3

4

# Effect of zinc on growth, yield and zinc use efficiency of rice in rice—wheat cropping system

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

## **Abstract:**

A four year rice-wheat cropping (2012-15) was developed on Nursery Jhilli area of Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa, Bihar, where zinc applied in four different doses (2.5, 5.0, 7.5 and 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 3 modes of application under rice – wheat cropping system, to investigate the effect of different doses of Zn and modes of application on rice growth parameters, yield and Zn use efficiency. Results reveled that among the different growth and yield attributing characteristics of rice the highest of number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup>, number of productive tillers m<sup>-2</sup> and number of filled grain panicle<sup>-1</sup> were observed in 7.5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> at alternate year applied plot. Root weight were highest in 7.5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> every year applied plot. The grain and straw yield data clearly indicated that application of zinc significantly increased the both yields. The highest grain yield was found in 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> applied every year (40.20 q ha<sup>-1</sup>)and 7.5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> in initial year application was the lowest dose for optimum rice grain yield in the fourth year. Highest Agronomic Efficiency was found in 7.5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> (101.33 kg grain/ kg Zn) and the order of apparent Zn recovery efficiency was in the order of, 2.5 kg Zn, alternate year (3.72%) > 2.5 kg Zn, initial year (3.70%) > 7.5 kg Zn, every year (3.61%) > 2.5 kg Zn, every year (3.58%). Keywords: Zinc application, Growth attributes, Agronomic Efficiency, Zinc recovery efficiency, Rice-wheat cropping system

## **Introduction:**

Zinc an essential mineral for both plants and humans, it is involved in a number of physiological and biochemical processes in living organisms. However, it is deficient in most of the world's agricultural soils, resulting in significant yield losses (Alloway, 2009). Zinc deficiency in crop plants reduces not only grain yield but also the nutritional quality of the grain. The importance of micronutrients in crop production has increased in recent years because of intensive cultivation, use of high-yielding cultivars, use of lime in acidic soils, increased use of high analysis fertilizers with low amounts of micronutrients and decreased use of organic manures. A global study by the

FAO showed that about 30% of the cultivated soils of the world are Zn deficient (Sillanpaa, 32 1982). Additionally, about 50% of the soils used worldwide for cereal production contain low 33 levels of plant available Zn (Graham et al., 1992; Welch, 1993). The calcareous soil of Bihar 34 occupying a sizable area are deficient in Zinc to the extent of 80-90 per cent of the tested soil 35 samples (Sakalet al., 1985) and symptoms of zinc deficiency are frequently observed on many 36 crops (Sakalet al., 1979). The normal concentration of this element is 25 to 150 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in plants. 37 Deficiencies of Zn are usually associated with concentrations of less than 20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 38 toxicities will occur when the Zn leaf concentration exceeds 400 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (Dobermann and 39 Fairhurst, 2000). Cultivars differ in their ability to take up Zn, which may be caused by 40 differences in Zinc translocation and utilization, differential accumulation of nutrients that 41 interact with Zn and differences in plant roots to exploit for soil Zn (Tisdaleet al., 1993). Field 42 crops are known to take up only 0.3 to 3.5% of the annually applied fertilizer Zn. Consequently, 43 fertilizer Zn accumulates in the soil. Because of its low mobility in the soil, positive effect of 44 applied Zn on subsequent crops in the rotation may last over variable periods (Brennan, 2001). 45 Fertilizer Zn recommendations for the cropping system as a whole are yet not available. The 46 47 availability of fertilizer Zn to plants decreases rapidly after application to soil due to surface adsorption, cation exchange, chelation, and precipitation (Lu et al., 2005; Jalali and Khanlari, 48 49 2008). Most of the fertilizer Zn applied to a calcareous soil at wheat sowing was bound to soil minerals at harvest. Only a small fraction of the fertilizer Zn was in the soil solution or bound to 50 51 organic matter, carbonates, or Mn oxides (Wei et al., 2005). With decreasing soil health and consideration of high yielding varieties in rice-wheat system and also unpredictable climatic 52 condition there is a need to understand the requirement of dose and frequency of Zn application 53 in Zn deficiency prone upland calcareous soil under rice-wheat cropping system. Therefore, in an 54 ongoing experiment, after completion of four years of zinc applications in different doses and 55 modes under rice - wheat cropping system to check its effect on growth parameters and yield of 56 rice. 57

