths and mature adults were actively engaged in cassava farming but majority of them were evidently

unskilled by virtue of their low literacy levels. Farmer education is recommended to enable the integration of pest/termite avoidance principle into termite control strategy across the zones

by time, scale and management strategy in selected Owerri Agricultural

- tolerate long dry spell (IITA, 2000) [1]. However, cassava does well on well drained, rich and friable
- loamy soils (Akinsanmi, 1987) [2].
- 29 In Africa, cassava provides a basic daily source of dietary energy and has gained popularity as one of
- the most important root crops in Nigeria especially in the Southern States (Nwokoma, 1998) [3].
- 31 Cassava is the second most important staple food in sub-saharan Africa and accounts for more than
- 32 100 calories per day in the diet of an individual (IITA, 1988) [4].
- 33 Cassava roots are processed into a wide variety of granules, pastes, flour etc. or consumed freshly
- boiled or raw. It is used in the production of starch, garri, 'foo-foo', wet and dry chips (Nwokoma,

- 35 1998) [3]. The fresh cassava tuber can be used considerably as a source of feed for livestock (sheep,
- 36 goats, cattle, pigs etc.). In many rural households, cassava peel is fed to domestic animals (Ihekoronye
- and Ngoddy, 1985) [5].
- In the traditional farming systems where cassava is usually one of the many crops being grown, pest
- 39 control is often given a low priority and so cassava receives minimal pesticide application. Under
- 40 such conditions yields are often low (Henry, 1995) [6]. Arthropod pests and diseases are major
- 41 factors causing this yield reduction (Belloti *et al.*, 1999) [7]. In the humid lowlands, the predominant
- 42 diseases of cassava include: cassava mosaic virus (CMV), cassava bacterial blight (CBB), cassava
- 43 anthracnose disease (CAD) and root rots. The major insect pests are cassava green mite (CGM:
- 44 Mononychellus spp.), elephant grasshopper (Zonocerus elegans L. and Zonocerus variegatus Thumb.),
- 45 cassava mealybug (CM: Phenococcus manihotis ), a wide range of rodents and termites (Hillocks and
- 46 Thresh, 2002) [8].
- 47 A survey of the distribution of termites in the country by Malaka (1973) [9] has revealed that certain
- 48 species are restricted to a particular vegetation zone while some are distributed all over the zones.
- 49 For instance, rainforest appears to have more dominant species than other vegetation zones. About
- 50 26 species have been recorded from the Guinea Savanna (ODM, 1997) [10] and of which only 10 are
- dominant. Altogether 120 species of termites have been identified in Nigeria (Logan *et al.*, 1992) [11]
- out of which only 20 damage crops and building
- However, a thorough survey on cassava susceptibility to termite infestation in relation to time and
- 54 management strategy has not received attention by our farmers in Owerri, therefore, the need to
- 55 carry out field survey of cassava susceptibility to termite infestation as influence by time, scale and
- 56 management strategy in selected Owerri Agricultural zones of Southeastern Nigeria forms the
- 57 objective of this study.

#### 1. Materials and Methods

- 59 The study was conducted in 2016 cropping season. It was carried out in Owerri Agricultural zone
- located at the South-western part of Imo State. Owerri is located between Latitude  $4^{0}$   $40^{i}$  and  $8^{0}$   $15^{i}$  N
- and Longitude  $6^0$   $40^i$  and  $8^0$   $15^i$  E (FDALR, 1985) [12]. It is of the humid tropics. It records means
- annual rainfall of about 18000 mm-2190 mm which spans from early March to October. The
- 63 minimum and maximum mean annual temperatures were 22.5°C and 31.9°C respectively with
- relative humidity of about 82.6%. (Nwosu and Adeniyi, 1980) [13]. The zone comprises ten Local
- 65 Government Areas, namely; Aboh Mbaise, Ahiazu Mbaise, Ezinihite Mbaise, Mbaitoli, Ikeduru, Ngor-
- okpala, Ohaji/ Egbema, Owerri Municipal, Owerri North and Owerri West. Farmers in the zone are
- 67 mainly small holders known for growing such arable crops as maize, melon, yam, cassava etc. (ISADP.
- 68 2000) [14].

