1 <u>Review Article</u> 2 3 MARKER-ASSISTED SELECTION IN FISH: A REVIEW 4 5 6

- 7
- 8

9 ABSTRACT

10 The important economical traits like body growth, resistance to diseases, meat quality, etc. 11 highly influence the profitability of the food animals including fishes. The main target of every selective breeding programme is to produce improve offspring for these traits. 12 13 However, improvement of performance traits through traditional phenotype-based selection needs several generations to optimise these characters. Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS) is a 14 type of indirect method of selection of better performing breeding individuals. MAS is 15 16 beneficial when the traits are difficult and expensive to measure and low heritability and 17 recessive traits. MAS facilitate the exploitation of existing genetic diversity in breeding 18 populations and can be used to improve desirable traits in livestock. MAS depends on 19 identifying the link between a genetic marker and Quantitative traits loci (QTL). The distance 20 between marker and target traits determines the association of the marker with the QTL. 21 After identifying the markers linked to QTL, they can be used in the selective breeding 22 programme to select the brooders having better genetic potential for the targeted trait. 23 Improvement of performance traits through MAS is fast and more accurate and allows us to understand the genetic mechanism affecting performance traits. 24

25

26 Keywords: Marker-Assisted Selection, Quantitative traits loci, genetic diversity, trait

- 27
- 28

29 **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS) is a type of biotechnology that uses molecular genetic markers as a criteria for selecting a desired traits (Ashraf, 2012). Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) is indirect selection process where a trait of interest is selected not based on the trait itself but on a marker linked to it (Ribaut and Ragot 2007).

1

MAS is considered a "revolutionary" approach to traditional tree breeding as it allows breeders to select individuals based on their genotypes, rather than being restricted to phenotypic characteristics (Boopathi *et al.*, 2013).

Sax (1923) was the first to show how genetic factors influencing quantitative traits can beidentified using markers.

Recently MAS become very popular method of indirect selection for production of the genetically improved offspring's in aquaculture breeding programme. As most of the performance traits such as growth or disease resistance are controlled by multiple genes and therefore inherited as quantitative traits, analysis of their associated quantitative trait loci (QTL) is an essential part of aquaculture genomics (Liu and Cordes, 2004). QTLs are largely unknown genes that affect performance traits (such as growth rate and disease resistance) and these are important to breeders.

MAS in a breeding context involves scoring indirectly for the presence or absence of a desired phenotype or phenotypic component based on the sequences or banding patterns of molecular markers located in or near the genes controlling the phenotype. The sequence polymorphism or banding pattern of the molecular marker is indicative of the presence or absence of a specific gene or chromosomal segment that is known to carry a desired allele (Brumlop and Finckh, 2011).

Marker-assisted selection method (MAS) or genome-wide marker-assisted selection method (G-MAS) was not widely used in aquaculture, but nowadays its use is increasing due to its ease of use and quicker than traditional phenotype-based selection. Now it becomes a fertile field of research for the aquaculture researchers to discover novel genetic marker that can be used to link with the QTLs in selective breeding programmes (Hauser *et al.*, 2011; Dichmont *et al.*, 2012; Abdul-Muneer, 2014).

In order to manage individual species effectively, identification of different species 58 from a mixed catch becomes important. DNA markers are widely being accepted not only to 59 60 obtain information about gene flow and allele frequencies in aquaculture practices but also to 61 identify hybrids. The majority of the markers, which are used in inter- and intra-specific disparity, include RAPD for species and sub-species identification done in tilapia (Bardakci 62 63 and Skibinski 1994), and iso-enzyme used in intraspecific variations in Sparidae species 64 (Alarcón and Alvarez 1999). Similarly, Nijman et al., (2003) reported the use of mtDNA 65 markers as an important tool in rapid detection of hybridization between species and 66 subspecies of livestock.

67 Markers tend not to have any biological effect, but rather can be thought of as notable and 68 constant points of reference within the genome (Guimaraes, Ruane, Scherf, Sonnino, and Dargie, 2007). Markers can be found within the desired gene or, more commonly, linked to a 69 gene determining a trait of interest (Brumlop and Finckh, 2011; Guimaraes et al., 2007). 70 71 Unlike genetic engineering, MAS does not alter the original DNA (Vogel and Van Aken, 72 2009); instead it uses genetic marker to identify naturally-occurring genetic variations among 73 individuals, with the intent of selecting those with the best potential to meet desired criteria 74 and objectives.

Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) provides several other benefits to breeders, in that it can select for genes that demonstrate low heritability, have recessive alleles, and are difficult, expensive, or time exhaustive to determine phenotypically (Boopathi, 2013a; Brumlop and Finckh, 2011; Xu and Crouch, 2008). MAS also allows for gene pyramiding or combining multiple genes within the same breeding line, while having fewer unintentional losses and fewer selection cycles (Boopathi, 2013a; Xu and Crouch, 2008).

Furthermore, MAS may be viewed by the public with more support than genetic engineering as breeders are not manually manipulating the genes, and thus all offspring inheritance occurs naturally (Vogel and Van Aken, 2009). It is also believed that genetic markers may be important in the assessment, conservation and use of diversity in germplasm and varieties (Brumlop and Finckh, 2011).

Molecular marker maps have been constructed for a number of aquaculture species, e.g. tilapia, *Clarias*, giant tiger prawn, kuruma prawn, Japanese flounder and Atlantic salmon, although their density is generally low (Nichols *et al.*, 2003). As many preferred traits are not observed until maturity, MAS eliminates this waiting period by allowing for the early selection of desired genotypes at the seedling stage (Yanchuk *et al.*, 2002).

The desirable phenotypic variations in the performance traits of fishes are used to 91 92 increase the aquacultural yield, improve incomes of farmers and enhances food security 93 through selective breeding by choosing better-performed individuals. However, phenotype-94 based selection needed considerable time to optimise the traits, so researchers are now 95 moving from phenotype based selection to genotype-based selection. The lacking of a molecular marker is the main limiting factor for the realization of genotype based selection 96 97 potentials in fishes. However, with the advent of DNA-based genetic markers in the late 98 1970s and now the ease of the marker discovery through the next generation sequencing 99 allowed the researchers to identify large numbers of markers spreads throughout the genome of any species of interest. The markers are used to detect linkage with the traits of interest, thus allowing MAS finally to become a reality (Peterson *et al.*, 1990). This paper aim to provide information regarding the technical aspect of MAS and the current application in fisheries and Aquaculture in other to increase high quality production within a period of time.

