1	MODFLOW's River Package. Part 2
2	Correction, combining analytical and numerical approaches.
3	
4	
5	Abstract
6	Most widely used integrated hydrologic models still describe the flow
7	interaction between streams and aquifers using primitive early concepts. In
8	the previous article the shortcomings of the methodology were shown in
9	great details. In this second part means are presented by which
10	improvements can be introduced into the procedures. Accuracy and
11	numerical efficiency will be improved. The article describes in details the
12	proposed alternatives for both the saturated and the unsaturated connections.
13	In the article reference is made specifically to the code MODFLOW. Most
14	of the other integrated hydrologic models used for large-scale regional
15	studies apply essentially the same methodology to estimate seepage.
16	
17	
18	
19	<u>1. Introduction</u>

Large-scale hydrologic models such as MODFLOW (McDonald, and Harbaugh, 1988) try to be as physically based as possible. They nevertheless remain highly conceptual. In this article a methodology is introduced to improve the estimation of seepage under conditions of saturated or unsaturated hydraulic connection.

25

26 **<u>2. Proposed combined analytical-numerical estimation of seepage under</u>**

27 <u>a saturated connection</u>

Figure 1 displays the flow pattern of saturated seepage from a rectangular cross-section of a river toward some distance away in the surrounding aquifer.



Figure 1. Exact analytical flow pattern from a rectangular cross-section with a moderate degree of penetration. After Miracapillo and Morel-Seytoux, 2014)

It is clear in Figure 1 that the average head in the aquifer cell is less than the river head, which in this case is 104 m. The boundary condition at both ends of the region was a uniform head of 103 m. As the flow approaches the

right and left sides of the system it tends to become horizontal. The question 39 is: how to combine such analytical solution with an overall numerical code 40 such as e.g. MODFLOW? In the large-scale regional studies the water-table 41 aquifer is treated as a single calculation layer, which means that the model is 42 using the Dupuit-Forchheimer assumption that in the aquifer the head 43 distribution in the vertical direction is hydrostatic. In other words the flow 44 in that water-table aquifer is considered horizontal. Yet it is clear from 45 Figure 1 that the flow pattern in the vicinity of the river is not horizontal. 46

The proposed solution is to treat the flow for what it is locally that is 2-47 dimensional in the vertical plane and reattach it at some distance away from 48 the river bank to a 2-dimensional numerical solution in the horizontal plane. 49 To achieve that result one distinguishes the aquifer cell that contains the 50 river, the "river cell", from an adjacent neighboring cell as shown in Figure 51 2. (There may or may not exist a clogging layer). The lateral grid size, G, is 52 chosen, at a minimum, such that by the time the seepage flow from the river 53 has reached the center of the right (or left) half of the river cell it has become 54 horizontal. That way the Dupuit-Forchheimer assumption to calculate the 55 flow between the river cell and the adjacent cell is legitimate. The analytical 56 solution for the flow (Morel-Seytoux, 2009; Morel-Seytoux et al., 2013; 57 Morel-Seytoux et al., 2016) as shown in Figure 1, has demonstrated that 58



Figure 2. Cross-section view showing the different components of the

⁷⁹ stream-aquifer system, applicable in the case of saturated connection.

85

80 (This distance of twice the aquifer thickness is quite excessive as a look at 81 Figure 1 shows quite clearly. In practice one can use shorter grid sizes than 82 the one conservatively needed to determine the minimum grid size). The 83 seepage discharge from the river on one side, $Q_S^{one-sided}$ (say the left side) is 84 given by the relation:

$$Q_S^{one-sided} = K_H L_R \mathsf{G}_{one-sided}(h_S - h_f) \tag{1}$$

where K_{H} is the aquifer hydraulic horizontal conductivity, L_{R} is the river reach length, h_{S} is the head in the river and h_{f} is the average head in the aquifer river cell (i.e. the cell that contains the river). $G_{one-sided}$ is the SAFE (Stream-Aquifer Flow Exchange) dimensionless conductance. That $G_{one-sided}$ or simply G has been estimated exactly analytically. It is a function of the normalized wetted perimeter of the river, $W_{p}^{N} = \frac{W_{p}}{D_{aq}}$ (2), of the degree of

penetration, $\frac{H}{D_{aq}}$ (3), where *H* is the river stage, of the degree of anisotropy,

93 $\Gamma_{anis} = \frac{K_V}{K_H}$ (4), of the excess distance from the minimum standard far

94 distance, $D = \frac{G}{4} - (2\frac{D_{aq}}{\sqrt{r_{anis}}} + B)$ (5) (which means that the minimum grid

size must be $G_{\min} = 8 \frac{D_{aq}}{\sqrt{r_{anis}}} + 4B$ (6) and of the presence of a real

96 clogging layer defined by its leakance coefficient, $L_{rcl} = \frac{K_{rcl}}{e_{rcl}}$ (7). The