- 69 Four out of the ten Local Government Areas were randomly selected for the study. The selected areas
- 70 are Ezinihite Mbaise in Owutu Community, Owerri North in Azaraubo Community, Mbaitoli in
- Obinnoha Community, and Owerri West in Obinze Community. These areas were selected based on
- 72 the quantum of cassava cultivation that was being carried out by farmers. A random selection of
- 73 thirty cassava farmers from each of the study areas who had admittedly been producing cassava for
- 74 the past ten years was made. The sample size was made up of a total of one hundred and twenty
- 75 (120) respondents.
- 76 Data was collected through structured questionnaire administration to the respondents on the four
- 77 selected local Government Areas.

78 All Data collected were analyzed using Descriptive Statistics such as the use of Percentages,

79 Frequencies and Means.

80

#### 3. Results and DISCUSSION

Table 1 indicates the degree of susceptibility of different parts of cassava plant to termites infestation

- 82 in the field. 50.00% (zone 4) and 43.33% (zone 1) proportion of the respondents under study claimed
- 83 that cassava stems tend to exhibit high susceptibility to termites infestation. Also, 33.33% (zone 2)
- and 20.00% (zone 1) of them accepted that cassava leaves were attacked by termites, while 6.67%
- 85 (zone 4), 3.33% (zones 1 and 2) and 0.00% (zone 3) agreed that cassava tubers were susceptible to
- termites infestation. In the same Table, 60.00% multiple responses from zone 3 claimed that optimal
- 87 termites infestation in cassava field was recorded on different parts of the plant.
- 88 Also, the distribution of the different levels of termite damage to cassava at various growth phases
- was presented in Table 2. Result reveals that 73.33% (zone 4) and 56.67% (zone 1) proportion of the
- 90 respondent sampled in the study area claimed that cassava incurred more damage from termites
- 91 infestation at planting (establishment) period. This was upheld by 50.00% (zone 2) and 36.67%
- 92 (zone 3) proportion of them. Equally, 16.67% (zones 1 and 4) and 23.33% (zone 1) of the
- 93 respondents agreed that termites cause economic damage to cassava at maturity and harvest periods
- 94 respectively. Also, the table shows the distribution of respondents by their regular observation in the
- 95 study area (multiple responses) where 53.33%(zone 3) and 36.67% (zone 2) agreed that attack on
- occurs at any period of its growth phase
- 97 The high susceptibility of cassava stems to termites attack as well as the plant's prone to attack at
- 98 planting period as claimed by respondents was in line with Onwueme (1978) [15] that termite
- 99 activities in cassava field are more devastating on the stems and at early stages of their development
- resulting to poor stand establishment.
- Table 3 presents the distribution of termite infestation in cassava field by time. 43.33% (zone 4),
- 33.33% (zone 1), 30.00% (zone 3) and 16.67% (zone 2) proportion of the respondents agreed that
- termites infestation occur from January to May, while 46.67% (zones 1 and 2), 40% (zone 3) and
- 104 50.00% (zone 4) proportion of them claimed that infestation takes place more in October to
- December. However, 20.00% (zone 1) and 13.33% (zone 2) of the respondents accepted that termites
- infestation occur in June to September
- 107 This claim that termite infestation on cassava was more extensive between January to May and
- between October to December, implies that optimal periods of termites infestation in cassava field
- coincides with periods of prolonged dry spell. This is in conformity with Taylor (1977) [16] who
- reported that termites attack the roots, tubers, young seedlings, shoots and stems of crops and their
- damage is more extensive during the dry season when the crops are weakened by water stress or
- 112 drought.
- Table 4 shows the distribution of economic loss from termites in cassava field by plant part. Majority
- of the cassava farmers sampled under the study area across the zones admitted that the greatest
- economic loss from termites infestation occur on the stems. 50.00% (zone 4) and 46.67% (zone 1)
- proportion of them believed that greatest economic loss on cassava by termites comes from the
- stems. On the other hand, none of the respondents in zone 1 (0.00%) and zone 3 (0.00%) agreed that
- cassava leaves exhibited any evidence of economic loss from termites infestation. However, 6.67%
- (zone 4) and 3.33% (zone 2) proportion of them accepted that cassava leaves suffered economic loss
- from termites. Equally, 26.67% (zone 2) and 16.67% (zone 4) of the respondents maintained that
- cassava tubers also showed marked evidences of economic loss from termites. In the same vain,