104 2.0 Marker Assisted Selection

Incorporation of marker information into breeding programs in aiding identification
and selection of superior individuals has been widely studied (Bernardo, 1994; Han *et al.*,
1997; Xie and Xu, 1998; Romagosa *et al.*, 1999; Ayoub *et al.*, 2003; Jordan *et al.*, 2003).

Molecular markers in aquaculture and fisheries have been used for over 50 years (Ryman and Utter, 1987; Liu and Cordes, 2004) and their use has steadily increased over the last two decades (Park and Moran, 1994; Chauhan and Rajiv, 2010; Dichmont *et al.*, 2012; Abdul-Muneer, 2014).

An important factor in MAS is the accuracy of estimating the genetic effects related to the trait of interest. In contrast to genetic engineering (GE), MAS does not alter the original DNA. Rather, it identifies whether the desired trait(s) are being expressed, so that individuals with the best potential can be selected (Andersson, 2001).

Molecular marker analysis allows the identification of genome segments, so called Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL), contributing to the genetic variance of a quantitative trait and thus to select superior genotypes as these loci (Cannai *et al.*, 2003). Allelic variation in genetic markers can be linked to the variation in traits of economic interest, and thus the marker provides DNA level information on the inheritance of the traits.

121 The practical use of markers in selection can be roughly divided into three classes:

122 1) Removing genetic disorders,

123 2) Marker breeding value-selection, and

124 3) Genomic selection.

125

2.4 MAS versus Phenotypic Selection

Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS) will probably never replace Phenotypic Selection (PS) entirely. There is no general pattern by which it can be predicted whether MAS or PS will be more useful. Empirical comparisons of MAS and PS for increasing gain from selection have been made in several studies. The outcomes of these studies are conflicting. In some studies MAS is reported to be more effective/efficient than PS (e.g. Yousef and Juvik 2001; Abalo *et al.*, 2009) while other studies considered the two methods equal (e.g. Van Berloo and Stam 1999; Willcox *et al.*, 2002; Hoeck *et al.*, 2003; Moreau *et al.*, 2004). In a

- 133 third group of studies PS proved to be more effective/efficient than MAS (e.g. Davies et al.,
- 134 2006; Wilde et al., 2007) and in other comparisons the effectiveness/efficiency of MAS and
- 135 PS varied within the same study, depending on the populations or on the trait selected for
- 136 (e.g. FlintGarcia et al., 2003b; Robbins and Staub 2009).

137 **2.5 Limitations of MAS**

- 138 • Cost
- 139 • Requirement of technical skill
- 140 Automated techniques for maximum benefit
- 141 2.6 Advantages of MAS

142 In addition to the cost and time savings described above, for a number of breeding 143 scenarios, MAS methods are likely to offer significant advantages compared with 144 conventional selection methods. These scenarios assume the availability of markers for 145 multiple traits and take into consideration the advantages of MAS under optimum situations 146 (Dreher et al., 2002; Dudley, 1993).

- 147 1. Gene stacking for a single trait: MAS offers potential savings compared with 148 conventional selection when it allows breeders to identify the presence of multiple 149 genes/alleles related to a single trait, and the alleles do not exert individually 150 detectable effects on the expression of the trait.
- 2. Early detection: MAS offers potential savings compared with conventional selection 151 152 when it allows alleles for desirable traits to be detected early, well before the trait is expressed and can be detected phenotypically. This benefit can be particularly 153 154 important in species that grow slowly.
- 3. Heritability of traits: Up to a point, gains from MAS increase with decreasing 155 heritability. However, due to the difficulties encountered in QTL detection, the gains 156 are likely to decline beyond a certain threshold heritability estimate. 157
- 158

2.7 Disadvantages of MAS

159 Perhaps the greatest disadvantage of MAS is the time and financial investment 160 required

to develop markers that are widely applicable for traits of agronomic importance. 161 162 Often a marker developed in one or a few related genotypes will not work for 163 other genotypes in a breeding scheme due to allelic effects. Furthermore, development of 164 markers, particularly for QTL, is complicated by epistatic interactions and the critical need 165 for good quality phenotypic data.

166 **2.8 Quantitative Trait Loci**

In fish, several QTL studies have been published; in salmonids (Jackson *et al.*, 1998;
Johansen 1999; Robinson *et al.*, 1999; Sakomoto *et al.*, 1999; Marfyniuk 2001, Ozaki *et al.*,
2001 Somorger 2001. Tao and Bailding 2003), in catfish (Liu *et al.*, 2003), in tilapia (Cnaani *et al.*, 2003) and in silver barb (Hussain *et al.*, 2002).

Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) is followed by two steps, detection of molecular markers
associated with quantitative trait locus (QTL) and application of those markers.

The position of the chromosome that controls the economical important trait is termed asQTL.

The concepts for detecting QTL were developed more than 90 years ago (Sax, 1923). In
aquaculture species, much effort has been applied for QTL mapping. QTLs are mapped by
linkage disequilibrium with molecular markers exhibiting Mendelian segregation.
Economically important traits are controlled by the single or group of gene.

The basic concept of QTL studies is to know the number and location of loci associated with phenotypic traits (Mackay, 2001; Mauric io, 2001; Burt and Hocking, 2002; Erickson *et al.*, 2004). Thus, candidate gene or molecular markers, resulted by QTL mapping, could be used in MAS (Groenen *et al.*, 2000). QTL detection is an ongoing effort in aquaculture species. More than 37 important traits have been located in about 20 aquaculture species.

185 QTL mapping is the practical application of marker-assisted selection in aquaculture (Al-Samarai, 2015). With rapid advancement of molecular technology, it is now possible to 186 187 use molecular marker information to map major quantitative trait loci (QTLs) on 188 chromosomes (e.g., Paterson et al., 1988,1991;Hilbert et al., 1991;Jacob et al., 1991; Stuber et al., 1992). Once QTL for a trait are identified, individuals can be selected for 189 breeding on the basis of marker alleles that segregate with favorable phenotypes (Lande and 190 191 Thompson, 1990). This strategy, known as marker-assisted selection (MAS), is particularly 192 useful for traits that cannot be measured on selection candidates directly, notably disease 193 resistance or meat quality traits (Sonesson, 2007a).