97 symbol for G when all the effects of anisotropy, excess distance over the 98 minimum standard far distance and presence of a real clogging layer are 99 explicitly accounted is $G_{anis-D-rcl}$ if necessary, though otherwise for 100 brevity still labeled as G. The total seepage discharge is thus:

101
$$Q_S^{safe} = 2L_R K_H \mathsf{G}(h_S - h_f) \qquad (8)$$

102 On the other hand the MODFLOW equation is:

103
$$Q_S^{\text{mod}} = L_R W_p \mathsf{L}_{\text{mod}}(h_S - h_f) \quad (9)$$

¹⁰⁴ If there is a tight streambed (clogging layer) MODFLOW proposes for the

105 leakance coefficient the expression: $\frac{K}{M} = \frac{K_{cl}}{e_{cl}} = L_{\text{mod}} \quad (10)$

However MODFLOW does not provide a procedure to estimate theseclogging layer parameters except possibly through calibration.

¹⁰⁸ If there is no tight streambed within some limited conditions MODFLOW

109 proposes:
$$L_{mod} = \frac{K_{aq}}{1} = \frac{K_V}{1}$$
 (11)

Identification of Eq.(8) and (9) shows that as long as there is saturated connection, whether there is a tight streambed or not, the choice for

112 MOFLOW should be:
$$L_{mod} = L_{safe} = 2K_H G / W_p = \frac{K_H G}{R + H}$$
 (12)

Morel-Seytoux et al. (2013 and 2016) have provided all the information necessary to calculate Gin terms of the local conditions and the values of the parameters defining the system. It requires only a few algebraic calculations (Miracapillo and Morel-Seytoux, 2014; Morel-Seytoux et al., 2016).

When using the leakance coefficient of Eq.(10) in the MODFLOW Eq.(9) for seepage discharge the river cell head used is h_{ijk} , that is the finite difference average value of head in the full river cell, which is precisely the average value of head in the half river cell and a very close approximation for the head at the center of the half river cell, which is the head needed for the validity of Eq.(9).

123 **<u>3. Proposed combined analytical-numerical estimation of seepage under</u>**

124 <u>an unsaturated connection</u>

This is a more complicated situation. The complete physical system consists
of a river, a clogging layer (riverbed), an unsaturated zone below, a capillary
fringe, a water table mound, a river cell and an adjacent cell (Figures 3 and
4).

129

3.1. The simplified description of the unsaturated zone

The goal is to describe approximately, simply but with sufficient accuracy, 130 the transient flow exchange between surface water (river, canal or pond) and 131 the underlying aquifer under an unsaturated connection. The riverbed acts as 132 a clogging layer. In the aquifer just below the clogging layer, the flow may 133 be saturated or unsaturated. The word interface refers to the boundary 134 between the bottom of the clogging layer and the top of the underlying 135 aquifer, while we use the term capillary zone for the combination of both the 136 unsaturated zone and the capillary fringe. 137

The approach is to simplify the analysis of the unsaturated situation by approximating the shape of the water content profile in the unsaturated zone. The selected profile for the water content is the one that would convey the current seepage steadily and uniformly through the unsaturated zone.

In this document the unsaturated relative conductivity and the capillary
pressure functions are characterized by the Brooks-Corey formulation as
described (online) in Appendix 1.



stream-aquifer system, applicable in the case of unsaturated connection.

For illustration, the parameter M=2.5 (power in the capillary pressure curve expressed as a function of normalized water content) and p=5 (power in the relative permeability curve expressed as a function of normalized water content) are chosen.



Figure 4. Water content profile below the riverbed and above the water table mound.

In this case the normalized capillary pressure head profile (details in
Appendix 2, online) is:

207
$$h_{c}^{*} = \frac{1 + h_{cl}^{*}\sqrt{i_{s}^{*}} - e^{D_{z}z^{*}}(1 - h_{cl}^{*}\sqrt{i_{s}^{*}})}{\sqrt{i_{s}^{*}}[1 + h_{cl}^{*}\sqrt{i_{s}^{*}} + e^{D_{z}z^{*}}(1 - h_{cl}^{*}\sqrt{i_{s}^{*}})]}$$
(13) where $z^{*} = z/z_{f}$ is the normalized

208 coordinate, *z* is the vertical coordinate with origin at the interface oriented 209 positive downward, and z_f denotes the position (depth) of the bottom of the 210 unsaturated zone from the bottom of the clogging layer.