- 122 56.67% (zone 3) and 40.00% (zone 1) from multiple responses claimed that huge economic losses
- were recorded on different parts of the plant, while 26.67% (zones 2 and 4) proportion of them
- 124 concurred to this claim
- Higher economic loss from cassava stems as a result of termite infestation was described by Nweke *et*
- 126 al. (1994), [17] that cassava field planted early or late in the rainy season often have poor
- establishment record because termites feed on the planted sticks (cuttings).
- Result in Table 5 shows different methods of termites control measures employed by farmers in their
- cassava field. Majority of the respondents in zone 4 (30.00%) and zone 2 (60.00%) claimed to employ
- cultural and chemical methods of control respectively. On the other hand, 3.33% (zone 2) of the
- respondents employed Biological method. In the same Table, 73.33% (zone 3) and 43.33% (zone 1)
- of the farmers sampled agreed to employ two or more different methods (multiple responses) of
- control. This claim was upheld by 33.33% (zone 4) and 10.00% (zone 2) proportion of them
- Application of chemical control and other non-chemical means at different periods of time either
- singly or in synergy in the cassava field to control termites appears to be very popular amongst
- 136 respondents in the study area. Though chemical control is effective but most chemical control
- measures rely principally on the use of organo-chlorine insecticides such as aldrin, dieldrin, lindane
- etc. (Umeh, 2002) [18]. Unfortunately this type of control measure is no longer popular due to the
- associated environmental contamination and health hazards (PAN, UK, 2003) [19]. Any control
- measure that ensures adequate synergy of these methods and which promote the rapid growth of
- healthy crop is a suitable means for avoiding termites damage (Schmutterer *et al.*, 1978) [20].
- The Socio-economic characteristics of respondents were described in Tables 6, 7, 8 and 9 below. In
- Table 6, 33.33% (zone 2) and 16.67% (zone 1) of the respondents sampled under the study area were
- between the age group of 25-40 years, while 56.67% (zone 1), 46.67% (zone 2) and 36.67% (zones 3)
- and 4) were between 41-55 years. Also, 56.67% (zone 3) and 50.00% (zone 4) were between the age
- group of 56-70 years and 6.67% (zone 3) and 3.33% (zone 1) were between 71-100 years. However,
- the mean age group of respondents were 50.17% (zone 1), 45.83% (zone 2), 59.00% (zone 3) and
- 148 53.43% (zone 4).
- In Table 7, larger proportion of the cassava farmers in zone 2 (100.00%), zone 1 (90.00%), zone 4
- 150 (83.34%) and zone 3 (80.00%) sampled cultivated under farm size of 1-5 hectares (ha) while, 10.00%
- 151 (zone 1), 20.00% (zone 3) and 13.33% (zone 4) of them had farm size of 6ha and above. The mean
- land area were 3.5ha (zone 1), 3.00 (zone 2), 4.00ha (zone 3) and 3.57ha (zone 4). On the other hand,
- Table 8 shows that majority of respondents in zones 1 and 2 (70.00%) and zone 4 (60.00%) sampled
- were males, while 56.67% (zone 3) were females.
- 155 Apart from that, Table 9 indicates that 93.33% (zone 3), 70.00% (zone 1), 56.67% (zone 2) and
- 50.00% (zone 4) of the respondents sampled acquired non-degree educational training. However,
- 36.67% (zone 2) and 26.67% (zone 1) of them obtained Bachelor of Science Degree, while 30.00%
- 158 (zone 4) had Master of Science Degree.
- Different ages and mean age of respondents sampled found to fall between the range of 25-70 years
- and 59.00 years respectively, implies that majority of them were at their productive age group. It also
- shows that youths and mature adults are actively involved in cassava production. Equally, the
- indication that majority of the respondents cultivated under land area of 1-5 ha and on mean land
- area of 4.00 ha across the zones, showed that land as a productive resource was not a constraint in
- the study area. Also, on the gender balancing and participation, majority of the respondents were
- males. This is probably because traditionally, men have right to land than women. Quisumbing