194 **2.8.1 QTL Detection for in Fish**

A number of genetic maps have been developed specifically to locate QTL in several fish species. The first of such map was produced in Zebrafish (Postleth wairt *et al.*, 1994; Shimoda *et al.*, 1999), which is a non-aquacultural species. Among cultivable fish groups low-density maps have been developed for salmonids (Sakamoto *et al.*, 2000; Ghabi 2001) for catfish (Liu *et al.*, 2003; Poompuang and Na-Nakorn 2004) for tilapia (Kocher *et al.*,
1998; Cnaani *et al.*, 2003), for Japanese flounder (Sanchez *et al.*, 2003), for red sea beam
(Sakamoto *et al.*, 2003), for Oyster (Yu and Geso 2003), and for shrimp
(<u>Http://shrimpmap.tag.csiro.au</u>).

203 2.8.3 QTL Mapping in Fish

Although in fish several studies have confirmed the existence of significant genetic variation for quantitative traits at commercial importance (Kause *et al.*, 2003) and have recognized the potential of MAS for their genetic improvement (Flint and Mott 2001). Thus far, very few QTL for production traits have been identified in fish (Sonesson 2003). Much effort is devoted to QTL mapping for growth, feed conversion efficiencies, disease resistance, fecundity, and spawning time (Dunham et al 2001).

210 Several QTL studies have been published in rainbow trait for temperature tolerance (Jackson et al., 1998). Danzmann et al., 199, perry 2001), spawning time (Sakamoto et al., 211 212 1999; fish back et al 2000, O' Malley 2001); growth (Martynicik 2001), disease resistance (Ozaki et al., 2001), znd fitness traits (Somorjai 2001). Other notable QTL studies published 213 214 in aquacultural fish species include: in tilapia for temperature and salinity tolerance 215 (Streadman and Kocher 2002; Cnaan et al., 2003), in catfish for feed conversion efficiency and bacterial septicenmia resistance (Liu 2003), in guppy for growth (Nakajima and 216 Taniguchi 2002), in at fautic salmon for infectious anemia resistance (Moen et al., 2003 and 217 218 in Arctia Charr for growth rates and fitness traits (Johansen 1999, Somorjai 2001).

In salmonids, QTL have been found related to body weight and size (Martyniuk *et al.*, 2003; O'Malley *et al.*, 2003; Reid *et al.*, 2005), for colouration pattern (Streelman, Albertson and Kocher, 2003) and for one form of albinism (Nakamura *et al.*, 2001). Zimmerman *et al.*, (2005) found QTL for pyloric caeca number, a trait related to feed conversion efficiency.

Table 1: QTL studies in selected aquaculture species

| Species | Traits | Reference |
|---------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Arctic charr | Body weight and sexual maturation; | Küttner et al., 2011 |
| | Salinity tolerance | |
| Asian seabass | Resistance against viral nervous | Wang et al., 2006 |
| | necrosis disease | Xia <i>et al.</i> , 2014 |
| | Growth-related trait | |
| | Omega-3 fatty acids | |

| Atlantic salmo | Growth traits and flesh colour | Baranski et al., 2010; |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Resistance against IPN | Tsai <i>et al.</i> , 2014; |
| | Late sexual maturation | Moen et al., 2009; |
| | | Houston et al., 2008 ; 2010 |
| | | Gutierrez et al., 2014 |
| Catfish | Columnaris disease resistance | Geng et al., 2015 |
| | ESC disease resistance | Wang et al., 2013; Zhou e |
| | Hypoxia tolerance | <i>al.</i> , 2017 |
| | Heat stress | Wang et al., 2016; |
| | Head size | Jin <i>et al.</i> , 2016 |
| | | Geng et al., 2016 |
| | | |
| Common carp | Common carp | Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2011 |
| | Morphometric traits | Boulton et al., 2011 |
| | Swimming ability | Laghari <i>et al.</i> , 2014 |
| Eastern oyster | Disease resistance | Yu and Guo, 2006 |
| European seabass | Growth, body weight | Louro et al., 2016 |
| | Morphometric traits and stress | Massault et al., 2010 |
| | Response | |
| Pacific white | Growth parameters | Andriantahina et al., 2013 |
| shrimp | | |
| Giant tiger prawn | Disease resistance and sex | Robinson et al., 2014 |
| | determination | |
| Japanese flounder | Vibrio anguillarum resistance | Wang et al., 2014 |
| Pacific oyster | Growth | Guo et al., 2012 |
| | Resistance against summer mortality | Sauvage et al., 2010 |
| | Viability | Plough and Hedgecock, 201 |
| | | Plough <i>et al.</i> , 2016 |
| Gilthead seabream | Skeletal deformities | Negrín-Báez et al., 2015 |
| | Sex determination and body growth | Loukovitis et al., 201 |
| | | Massault et al., 2011 |
| Rainbow trout | Growth related traits | Kocmarek et al., 2015 |
| | | Wringe at al., 2010; Leder e |
| | | al., 2006. |

| Tilapia | Growth traits | Liu et al., 2014; |
|---------|---------------|---------------------------|
| | Sex | Wang et al., 2015 |
| | | Palaiokostas et al., 2015 |

224

225 **2.8.4 QTL analysis**

1. QTL for growth traits

Growth is one of the most important economic traits of all aquaculture species. Up to 2012, QTL analyses have been conducted in more than 20 aquatic species (Yue *et al.*, 2014), and growth is the most popular trait studied. Wang *et al.*, (Wang *et al.*, 2006) used 380 F1 Asian seabass to identify five major QTLs and 27 potential QTLs. Of them, three major QTLs for body weight, length, and body length were located at a similar linkage group 2 (LG2) position with the nearby Lca287 microsatellite and accounted for 28.8%, 58.9%, and 59.7%

234 the phenotypic variations. The other two major QTLs for body weight were located at another 235 LG2 position. These five major QTLs have been confirmed in two other Asian 236 seabass populations (Wang et al., 2008). Further QTL fine mapping of the Asian seabass growth trait identified three candidate "growth genes" (CATHEPSIN D, KCTD15, and 237 238 CSMD2) affecting body weight, body length, and total length (Wang et al., 2011). The function of the cathepsin D gene in humans involves cell proliferation and cell growth; 239 240 therefore, cathepsin D may also be a major "growth gene" in Asian seabass. O'Malley et al., (O'Maller *et al.*, 2008) identified QTLs for body weight in rainbow trout on 10 different LGs. 241