### **Materials and methods:**

58

The present investigation was undertaken in rice cropin a four year trial (2012-15) of rice-wheat cropping system having different combinations of three modes of Zn application (in the first year, alternate year andevery year) with four doses. The site is located at Nursery Jhilli area of Rajendra Agricultural University, Pusa, Bihar, having 25<sup>0</sup> 94'N latitude, 85<sup>0</sup> 67' E longitude and

an altitude of 52.00 meter above mean sea level. The climate is sub-tropical having three distinct seasons i.e. rainy (mid June to September), winter (October-February) and summer (March to mid June). It receives a mean annual rainfall of 1300 mm of which approximately 80 per cent is received during the rainy season. The mean monthly maximum and minimum temperature fluctuate between 23.8°C to 36.8°C and 9.1 °C to 27.2°C, respectively. Total rainfall received during the period was 1290 mm. A total of 13(3 modes of application × 4 doses + Zn control) treatments with 3 replications were used. Randomized block design was used. Plot size maintained 4 × 2.5m. Puddled transplanted rice (var. Rajshree) was taken with the recommended dose of N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O at 120:60:40 in the form of urea, diammoium phosphate (DAP) and muriate of potash (MOP). Fifty per cent of N, full doses of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O were applied as basal and the rest fifty per cent of N was applied in two splits at 30 days interval. Zn was applied as ZnSO<sub>4</sub> after puddling in every year plot at four doses (2.5, 5.0, 7.5 and 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Rice was taken in alternate wetting and drying condition and the soil moisture was kept at field capacity during the entire period. The irrigation was stopped 10 days before harvesting and the crop was harvested and grain yield was recorded at 14% moisture. The different growth and yield attributes of rice were recorded.

79 Agronomic efficiency was calculated using the following formula:

**Results:** 

# Rice growth and yield attributes:

Among the rice growth and yield attributes, number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup>, productive tillers m<sup>-2</sup> and filled and unfilled grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, test weight and root weight were influenced significantly by Zn treatments (Table-1). Number of tillers per m<sup>-2</sup> of rice varied from 312 in the control to 330 in 7.5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>applied at alternate year7.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>at alternate years was similar to 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in initial year and 5.0 to 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in alternate and every year Zn applications. It increased significantly over control at 5.0 and 7.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in every year and from 5.0 to 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in alternate and initial year applications. Number of productive tillers m<sup>-2</sup> varied from 253 in control to 268 m<sup>-2</sup> in 7.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>Zn plots applied at alternate years. The highest value was similar to that

of 5.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>at every year and 5 and 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>at alternate year applications. It increased 93 significantly from 5.0 and 7.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in every year, 5.0 to 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in alternate year and from 94 5.0 to 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in initial year applications of Zn as compared to control. Number of filled 95 grains per panicle varied from 72 in control to 89 in 7.5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>at alternate year plot which 96 was similar to 5.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at every and 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at alternate year applied plots. Unfilled grains 97 per panicle were similar in all the treatments. Root weight was significantly higher in 2.5 to 10.0 98 kg Zn applied in alternate and every year as compared to control. It varied from 168.67 g m<sup>-2</sup> in 99 control to 219.33 g m<sup>-2</sup> in 7.5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> in every year applied plot. However, 5.0 to 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> 100 in alternate year and 2.5 to 10.0 kg Zn<sup>-1</sup> in every year applications had similar root weights. Test 101 weight varied from 19.09 g in control to 21.95 g in 7.5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> every year applied plot. Most 102 of the Zn treated and control plots had similar test weight. The highest value was found in 7.5 kg 103 Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> plot applied every year (21.95 g) which was similar to 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> alternate year plot. 104

## Grain and straw yields of rice:

105

115

Rice grain yields varied from 30.80 in the control to 40.20 q ha<sup>-1</sup> in 5.0 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>at every year 106 applied plot (Table-1). The highest yield was at par with the other three doses of every year 107 applications, more than 5.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in alternate year applications and more than 7.5 kg dose in 108 initial year application. Straw yield varied from 38.53 in the Zn controlto 52.54 q ha<sup>-1</sup> in 10.0 kg 109 ha<sup>-1</sup> alternate year applied plot. The highest yield of straw was at par with all the doses of 110 everyyear and alternate year applications and with 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of initial year application. The 111 response of Zn applications varied from 2.9 to 30.5 per cent to grain yield while 11.3 to 43.5 per 112 cent to straw yield. Thus initial application of 7.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 5.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at alternate years may 113 be the optimum combinations of dose and mode of application of Zn for rice yield. 114