(1994) [21] opined that there has been a great disparity between women and men in the size of landholdings. Apart from that, majority of the respondents sampled were found to be literate but obtained certificates other than Degree. This implies that larger proportion of them were primarily un-skilled.

#### **Conclusion/Recommendation**

Termite infestation in cassava field and their subsequent attack were more severe during dry periods than in wet season. However, cassava stems appeared to be more susceptible to attack than the tubers. On the other hand, farmers in the study areas combined chemical and cultural means as method of controlling termites in their cassava field.

Equally, the study indicated that youths and mature adults actively engaged in cassava farming. However, greater proportion of the respondents agreed that land as a productive resource was not a limiting factor. Finally, majority of the farmers sampled were primarily unskilled evidenced in their low literacy level.

Farmers in a termite endemic area such as Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria are advised to be conscious of the two extremes of heavy termite infestation by ensuring that planting of cassava is not carried out between January and May. Also harvesting of cassava tubers should not be delayed up to October through December in the season. However, the study strictly recommends that farmers under this condition should adopt late planting and early harvesting options.

Also, Farmers through the acquisition of qualitative education can aptly adopt the use of pest avoidance strategy in such a manner that sound and sustainable peculiar termite control package across the zones under study can be achieved. This will not only be efficacious in reducing termite load and damage on cassava but also economical in application.

Table 1: Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Plant Parts Most Susceptible to Attack by Termites According to Zones

Plant Part	Zone 1 Frequency Percent	y	Zone 2 Frequence Percent	cy .	Zone 3 Frequenc Percent	ry .	Zone 4 Frequence Percent	у
		(%)		(%)		(%)		(%)
Stems	13.00	43.33	9.00	30.00	10.00	33.33	15.00	50.00
Leaves	6.00	20.00	10.00	33.33	2.00	6.67	1.00	3.33
Tubers	1.00	3.33	1.00	3.33	0.00	0.00	2.00	6.67
All Parts	3.00	10.00	3.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	16.67
Multiple								
rep.	7.00	23.34	7.00	23.34	18.00	60.00	7.00	23.33
Total	30	100	30	100	30	100	30	100

190 Source: Computed from Field Survey Data (2016).

# Table 2: Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Damage at Different Plant Growth Phases According to Zone

Growth phase	Zone 1 Frequenc Percent	Frequency		Zone 2 Frequency Percent		Zone 3 Frequency Percent		7
		(%)		(%)		(%)		(%)
planting	17.00	56.67	15.00	50.00	11.00	36.67	22.00	73.33
maturity	5.00	16.67	4.00	13.33	3.00	10.00	5.00	16.67
harvest	7.00	23.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.00	10.00
multiple rep	1.00	3.33	11.00	36.67	16.00	53.33	0.00	0.00
Total	30	100	30	100	30	100	30	100

193 Source: Computed from Field Survey Data (2016).

194

198

Table 3: Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Termites Infestation by Time According to
 Zones