242 Wringe et al., (2010) used additional backcrossed families and SSR markers to confirm the O'Malley et al.,'s results and found several major candidate growth genes (e.g., 243 GH2 and Pax7). Reid et al., 2004 identified a QTL for body weight in two LGs (AS8 and 11) 244 of Atlantic salmon, and reported that it was homologous to the growth QTL in rainbow trout. 245 Houston et al., (2009) identified QTLs for body weight in LG1 and LG5 of Atlantic salmon. 246 247 Gutierrez et al., (2012) further used a 6.5 K SNP chip to identify QTLs in six LGs at the 248 genomic level. Cnaani et al., (2004) identified a QTL for tilapia growth on LG23, which is 249 the linkage group with the genetic sex-determining region. Song et al., (Song et al., 2012) used 1487 SSRs to produce a high-density genetic linkage map and successfully identified a 250 OTL affecting body weight in LG14 of Japanese flounder. 251

Some reports have used a candidate gene approach to identify growth-related genes and molecular markers in fish. Tao and Boulding (2003) found polymorphisms in the growth hormone gene (*GH*) that were significantly associated with growth rate of Arctic charr (Salvelinus alpinus). Li et al., (2009) reported an SNP in the insulin-like growth factor-(IGF)1

gene 5' untranslated region (UTR) of largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*). Sun *et al.*, (2012) reported that two SNPs in exon 3 of the myostatin (*MSTN*) gene were significantly related to body weight and Fulton's factor in common carp. Liu *et al.*, (2012) also found that a SNP in the *MSTN* 3' UTR was very significantly associated with total length, body length, and body weight of bighead carp.

262 **2.** QTL for feed conversion rate

FCR is one of the most important economic traits in fish, as fish with a better FCR increase profits.

Liu (2005) used AFLP markers to construct a catfish genetic map and found a QTL associated with FCR. Zimmerman *et al.*, (2005) revealed three QTLs for the number of pyloric caeca in three LGs of rainbow trout, and this is an important index associated with FCR.

FCR studies have also been reported in common carp from the Heilongjiang Fisheries
Research Institute of the Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences (Wang, 2012).

271 **3.** QTL for sex determination

272 Sex phenotype and sex determination in fish have specific evolutionary status and diversity. Males and females of some species have significant differences in growth rate or 273 274 commercial value; therefore, monosex fish culture is a promising strategy. The sex-275 determining (SD) loci and QTLs have been studied in a limited number of fish, such as tilapia 276 (Lee et al., 2004) rainbow trout (Alfaqih et al., 2009) and salmonids (Davidson et al., 2009). 277 Previous studies have demonstrated that sex QTLs are located on LG1, 2, 3, 6, and 23 of 278 tilapia (Cnaani et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2004; Cnaani et al., 2008) Eshel et al., (2011) reported a major candidate sex QTL that is considered the sex determining region in tilapia. Fifty-one 279 280 genes in this region have been annotated, and 10 have been confirmed.

The anti-Müllerian hormone gene is the most differentially expressed gene in male and female tilapia. Sun *et al.*, (2014) recently published several sex-specific markers, and one is tightly linked with the sex-determining region discovered by Eshel *et al.*, The sexdetermining locus in rainbow trout is located on the LG of RT10, and this locus also significantly affects thermo-resistance and body length. The sex-determining regions in Artic charr (Moghadam *et al.*, 2007) brown trout (Gharbi *et al.*, 2006) and Atlantic salmon (Gilbey *et al.*, 2004) are located on the LGs of AC4, BT28, and AS1, respectively. Woram *et al.*, (2003) compared LGs of sex-determining loci in four salmonids and found that although the nucleotide sequences flanking the sex-determining loci were wellconserved, the SD LGs were diverse, suggesting that the regions underwent different recombination events.

Loukovitis *et al.*, (2011) located growth and sex-determining QTLs in gilthead sea bream and showed that these two traits have similar genetic control in LG21. Martínez *et al.*, (2009) located a sex QTL on LG5 of turbot and proposed a ZZ/ZW sex-determining mechanism. Viñas *et al.*, (2012) also found a major sex QTL on turbot LG5. These findings suggest that the sex-determining genes may occur on turbot LG5. Song *et al.*, (2012) used high-density genetic maps to locate seven sex QTLs on the half-smooth tongue sole LG1f, LG14f, and LG1m.

Additional study by Chen *et al.*, (2014) provided insight into ZW sex chromosome evolution and identified sex-determining genes, such as *dmrt1* and *neurl3*.

301 **2.8.5 Factors affecting QTL analyses**

The power of mapping QTL can be influenced by a number of factors, such as genetic properties of QTL, experiment design, environmental effects, marker density and informativeness, genotyping errors and precision of trait measurement. Details about how these factors influence the power of QTL mapping can be found in some very good reviews (e.g. Crosses 2001; Flint and Mott 2001; Doerge 2002).

307 2.8.6 Methods of Detecting QTL

Basically, three methods are frequently used for mapping QTL and estimating their effects, namely Single-Marker Association Analysis (SMAA), Simple Interval Mapping (SIM) and Composite Interval Mapping (CIM) (Crosses 2001; Flint and Mott 2001; Doerge 2002).

312 2.9 Current Status of Applications of MAS in Fish

Molecular marker maps have been constructed for a number of aquaculture species, e.g. tilapia, catfish, giant tiger prawn, kuruma prawn, Japanese flounder and Atlantic salmon, although their density is generally low. Density is high for the rainbow trout, where the map published in 2003 has over 1 300 markers spread throughout the genome – the vast majority are AFLPs but it also includes over 200 microsatellite markers (Nichols *et al.*, 2003). Some QTLs of interest have been detected (e.g. for cold and salinity tolerance in tilapia and for specific diseases in rainbow trout and salmon). 320 In a recent review of MAS in fish breeding schemes, Sonesson (2003) suggested that 321 MAS would be especially valuable for traits that are impossible to record on the candidates 322 for selection such as disease resistance, fillet quality, feed efficiency and sexual maturation, 323 and concluded that MAS is not used in fish breeding schemes today and that the lack of dense 324 molecular maps is the limiting factor. Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) has become a 325 valuable tool in selecting organisms for desirable traits. MAS is expected to increase genetic 326 gain compared to traditional breeding programs and reduce the cost of progeny testing by 327 early selection. The application of MAS in breeding programmes depends on the knowledge 328 of breeders about variable marker information.