## Zinc use efficiency:

Zinc use efficiency was calculated in term of Agronomic Efficiency and Apparent Recovery Efficiency. Agronomic efficiency varied from 18.17 kg grain per kg Zn in 10.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at every year to 101.33 kg grain per kg Zn in 7.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at initial year applications (Fig. 1). In the initial year application highest agronomic efficiency was found in 7.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> while in alternate and every year applications highest efficiencies were found in 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> treatments. Apparent Zn recovery efficiency varied 1.07% in 10.0 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> applied at every year to 3.72 % in 2.5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> applied at alternate year (Fig. 2). The order of apparent Zn recovery efficiency was 2.5 kg

- Zn, alternate year (3.72%) > 2.5 kg Zn, initial year (3.70%) > 7.5 kg Zn, every year (3.61%) >
- 2.5 kg Zn, every year (3.58%).

### 125 **Discussion:**

123

Application of zinc sulphate at 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased the productive tillers, panicle length and 126 number of grains per panicle, 1000 grain weightand number of effective tillers per plant, fertile 127 spikelet per panicle, panicle lengths and root weight (Gill and Hardeep, 1978; Uddinet al., 1981; 128 Sriramachandrasekharan and Mathan, 1988). According to Abidetal. (2001) Zn and other micro 129 nutrients play important role on yield contributing parameters of rice and grain yield increased 130 significantly with the application of Zn alone or in various combinations with other 131 micronutrients. However, more increase in paddy yield and yield contributing growth parameters 132 was noted in treatment comprising 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Zn along with 5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>Mn and a basal dose of N, 133 P and K fertilizers. While, Saravanan and Ramanathan (1986) reported that  $25 \text{ kg ZnSO}_4\text{ha}^{-1}$  was 134 the optimum rate for rice grown on Cauvery delta clay loam soils. Fageriaet al. (2015) observed 135 increase in rice grain and straw yield by the application of Zinc and the maximum yields were 136 achieved at 5 and 20 mg Zn kg<sup>-1</sup> of soil, respectively. In another pot experiment with graded 137 138 doses of Zn under continuous submergence (Muthukumararaja and Sriramachandrasekharan, 2012), it was found that Zinc deficiency was corrected by application of suitable zinc fertilizer 139 and the results also revealed that rice responded significantly to graded doses of zinc applied. 140 The highest grain (37.53 g pot<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (48.54 g pot<sup>-1</sup>) was noticed at 5 mg Zn kg<sup>-1</sup> 141 142 which was about 100 % and 86% greater than control (no zinc) respectively. Zn fertilization significantly increased total dry weight and grain yield under alternate wetting and drying 143 regimes (Wang et al., 2014), consistent with the previous studies that Zn application could 144 significantly increase plant growth and grain yield in both low Zn status and high Zn status 145 (Wissuwaet al., 2008). Kumar et al. (1998) studied the effect of Zn application on yield 146 attributing characters and yield of rice. Application of 25.0 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> in transplanted field or 147 spraying standing crop with 0.5 per cent ZnSO<sub>4</sub> solution three weeks after transplanting or 148 dipping seedling roots in 2 per cent ZnO suspension were equally effective in correcting zinc 149 deficiency and also significantly affected the different characteristics. Katyal and Gangwar 150 (2000) conducted an experiment to study the effect of micronutrients on rice productivity and 151 reported that application of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> as basal @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> during *kharif* season in alternate years 152 with recommended dose of NPK gave yield at par with application in every year. Zn use 153

efficiency by upland rice genotypes was evaluated by (Fagaria and Baliger, 1997) with ten upland 154 rice genotypes grown on an Oxisol (TypicHapludox). On average, 13% of the applied Zn was 155 recovered by upland rice genotypes. Genotypes with high Zn recovery efficiency could be used 156 in breeding of Zn efficient upland rice cultivars. Zinc concentration and uptake in shoot as well 157 as Zn uptake in grain had significant quadratic increases as Zn concentration increased in the soil 158 solution (Fageriaet al., 2015). Zinc concentration as well as uptake was greater in the shoot as 159 compared with concentration and uptake in the grain. Zinc-use efficiencies significantly 160 decreased with increasing Zn rates in the soil except agro-physiological efficiency, which had 161 significant quadratic increases with increasing Zn rates. On average, about 6% of the applied Zn 162 was recovered by the lowland rice plants. The agronomic, physiological and agro-physiological 163 apparent recovery and utilization efficiencies were highest at lower level of zinc application and 164 decreased with Zn doses. 165