Time (months)	Zone 1 Frequency Percent	y	Zone 2 Frequence Percent	:y	Zone 3 Frequence Percent	cy	Zone 4 Frequency Percent	7
		(%)		(%)		(%)		(%)
Jan – May	10.00	33.33	5.00	16.67	9.00	30.00	13.00	43.33
June – Sept	6.00	20.00	4.00	13.33	0.00	0.00	2.00	6.67
Oct – Dec	14.00	46.67	14.00	46.67	12.00	40.00	15.00	50.00
Multiple								
rep.	0.00	0.00	7.00	23.33	9.00	30.00	0.00	0.00
Total	30	100	30	100	30	100	30	100

197 Source: Computed from Field Survey Data (2016).

Table 4: Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Economic Loss from Termites by Plant Part
 According to Zones

Plant part	Zone 1 Plant part Frequency Percent		Zone 2 Frequency Percent		Zone 3 Frequency Percent		Zone 4 Frequency Percent	
		(%)		(%)		(%)		(%)
Stems	14.00	46.67	13.00	43.33	10.00	33.33	15.00	50.00
Leaves	0.00	0.00	1.00	3.33	0.00	0.00	2.00	6.67
Tubers	4.00	13.33	8.00	26.67	3.00	10.00	5.00	16.67
Multiple	12.00	40.00	8.00	26.67	17.00	56.67	8.00	26.66

rep.

Total	30	100	30	100	30	100	30	100	

201 Source: Computed from Field Survey Data (2016)

202

Table 5: Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Control Measures in Use According to Zones

	_	ne 1	_	Zone 2		one 3	Zo Frequenc	ne 4
Control Method	Frequency Percent		Frequenc Percent	Frequency Percent		Frequency Percent		y
		(%)		(%)		(%)	Percent	(%)
Cultural	8.00	26.67	5.00	16.67	5.00	16.67	9.00	30.00
Chemical	6.00	20.00	18.00	60.00	1.00	3.33	7.00	23.34
Biological	0.00	0.00	1.00	3.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
All	2.00	6.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.00	13.33
Multiple								
rep.	13.00	43.33	3.00	10.00	22.00	73.33	10.00	33.33
Others	1.00	3.33	3.00	10.00	2.00	6.67	0.00	0.00
Total	30	100	30	100	30	100	30	100

205 Source: Computed from Field Survey Data (2016).

206

207

208 Table 6: Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Farmers by Age According to Zones

		Zone 1		7	Zone 2	Z	one 3	Zo	ne 4
Age Farmers	of	Frequenc Percent	y	Frequence Percent	Frequency Percent		cy	Frequency Percent	
			(%)		(%)		(%)		(%)
25 – 40		5.00	16.67	10.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	4.00	13.33
41 – 50		17.00	56.67	14.00	46.67	11.00	36.67	11.00	36.67
56 – 70		7.00	23.33	6.00	20.00	17.00	56.66	15.00	50.00
71 – 100		1.00	3.33	0.00	0.00	2.00	6.67	0.00	0.00
Total		30	100	30	100	30	100	30	100
Mean			50.17		45.83		59		53.43

209 Source: Computed from Field Survey Data (2016).

Table 7: Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Farmers by Farm Size According to Zones

	Z	Zone 1		Zone 2	7	one 3	Zo	one 4	
Farm Size	Frequen	су	Frequen	Frequency		Frequency		<b>cy</b>	
(ha)	Percent		Percent		Percent		Percent		
		(%)		(%)		(%)		(%)	
1 – 5	27.00	90.00	30.00	100.00	24.00	80.00	25.00	83.34	
6 – 10	3.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	6.00	20.00	4.00	13.33	
11 - 15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
16 - 20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	3.33	
Total	30	100	30	100	30	100	30	100	
Mean		3.5		3		4		3.57	

<sup>212</sup> Source: Computed from Field Survey Data (2016).

Table 8: Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Farmers by Gender According to Zones

	7	one 1		Zone 2		Zone 3	Z	one 4
Gender	Frequency		Frequen	Frequency		Frequency		су
	Percent		Percent	Percent		Percent		
		(%)		(%)		(%)		(%)
Male	21.00	70.00	21.00	70.00	13.00	43.33	18.00	60.00
Female	9.00	30.00	9.00	30.00	17.00	56.67	12.00	40.00
Total	30	100	30	100	30	100	30	100

<sup>215</sup> Source: Computed from Field Survey Data (2016).