329 **REFERENCES**

- Alarcon, J.A., Alvarez, M. C. (1999). Genetic identification Sparidae species by isozyme
 markers. Applications to interspecific hybrids. *Aquaculture*, 173, 95-103.
- Anderson, J. L., Marí, A. R., Braasch, I., Amores, A., Hohenlohe, P., Batzel, P. (2001).
 Multiple sex-associated regions and a putative sex chromosome in zebrafish
 revealed by RAPD mapping and population genomics. *3*, 427-437.
- Ashraf, M., Akram, N. A., Foolad, M. R. (Eds.). (2012). Marker-Assisted Selection in Plant
 Breeding for Salinity Tolerance. *913*, 305–333. doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-986-0.
- Bardakci, F., Skibinski, D. O. F. (1994). Application of the RAPD technique in tilapia fish
 species and subspecies identification. *73*, 117–123. doi:10.1038/hdy.1994.110.
- Bernardo, R. (1994). Prediction of maize single-cross performance using RFLPs and
 Biotechnology in Agriculture and Food
- 341 (http://tandfonline.com/doi/book/10.1081/EEBAF).
- Boopathi, N. M. (2013a). Marker-Assisted Selection. In Genetic Mapping and Marker
 Assisted Selection: Basics, Practice and Benefits. 173–186. doi:10.1007/978-81322-0958-4
- Brumlop, S., Finckh, M. R. (2011). Applications and potentials of marker assisted selection (
 MAS) in plant breeding. Pp. 178. <u>http://www.bfn.de/0502_skripten.html</u>
- Chen, J., Wang, Y., Yue, Y., Xia, X., Du, Q., Chang, Z. (2014). A novel male-specific DNA
 sequence in the common carp, *Cyprinus carpio. Mol Cell Probes*. 23, 235–9.
- Cnaani, A., Zilberman, N., Tinman, S., Hulata, G., Ron, M. (2004). Genome-scan analysis for
 quantitative trait loci in an F-2 tilapia hybrid. *Mol Genet Genomics*. 272(2):162172.

- Danzmann, R. G., Gharbi, K. (1994). Gene mapping in fishes: a means to an end. Genetica.
 111(1-3):3-23.
- Davidson, W. S., Koop, B. F., Jones, S. J. M., Iturra, P., Vidal, R., Mas, A., Jonassen, I.,
 Lien, S., Omholt, S. W. (2009). Sequencing the genome of the Atlantic salmon
 (*Salmo salar*). *Genome Biol* 11:403
- Davies, J., Berzonsky, W., LEACH, G. (2006): A Comparison of Marker-Assisted and
 Phenotypic Selection for High Grain Protein Content in Spring Wheat. *152*, 117134.
- Dekkers, J. C. M., Hospital, F. (2002). The use of molecular genetics in the improvement of
 agricultural populations. *Nature Revs. Genet.* 3: 22–32.
- 362 Doyle, J. J., Doyle, J. L. (1987). A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of
 363 fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochem. Bull.* 19: 11–15.
- Dreher, K., Khairallah, M., Jean-Marcel, R., Monis, M. (2002). Money matters (I): costs of
 field and laboratory procedures associated with conventional and marker assisted
 maize breeding at CIMMYT. Molecular Breeding I I: 221-234.
- Dudley, J. W. (1993). Molecular markers in plant improvement: manipulation of genes
 affecting quantitative traits. *Crop Sci.* 33: 660–668.
- Edward, M. D., Stuber, C. W., Wendel, J. F. (1987a). Molecular markers facilitated
 investigation of quantitative trait loci in maize. I. Numbers, genomic distribution
 and types of gene action. Genetics 115: 113-125
- Erickson, D. L., Fenster, C. B., Stenoien, H. K., Price, D. (2004). Quantitative trait locus
 analyses and the study of evolutionary process. Molecular Ecology 13: 2505-2522.
- Eshel, O, Shirak, A., Weller, J. I., Slossman, T., Hulata, G., Cnaani, A. (2011). Fine mapping
 of a locus on linkage group 23 for sex determination in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Anim Genet*. 42: 222–4.
- Ferguson, M. (1994). The role of molecular genetic markers in the management of cultured
 fishes. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 4(3): 351–373.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00042909
- Flint-Garcia, S.A., Darrah, L. L., McMullen, M. D., Hibbard, B. E. (2003). Phenotypic versus
 genomic selection in an established commercial layer breeding program. *Genetics Selection Evolution* 45: 29.
- Gharbi, K., Gautier, A., Danzmann, R.G., Gharbi, S., Sakamoto, T., Hoyheim, B., Taggart, J.
 B., Cairney, M., Powell, R., Krieg, F., Okamoto, N., Ferguson, M. M., Holm, L. E.,