### 166 Conclusion:

- It is found thatmost of the growth and yield attributing characteristics of rice werehighest in 7.5
- kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>applied plot at alternate year applied plot, those were similar to 5.0 and 10.0 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup>
- at alternate year and 7.5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> at every year applied plot. The grain and straw yield data
- clearly indicated that application of zinc significantly increased the both yields. On the basis of
- above findings it is concluded that Zn applied at the rate of 7.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>initially may be considered
- as optimum for the rice crop in the fourth year under rice-wheat crop rotation in increasing rice
- growth parameters and grain yield of rice in upland calcareous soil.

### 174 References:

- Abid, M., Ahmad, N., Jahangir, M. and Ahmad, I. (2001). Effect of zinc, iron and manganese on
- growth and yield of rice (Oryza Sativa L.). Pakistan Journal of the Agricultural
- 177 Science. 101:39(3).
- Alloway, B.J. (2009). Soil factors associated with zinc deficiency in crops and humans. *Environ*.
- 179 *Geochem.Health.***31**: 537–548.
- Brennan, R.F. (2001): Residual value of zinc fertilizer for production of wheat. Australian
- *Journal of Experimental Agriculture.***41**: 541–547.
- Dobermann, A. and Fairhurst, T. (2000). Rice: Nutrient disorders and nutrient
- management: International Rice Research Institute.

- Fageria, N,K,andBaligar. V.C. (1997). Growth components and zinc recovery efficiency of
- upland rice genotypes. Communications in Soil Science and PlantAnalysis.32(14): 171-189.
- Fageria, N.K., Dos Santos, B. and Cobucci, T. (2015). Zinc nutrition of lowland rice.
- 187 Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 42(14): 1719-1727
- Gill, R.S. and Hardeep, S. (1978). Effect of zinc sulphate on the grain yield performance of tall
- and dwarf varieties of rice. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. **23**: 375-376.
- 190 Graham, R.D., Ascher, J.S. and Hynes, H.C. (1992). Selecting zinc efficient genotypes for soils
- of low zinc status. *Plant Soil.* **146**: 241-250.
- Jalali, M. and Khanlari, Z.V. (2008). Effect of aging process on the fractionation of heavy metals
- in some calcareous soils of Iran. *Geoderma*. **143**: 26-40.
- Katyal, V. and Gangwar, B. (2000). Effect of frequency and levels of sulphur and zinc
- application on crop productivity of rice-rice system in east coast areas. Journal of the Indian
- 196 *Society of Coastal AgriculturalResearch.***18**(1): 12-15.
- Kumar, B., Singh, S.B. and Singh, V.P. (1998). Effect of different methods of zinc application on
- yield attributes and yield of rice. *Journal of Soils and Crops*.**8**(2): 112-115.
- Lu, A.X., Zhang, S.Z. and Shan, S.Q. (2005). Time effect on the fractionation of heavy metals in
- soils. *Geoderma*. **125**: 225–234.
- Muthukumararaja, T.M. and Sriramachandrasekharan, M.V. (2012). Effect of zinc on yield, zinc
- nutrition and zinc use efficiency of lowland rice, Journal of Agricultural Technology. 8(2):
- 203 551-561.
- Sakal, R., Singh, A.P., Singh, B.P., Sinha, R.B., Jha, S.N. and Singh, S.P. (1985). Distribution of
- available micronutrient cations in calcareous soils as related to certain soil properties.
- Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 33: 672-675.
- Sakal, R., Singh, B.P. and Singh, A.P. (1979). Determination of critical limit of zinc in soil and
- plant for predicting response of rice to zinc application in calcareous soil. *Plant and Soil* **6**:
- 209 129-132.
- 210 Saravanan, A. and Ramanathan, K.M. (1986).Response of lowland rice to
- zincfertilizer.International Rice Research Newsletter.11(2): 31.
- Sillanpaa, M. (1982). Micronutrient Assessment at Country Level: An International Study. FAO
- Soils Bulletin No. 63, Rome.