## Table 9: Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Farmers by Level of Education According to Zones

	Zone 1			Zone 2	7	Zone 3	Ze	one 4
Education	Frequen	су	Frequency		Frequen	Frequency		<b>cy</b>
	Percent		Percent	Percent			Percent	
		(%)		(%)		(%)		(%)
B.Sc	8.00	26.67	11.00	36.67	2.00	6.67	5.00	16.67
M.Sc	1.00	3.33	1.00	3.33	0.00	0.00	9.00	30.00
Ph.D	0.00	0.00	1.00	3.33	0.00	0.00	1.00	3.33
Others	21.00	70.00	17.00	56.67	28.00	93.33	15.00	50.00
Total	30	100	30	100	30	100	30	100

<sup>229</sup> Source: Computed from Field Survey Data (2016).

#### 230 **COMPETING INTERESTS** Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

227

228

231

232

233

234

235

236237

238

239

240

241

242243

244

245

246

- 1. IITA(International Institute of Tropical Agriculture) 2000. IITA research priorities and strategies 2000-2005, IITA Ibadan Nigeria.htt://www.iita.org/crop/cassava.htm
- 2. Akinsanmi, O. 1987. Certificate in Agricultural Science. William Clowes and sons limited, London. Beccles and Colchester Pp. 94-95.
- 3. Nwokoma, S.N. 1998. Nigeria's staple foods Nigeria. Spring Field Publishers. Pp. 10-13
- 4. IITA (International Institute of Tropical Agriculture) 1988. IITA Research: Priorities and Strategies, 1988-2000. IITA Ibadan, Nigeria. In: Product Development for Root and Tuber Crops Vol. 3-Africa. Pp. 193-195.
- 5. Ihekoronye, A. I. and Ngoddy, P. O. 1985. Integrated food science and technology for the tropics. London Macmillan ed. Ltd. Pp. 270-272 Pp.41-54.
- 6. Henry, C. 1995. Global cassava sector. Constraints and estimated future. R and D benefits CIAT, Cali Columbia.
- 7. Belloti, A.C., Smith, L., and Lapointe, S.L. 1999. Recent Advances in cassava pest management. Annual Review of Entomology (44): 343-370.
- 8. Hillocks, R. J. and Thresh, J. M. 2002. Cassava. Biology, production and utilization. Natural resources institute, University of Greenwich, Kent UK
- 9. Malaka, S.L.O. 1973. Observations on termites in Nigeria. The Nigerian field. 38(1):24-40.
- 250 10. ODM 1977. Ecology and importance of termites in crops and pastures in Northern Nigeria. 251 Project Report, 1973-1976. Ministry Overseas Development/Institute of Agricultural 252 Research, ABU, Zaria, Nigeria. Pp. 131

11. Logan, J. W., Cowie, R.H. and Wood, T.G. 1992. Termite (isopteran) control in agriculture and forestry by non-chemical methods: a review Bulletin of Entomological Research, 80: 309-330