- Guyomard, R. (2006). A linkage map for brown trout (*Salmo trutta*): chromosome
 homeologies and comparative genome organization with other salmonid fish. *Genetics* 172:2405–2419
- Gilbey, J., Verspoor, E., McLay, A., Houlihan, D. (2004). A microsatellite linkage map for
 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Anim Genet* 35:98–105
- Gimelfarb, A., Lande, R. (1994). Marker-assisted selection and marker QTL association in
 breeding programs. Genetics. 132: 1199-1210.
- 392 Gjedrem, T. (2009). Genetic improvement of cold-water fish species. *Aquac Res.* 31:25–33.
- Groen, A. F., Crooijmans, R. P. M. A., Van Kampen, A. J. A., Van der Beek, S, Van der
 Poel, J. J., Groenen, M. A. M. (2000). Microsatellite polymorphism in commercial
 broiler and layer lines. *Proc 5th World Congr Genet Appl Livestock Prod* 21:95-98.
- Gross, M., Schneider, J., Moav, N., Alvarez, C., Myster, S., Liu, Z., Hallerman, E., Hackett,
 P., Guise, K., Faras, A., Kapuscinski, A. (1995). Molecular analysis and growth
 evaluation of transgenic northern pike. *Aquaculture* 103: 253-273.
- 399 Guo, X., Hershberger, W.K., Cooper, K., Chew, K.K., 2012. Artificial gynogenesis with
- Hayes, B. J., Chamberlain, A. J., Mcpartlan, H., Macleod, I., Sethuraman, L., Goddard, M. E,
 (2007). Accuracy of marker-assisted selection with single markers and marker
 haplotypes in cattle. *Genetics Research* 89: 215-220.
- Houston, R. D., Bishop, S. C., Hamilton, A., Guy, D. R., Tinch, A. E., Taggart, J. B.,
 Derayat, A., McAndrew, B. J., Haley, C. S. (2009). Detection of QTL affecting
 harvest traits in a commercial Atlantic salmon population. *Anim Genet*. 40(5):753755.
- Houston, R. D., Haley, C.S., Hamilton, A., Guy, D. R, Tinch, A. E., Taggart, J. B. (2009).
 Major quantitative trait loci affect resistance to infectious pancreatic necrosis in
 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Genetics*. 178:1109–15.
- Hulata, G. (2003). Detection of a chromosomal region with two quantitative trait loci,
 affecting cold tolerance and fish size, in an F-2 tilapia hybrid. 223(1-4):117-128.
- Jackson, T.R., Ferguson, M.M., Danzmann, R.G., Fishback, A.G., Ihssen, P.E., O'Connell,
 M., Crease, T.J. (1998). Identification of two QTL influencing upper temperature
 tolerance in three rainbow trout (*Oncorhynnchus mykiss*) half-sib families. Heredity
 80: 143–151.

| 416 | Jacob, H. J., Lindpainter, K., Lincoln, S. E., Kusumi, R. K., Mao, Y. P., Ganten, D., Dzau, V. |
|-----|--|
| 417 | J., Lander, E. S., (1991). Genetic mapping of a gene causing hypersensitive rat. |
| 418 | Cell. 67:213-224. |
| 419 | Jansen, R.C. (1993). Interval mapping of multiple quantitative trait loci. Genetics 135, 205- |
| 420 | 211. |
| 421 | Jonasson, J., Stefansson, S. E., Gudnason, A., Steinarsson, A. (1999). Genetic variation for |
| 422 | survival and shell length of cultured red abalone (Haliotis rufescens) in Iceland. |
| 423 | Journal of Shellfish Research 18: 621-625. |
| 424 | Kause, A., Paananen, T., Ritola, O., Koskinen, H. (2003). Direct and indirect selection of |
| 425 | visceral lipid weight, fillet weight, and fillet percentage in a rainbow trout breeding |
| 426 | program. Journal of Anim Sci. 85: 3218-3227. |
| 427 | Kocher, T. D., Lee, W.J., Sobolewska, H., Penman, D., McAndrew, B. (1998). A genetic |
| 428 | linkage map of a cichlid fish, the tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). Genetics 148: |
| 429 | 1225–1232. |
| 430 | Lander, E. S., Botstein, D. (1989). Mapping Mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits |
| 431 | using RFLP linkage maps. Genetics 121: 185-1 99 |
| 432 | Lee, M., Sharopova, N., Beavis, W. D., Grant, D., Katt, M., Blair, D. and Hallauer, A. |
| 433 | (2004). Expanding the genetic map of maize with the intermated B73 Mo17 (IBM) |
| 434 | population. Plant Molecular Biology 48: 453-461. |
| 435 | Li, J., Boroevich, K. A., Koop, B. F., Davidson, W. S. (2009). Comparative genomics |
| 436 | identifies candidate genes for Infectious Salmon Anemia (ISA) resistance in |
| 437 | Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar). Mar Biotechnol. 13: 232-241. |
| 438 | Liu, F., Sun, F., Xia, J. H., Li, J., Fu, G. H., Lin, G. (2014). A genome scan revealed |
| 439 | significant associations of growth traits with a major QTL and GHR2 in tilapia. Sci |
| 440 | Rep. 4:7256 |
| 441 | Liu, P., Li, J., He, Y. Y., Kong, J., Wang, Q. (2004). Present situation and protective |
| 442 | measures of genetic resources in Fenneropenaeus chinensis. Mar. Fish Res 25: 80- |
| 443 | 85. |
| 444 | Liu, Z., Karsi, A., Li, P., Cao, D., Dunham, R. (2003). An AFLP-based genetic linkage map |
| 445 | of channel catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) constructed by using an interspecific hybrid |
| 446 | resource family. Genetics 165: 687–694. |
| | |

- Liu, Z.J., Li, P., Argue, B., Dunham, R., (1998a). Inheritance of RAPD markers in channel
 catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), blue catfish (*I. furcatus*) and their F1, F2 and
 backcross hybrids. *Anim. Genet.* 29: 58–62.
- Liu, Z.J., Nichols, A., Li, P., Dunham, R., (1998b). Inheritance and usefulness of AFLP
 markers in channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), blue catfish (*I. furcatus*) and their
 F1, F2 and backcross hybrids. Mol. Gen. Genet. 258, 260–268.
- Loukovitis, D., Sarropoulou, E., Tsigenopoulos, C. S., Batargias, C., Magoulas, A.,
 Apostolidis, A. P., Chatziplis, D., Kotoulas, G. (2011). Quantitative trait loci
 involved in sex determination and body growth in the gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.) through targeted genome scan. PLoS ONE, 6:e16599.
- Luo, W., Zeng, C., Deng, W., Robinson, N., Wang, W., Gao, Z. (1997). Genetic parameter
 estimates for growth-related traits of blunt snout bream (*Megalobrama amblycephala*) using microsatellite-based pedigree. *Aquac Res.* 45:1881–8.
- 460 Mackay, T. F. C. (2001). The genetic architecture of quantitative traits. *Annu Rev Genet*,
 461 35:303-339.
- 462
- Martinez, P., Bouza, C., Hermida, M., Fernandez, J., Toro, M. A., Vera, M., Pardo, B.,
 Millan, A., Fernandez, C., Vilas, R., (2009). Identification of the Major SexDetermining Region of Turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). Genetics 183(4):14431452.
- Martyniuk, C. J., Perry, G. M. L., Mogahadam, H. K., Ferguson, M. M., Danzmann, R. G.
 (2003). The genetic architecture of correlations among growth related traits and
 male age at maturation in rainbow trout. *Journal of Fish Biol* 63:746–764
- Moen, T., Agresti, J. J., Cnaani, A., Moses, H., Famula, T. R., Hulata, G., Gall, G. A. E.,
 May, B. (2004b). A genome scan of a four-way tilapia cross supports the existence
 of a quantitative trait locus for cold tolerance on linkage group 23. *Aquaculture* 35:
 893–904.
- Moen, T., Fjalestad, K.T., Munck, H. and Gomez-Raya, L. 2003. A multistage testing
 strategy for detection of quantitative trait loci affecting disease resistance in Atlantic
 salmon. *Genetics* 167: 851–858.
- Moen, T., Hoyheim, B., Munck, H., Gomez-Raya, L. (2004a). A linkage map of Atlantic
 salmon (*Salmo salar*) reveals an uncommonly large difference in recombination rate
 between the sexes. *Anim. Genet.* 35: 81–92.