- 214 Sriramachandrasekharan, M.V. and Mathan, K.K. (1988.) Influence of Zinc sources of the yield 215 components, dry matter production and yield of rice (Var. IR-60). *Madras Agricultural* 216 *Journal*.**75**(5-6): 200-203. 217 Tisdale, S. L., Nelson, W. L. and Beaton, J. L. (1993). *Soil fertility andfertilizers*. New Jersey
- 11sdale, S. L., Nelson, W. L. and Beaton, J. L. (1993). Soil fertility anafertuizers. New Jersey
  USA: Pearson Education.
- Uddin, M.J., Bhuiya, Z.H., Hoque, M.S. and Rahuman, L. (1981). Effects of rates and methods of zinc application on rice. *Madras Agricultural Journal.* **68**(4): 211-216.
- Wang, Y.Y., Wei, Y.Y., Dong, L.X., Lu, L.L., Feng, Y., Zhang, J., Pan, F.S. and Yang, X.E. (2014). Improved yield and Zn accumulation for rice grain by Zn fertilization and optimized
- water management. *Journal of Zhejiang University-SCIENCE B (Biomedicine & Biotechnology)* ISSN. 1673-1581.
- Wei, X. R., Hao, M.D. and Zhang, C. X. (2005). Zinc fractions and availability in the soil of the Loess Plateau after long-term continuous application of Zinc fertilizer. *Sci. Agric.* Sin. 38:1386–1393.
- Welch, R. M. (1993). Zinc concentrations and forms in plants for humans and animals. In *Zinc*in soils and plants.183–195.
- Wissuwa, M.,Ismail, A. and Graham, R. (2008). Rice grain zinc concentrations as affected by genotype, native soil-zinc availability, and zinc fertilization. *Plant Soil.* **306**(1-2): 37-48.

Table 1: Rice growth and yield as influenced by application of different doses and modes of Zn

Treatment	No. of tillers m <sup>-2</sup>	No. of productive tillers m <sup>-2</sup>	Root weight (g m <sup>-2</sup> )	Length of Panicle (cm)	No. of filled grain panicle -1	No. of Unfilled grain panicle <sup>-1</sup>	Test weight (g)	Grain Yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )
$T_1$ : (2.5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> at first year)	320	259	169.57	23.67	77	35	19.12	31.70	42.87
T <sub>2</sub> : (5.0 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> at first year)	324	260	174.33	24.03	82	33	19.68	31.77	43.58
T <sub>3</sub> : (7.5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> at first year)	321	261	173.33	24.73	83	34	20.12	38.40	44.04
T <sub>4</sub> : (10.0 Zn kg ha <sup>-1</sup> at first year)	322	258	169.00	24.40	83	38	20.18	37.93	50.28
T <sub>5</sub> : (2.5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> at alternate year)	319	258	186.67	24.63	82	32	19.49	34.00	50.36
T <sub>6</sub> : (5.0 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> at alternate year)	323	262	192.00	25.50	82	34	19.59	36.73	50.33
T <sub>7</sub> : (7.5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> at alternate year)	330	268	195.33	24.33	89	34	20.30	39.73	52.30
T <sub>8</sub> : (10.0 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> at alternate year)	324	262	197.33	24.43	86	35	20.69	40.03	52.54
T <sub>9</sub> : (2.5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> at every year)	320	259	205.00	23.90	87	34	19.87	36.77	52.24
$T_{10}$ : (5.0 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> at every year)	329	266	214.33	24.53	88	39	19.51	40.20	52.41
T <sub>11</sub> : (7.5 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> at every year)	322	261	219.33	24.87	81	36	21.95	37.72	50.83
T <sub>12</sub> : (10.0 kg Zn ha <sup>-1</sup> at every year)	316	256	202.33	24.97	83	37	19.73	38.07	49.54
T <sub>13</sub> : (Control)	312	253	168.67	23.30	72	33	19.09	30.80	38.53
SEm±	2.78	2.74	4.81	0.66	3.16	1.63	0.52	1.29	2.62
CD (5%)	8.11	7.99	14.04	NS	9.22	4.77	1.53	3.76	7.64

Fig. 1 Agronomic efficiency (kg grain/kg Zn) of the different Zn treatments in rice during fourth year under rice-wheat cropping system




Fig. 2 Apparent Zn recovery efficiency (%) of the different Zn treatments in rice during fourth year under rice wheat cropping system