- 12. FDALR (Federal Department of Agricultural Land Resources) 1985. The reconnaissance soil survey of Imo State (2: 250). Soils report. Pp. 133
- 13. Nwosu, A.C. and Adeniyi, E.O. 1980. Imo State. A survey of resources for development. NISER Ibadan. Pp. 310
- 14. ISADP (Imo State Agricultural Development Programme) 2000. An Assessment Study of the performance of the National Agricultural Technology Support Project in ImoState with focus on Farmers Adoptation of Technology and their Socio-economic Improvements. Cochita Nig. Ltd.
- 15. Onwueme, I. C. 1978. Strategies for increasing cocoyam. In: Nigeria food basket Pp. 35-42 in cocoyam in Nigeria. Tropical root crops in a developing Economy. Proceedings of the symp. of the intern. Soc. for Trop. Root Crops Accra Ghana 1991. Pp. 52.
- 16. Taylor, T. A. 1977. Crop Pests and Diseases: Studies in the Development of African Resources 4. Oxford University Press, Ibadan. Pp. 70.
- 17. Nweke, F.I., Hahn, S.k. and Ugwu, B. O. 1994. Circumstances of rapid spread of improved cassava varieties in Nigeria. Journal for farming systems. Research extension, 4 (3): 93-119.
- 18. Umeh, V. C. 2002. The need for an integrated management programme for termites in West Africa. Occasional publication of the Entomological Society of Nigeria, 9<sup>th</sup>-13<sup>th</sup> October 2000. Nigeria Institute for oil palm research (NIFOR) Benin city, Nigeria. Pp. 71-76
- 19. Pesticide Action Network(PAN,UK) 2003. Eurolink centre, 49 Effra Road London. SW218Z UK. Pp. 9-10.
- 20. Schmutterer, H., Krantz, J., Koch, W. 1978. Diseases, Pests and Weeds in tropical crops. Pp. 283-285.
- 21. Quisumbing, A. 1994. Gender differences in Agricultural productivity: A Survey of empirical evidence. Discussion paper series No. 36, Educational and Social Policy Department, World Bank Washington DC.

290	
291	Appendix
292	SECTION A (Biodata)
293 294 295 296 297 298	1. Name of Respondent: 2. Age: 3. Gender: 4. Marital Status: 5. Educational Attainment: (i) B.Sc. (ii) M.Sc. (iii) Ph.D (iv) Any other
299 300	6 Occupation (i) Farming(ii) Trading (iii) Civil Servant (iv) Any other
301 302 303 304 305 306 307	7 Farm location a. TOWN b. VILLAGE 8 Farm Size 9 Number of Farm Organization you belong:
308	a. CASSAVA CULTIVATION
309	i. Do you grow Cassava in your Farm?
310	Yes ( ) No ( )
311	ii. If yes, what variety (ies)
312 313 314 315 316 317	- TMS 30555 - TMS 30572 - TMS 4(2)1425 - NR 8083 - ANY OTHER iii. What problems do you often encounter in your cassava Farm?
318 319 320	b.PESTS i.Do you encounter pests problems in your Farm? Yes ( ) No ( )
321 322	<ul> <li>i. If yes, name the common pests that attack the crop</li> <li>(a) Grasshopper (b) Mealybug (c) Green spider mites (d) Termites</li> <li>(e) Any other</li> </ul>
323 324	ii. Indicate the parts of the plant that are mostly affected by the named pests
325	(a) Stems (b) Leaves (c) Tubers (d) All of the above

326	iv.Do	Termites pose serious problem to cassava cultivation in your locality?
327		Yes ( ) No ( )
328	v.	If yes, what time of the year do Termites become more prevalent?
329	vi.	Which part of the plant show more visible signs of attack in the field?
330		(a) Stems (b) Leaves (c) Tubers (d) All of the above
331	vii.	Which stage of the plant development is more susceptible to termites attack?
332	ix.	Do you recognize more than one kind of termites in your field?
333		Yes () No ()
334	х.	If yes, specify names
335	xi. S	pecify the major losses that you experience from termites attack
336	xii. wl	hich part of the plant record more economic loss
337	(a) Tu	bers (b) Stems (c) Leaves
338	xiv.	What is the degree of damage caused by termites
339 340 341 342 343 344 345	a. b. c. d. e. f. <b>Quan</b>	0% No Infestation 1-20% Slight Infestation 21-40% Moderate Infestation 41-60% Extensive Infestation 61-80% Very Extensive Infestation 81-100% Plant completely Infested tify the economic loss from termites
346	(a) Re	adily (b) Significantly (c) Difficult (d) Not at all
347	xv. Wl	hat method(s) do you use to prevent or control termites?
348	(a) Cu	ltural (b) Chemical (c) Biological (d) All of the above
349	(e) No	ne/ any other method.
350		