| 480 | Moghadam, H., Poissant, J., Fotherby, H., Haidle, L., Ferguson, M., Danzmann, R. (2007). |
|-----|--|
| 481 | Quantitative trait loci for body weight, condition factor and age at sexual maturation |
| 482 | in Arctic charr (Salvelinus alpinus): comparative analysis with rainbow trout |
| 483 | (Oncorhynchus mykiss) and Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Mol Genet Genomics |
| 484 | 277(6):647-661. |
| 485 | Moreau, L., Lamarie, S., Charcosset, A., Gallais, A. (2000). Economic Efficiency of One |
| 486 | Molecular marker assisted selection for the mall quality traits in barley. Mol |
| 487 | Breeding 3: 427-437 |
| 488 | Nichols, K. M., Bartholomew, J., Thorgaard, G. H. (2003). Mapping multiple genetic loci |
| 489 | associated with Ceratomyxa shasta resistance in Oncorhynchus mykiss. Dis. Aquat. |
| 490 | <i>Org.</i> 56: 145–154. |
| 491 | O'Malley, K.G., Sakamoto, T., Danzmann, R. G., Ferguson, M. M. (2003). Quantitative trait |
| 492 | loci for spawning date and body weight in rainbow trout: testing for conserved |
| 493 | effects across ancestrally duplicated chromosomes. J. Hered. 94: 273-84. |
| 494 | Ozaki, A., Sakamoto, T., Khoo, S., Nakamura, K., Coimbra, M.R., Akutsu, T., Okamoto, N. |
| 495 | (2001). Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) associated with resistance/susceptibility to |
| 496 | infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus |
| 497 | mykiss). Mol. Genet. Genomics 265: 23-31. |
| 498 | Perry, G. M., Danzmann, R. G., Ferguson, M. M., Gibson, J. P. (2001). Quantitative trait loci |
| 499 | for upper thermal tolerance in outbred strains of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus |
| 500 | <i>mykiss</i>). <i>Heredity</i> . 86: 333–341. |
| 501 | Poompuang, S., Hallerman, E. M. (2004). Toward detection of quantitative trait loci and |
| 502 | marker-assisted selection in fish. Rev. Fish. Sci. 5: 253-277. |
| 503 | Park, S.O., Crosby, K. M., Huang, R., Mirkov, T. E. (1995). Identification and confirmation |
| 504 | of FAPD and SCAR markers linked to the ms-3 gene controlling male sterility in |
| 505 | melon (Cucumis melo L.). Journal of the American Society for Horticultural |
| 506 | Sciences. 129:819-825. |
| 507 | Paterson, A., Lander, S. E., Hevit, J. D., Peterson, S., Lincoln, S. E., Lanksley, S. D. (1988). |
| 508 | Resolution of quantitative traits into Mendelian factors by using a complete linkage |
| 509 | map of Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms. Nature. 325: 721-726 |
| 510 | Peterson, B.K., Weber, J.N., Kay, E.H., Fisher, H. S., Hoekstra, H. E. (1990). Double digest |
| 511 | RADseq: an inexpensive method for de novo SNP discovery and genotyping in |
| 512 | model and non-model species. PLoS One. 1990;7:e37135. |
| | |

17

- Postlethwait, J.H., Johnson, S.L., Midson, C.N., Talbot, W.S., Gates, M., Ballinger, E.W.,
 Africa, D., Andrews, R., Carl, T., Eisen, J.S. (1994). A genetic linkage map for the
 zebrafish. Science 264, 699-703.
- Reid, D. P., Szanto, A., Glebe, B., Danzmann, R. G., Ferguson, M. M. (2005). QTL for body
 weight and condition factor in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): comparative analysis
 with rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*). *Heredity*. 94(2):166-172.
- Ribaut, J. M., Ragot, M. (2007). Marker-Assisted Selection to improve drought adaptation in
 maize: the backcross approach, perspectives, limitations, and alternatives. *J Exp Bot* 58: 351-360.
- Robison, B.D., Wheeler, P.A., Sundin, K., Sikka, P., Thorgaard, G.H. (2001). Composite
 interval mapping reveals a major locus influencing embryonic development rate in
 rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). J. Heredity 92: 16–22.
- Rodriguez, M.F., LaPatra, S., Williams, S., Famula, T., May, B. (2005). Genetic markers
 associated with resistance to infectious hematopoietic necrosis in rainbow and
 steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) backcrosses. *Aquaculture* 241: 93–115.
- Ross, P., Hall, L., Smirnov, I., Haff, L. (2011). High level multiplex genotyping by MALDITOF mass spectrometry. Nat. Biotechnol. 16, 1347–1351.
- Ryman, N., Utter, F. (1987). Population Genetics and Fishery Management University of
 Washington Press, Seattle. 420 pp.
- Sakamoto, T., Danzmann, R. G., Gharbi, K., Howard, P., Ozaki, A., Khoo, S. K. (2000). A
 microsatellite linkage map of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) characterized by
 large sex-specific differences in recombination rates. Genetics. 155: 1331-1345.
- Sakamoto, T., Danzmann, R.G., Okamoto, N., Ferguson, M. M., Ihssen, P. E. (1999).
 Linkage analysis of quantitative trait loci associated with spawning time in rainbow
 trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture* 173: 33–43.
- Sakamoto, T., Danzmann, R.G., Okamoto, N., Ferguson, M.M., Ihssen, P.E. (1999). Linkage
 analysis of quantitative trait loci associated with spawning time in rainbow trout
 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquaculture 173: 33–43.
- Sax, K. (1923). The association of size differences with seed-coat pattern and pigmentation in
 Phaseolus vulgaris. Genetics 8: 522-560.

- Shimoda, N., Knapik, E.W., Ziniti, J., Sim, C., Yamada, E., Kaplan, S., Jackson, D., de
 Sauvage, F., Jacob, H., Fishman, M.C. (1999). Zebrafish genetic map with 2000
 microsatellite markers. Genomics 58, 219-232.
- Somorjai, I.M., Danzmann, R. G., Ferguson, M. M. (2001). Distribution of temperature
 tolerance quantitative trait loci in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) and inferred
 homologies in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Genetics* 165: 1443–1456.
- Sonesson, A. (2007). Within-family marker-assisted selection for aquaculture species. *Genet Sel Evol.* 39:301–18.
- Sonesson, A.K. (2003). A combination of walk-back and optimum contribution selection for
 fish a simulation study. *Genet. Sel. Evol.* 37: 587–599.
- Song, W., Li, Y., Zhao, Y., Liu, Y., Niu, Y., Pang, R. (2012). Construction of a high density
 microsatellite genetic linkage map and mapping of sexual and growth-related traits
 in half-smooth tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*). PLoS One.7:e52097.
- Song, W., Pang, R., Niu, Y., Gao, F., Zhao, Y., Zhang, J. (2012). Construction of high
 density genetic linkage maps and mapping of growth-related quantitative trail loci in
 the Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). PLoS One.7:e50404.
- Spelman, R. J., Garrick, D. J. (2013). Genetic and economic responses for within-family
 marker-assisted selection in dairy cattle breeding schemes. *Journal of Dairy Science*81: 2942–2950.
- 563 Streelman, J.T., Kocher, T. D. (2003). Microsatellite variation associated with prolactin 564 expression and growth of salt-challenged tilapia. Physiol. Genomics 9: 1–4.
- Sun, X. W., Liang, L. Q. (2004). A genetic linkage map of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio L.*) and mapping of a locus associated with cold tolerance. Aquaculture. 238:165–
 72.
- Tong, J. G., Sun, X. W. (2004). Genetic and genomic analyses for economically important
 traits and their applications in molecular breeding of cultured fish. Sci China Life
 Sci. 58:178–86.
- Van Ooijen, J. W. (1999). Join Map 4, software for the calculation of genetic linkage maps in
 experimental populations. Netherlands.
- Vogel, B., Van Aken, J. (2009). Smart Breeding Marker-Assisted Selection: A non-invasive
 biotechnology alternative to genetic engineering of plant varieties Amsterdam, the
 Netherlands. (p. 28).

- Gharbi, K, Ferguson, M. M., Danzmann, R. G. (2001). Characterization of Na, K-ATPase
 genes in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and comparative genomic organization with
 rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Mol Genet Genomics* 273:474–483
- Wang, C. M., Lo, L.C., Feng, F., Zhu, Z.Y., Yue, G.H. (2008). Identification and verification
 of QTL associated with growth traits in two genetic backgrounds of Barramundi
 (*Lates calcarifer*). Anim Genet. 39(1):34-39.
- Wang, C.M., Lo, L. C., Zhu, Z. Y., Yue, G. H. (2006). A genome scan for quantitative trait
 loci affecting growth-related traits in an F1 family of Asian seabass (*Lates calcarifer*). BMC Genomics.
- Wang, S., Meyer, E., McKay, J. K., Matz, M. V. (2012). 2b-RAD: a simple and flexible
 method for genome-wide genotyping. Nat Methods. 9:808–10.
- Weller, J. I. (2001). *Quantitative trait loci analysis in animals*. London, CABI Publishing.
 287 pp.
- Willcox, M. C., Khairallah, M., Bergvinson, D., Crossa, J., Deutsch, J.A., Edmeades, G.O.,
 Gonzalez-de-Leon, D., Jiang, C., Jewell, D.C., Mihm, J.A., Williams, W.P.,
 Hoisington, D.A. (2002). Selection for resistance to southwestern corn borer using
 marker assisted and conventional backcrossing. *Crop Sci.* 42: 1516–1528.
- Woram, R.A., McGowan, C., Stout, J.A., Gharbi, K., Ferguson, M.M., Hoyheim, B.,
 Sakamoto, J., Davidson, W., Rexroad, C., Danzmann, R.G. (2003). A genetic
 linkage map for Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*): evidence for higher recombination
 rates and segregation distortion in hybrid versus pure strain mapping parents. *Genome* 47: 304–315.
- Wringe, B., Devlin, R., Ferguson, M., Moghadam, H., Sakhrani, D., Danzmann, R. (2010).
 Growth-related quantitative trait loci in domestic and wild rainbow trout
 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). BMC Genetics. 11(63).
- Kie, C., Xu, X. (1998) . Efficiency of multistage marker-assisted selection in the
 improvement of multiple quantitative traits. *Heredity*. 80: 489-498.
- Xu, Y., Beachell, H., McCouch, S. R. (2008). A marker based approach to broadening the
 genetic base of rice in the USA. *Crop Sci.* 44: 1947–1959.
- Yanchuk, A. D. (2002). The role and implications of biotechnology in forestry. *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Unasylva* (30), 18–22.
- Yu, Z., Guo, X. (2003). Genetic linkage map of the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)
 Gmelin. Biol. Bull. 204, 327–338.

- Yue, G. H. (2014). Recent advances of genome mapping and marker-assisted selection in
 aquaculture. 15:376–96.
- 611 Zhang, Y., Wang, S., Li, J., Zhang, X., Jiang, L., Xu, P. (1992). Primary genome scan for
- 612 complex body shape-related traits in the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). J Fish
 613 Biol. 82:125–40.
- Zheng, J., Liu, J., Liu, H., Li, J., Chen, K. (2003). Sequence and structural analysis of 4SNcTudor domain protein from Takifugu rubripes. Bioinformation. 4:127-131.
- Zimmerman, A.M., Wheeler, P.A., Ristow, S.S., Thorgaard, G. H. (2005). Composite interval
 mapping reveals three QTL associated with pyloric caeca number in rainbow trout,
- 618 Oncorhynchus mykiss. Aquaculture 247: 85–95.
- 619

620