At the interface between the clogging layer and the aquifer on the aquifer side there is a water content, θ_I , distinct from the average one within the unsaturated zone, θ . Furthermore, θ_s is the saturated water content in the aquifer, h_{cI} is the capillary pressure at the interface, and its normalized value is $h_{cI}^* = h_{cI} / h_{ce}$ where h_{ce} is the drainage entry pressure. The seepage rate at the interface is i_s . Dividing it by the vertical hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer, K_V , its normalized value is $i_s^* = i_s / K_V$. The coefficient D_z is:

218
$$D_{z} = \ln\left\{\frac{(1+h_{cl}^{*}\sqrt{i_{s}^{*}})((1-\sqrt{i_{s}^{*}}))}{(1+\sqrt{i_{s}^{*}})(1-h_{cl}^{*}\sqrt{i_{s}^{*}})}\right\}$$
(14)

One can see from Eq. (13) that the capillary pressure takes the proper values at the water table and the interface (details in Appendix 2 online). The normalized water content is obtained as $q^* = (h_c^*)^{-1/M}$, while z_{rf} denotes the position (height) of the current water table (mound) as shown in Figure 4. While the choice of the water content profile in the unsaturated zone is approximate, the process maintains mass balance and the essential dynamics of the process. D is the maximum thickness of the water table aquifer *including the clogging layer* below the river, that is:

 $D = e_{rcl} + z_f + h_{ce} + z_{rf}$ (15)

In other words, D is the sum of the streambed thickness, e_{crl} , the unsaturated zone thickness, the capillary fringe thickness, h_{ce} , and the water table height. Figures 5 displays the shape of the unsaturated zone water content profile for a given set of parameters.





Figure 5. Water content profile in the unsaturated zone above the capillary

234 fringe.

- (Had there been no flow the capillary pressure at 2 m above the capillary
- fringe would have been 2.3 m but it is only 1.2 m because there is downward flow).
- Several different initial conditions are defined. (Some are applicable for the
 case of saturated connection). It could be (1) incipient desaturation or
 hydrostatic condition or (3) general saturated condition . These conditions
 are described in Appendix 3.

3.3 Estimation of recharge rate to the water table under unsaturated connection

244 In that case $h_{cl} \ge h_{ce}$ (16a) and $\theta_l^* \le \theta^* \le 1$ (16b)

Dynamic estimation of the water velocity from the bottom of the streambed to the top of the capillary fringe will provide the average flow rate in the unsaturated zone. The expression for that average (in space) dynamic water

248 velocity is):
$$\frac{v}{K_{v}} = v^{*} = \frac{-H_{cS}[1 - (\theta_{I}^{*})^{p-M}] + k_{rw}(\theta)z_{f}}{z_{f}}$$
 (17a)

249 or
$$\frac{v}{K_{v}} = v^{*} = \frac{-H_{cS}[1 - (h_{cI}^{*})^{-\frac{p-M}{M}}] + k_{rw}(q)z_{f}}{z_{f}} \quad (17 \text{ b})$$

This is an instantaneous value of a space average over the unsaturated zone. Note that the first term on the right hand side of Eq.(17) expresses the capillary resistance to flow on the part of the water table. That capillary resistance being a potential is known exactly. It only depends on the end boundary conditions and is independent of the actual profile shape. On the
other hand the second term that represents the always down force of gravity
is approximate because it depends upon the choice of the water content
profile.

For simplicity in writing let:
$$-H_{cs}[1 - (h_{cl}^*)^{-\frac{p-M}{M}}] = C_{ap}R_{es}$$
 (18)
where $C_{ap}R_{es}$ is the capillary resistance, a negative value. Then Eq. (24) has
simpler expression: $v = K_v[\frac{C_{ap}R_{es}}{z_f} + k_{rw}(q)]$ (19)

From a mass balance point of view the recharge rate to the top of the capillary fringe is the sum of the seepage rate through the clogging layer and of the amount of drainage from the unsaturated zone, symbolically:

264
$$v_{rech}^{mass} = i_{s} + \left[\frac{(q^{o} - q_{r})z_{f}^{o}}{Dt} + \frac{(q_{s} - q_{r})(z_{f} - z_{f}^{o})}{Dt}\right] - \frac{(q - q_{r})z_{f}}{Dt} (20)$$

(Even though the numerical value of Δt is 1 (day), as a check on proper dimensionality of the derived expressions it is better to keep it explicitly. The superscript "mass" is not generally shown when mass estimate is meant). The superscript "o" refers to *old* values, at the beginning of a period (time step). The superscript "n" (or no superscript) refers to *new* values, at the end of the period.

The space average instantaneous water flow rate in the unsaturated zone is:

а

- A

272
$$v = K_{v} \left[\frac{C_{ap} R_{es}}{z_{f}} + k_{rw}(q) \right] = (i_{s} + v_{rech}^{dyn}) / 2 \quad (21)$$

273 from which one deduces:
$$v_{rech}^{dyn} = 2K_V [\frac{C_{ap}R_{es}}{z_f} + k_{rw}(q)] - i_S$$
 (22)

The two Eqs. (20) and (22) for the recharge rate must give the same result. By equating the two expressions one obtains an expression for the depth of the unsaturated zone as a function of the capillary pressure at the interface:

277
$$i_{s} + \left[\frac{(q^{o} - q_{r})z_{f}^{o}}{Dt} + \frac{(q_{s} - q_{r})(z_{f} - z_{f}^{o})}{Dt}\right] - \frac{(q - q_{r})z_{f}}{Dt} = 2K_{v}\left[\frac{C_{ap}R_{es}}{z_{f}} + k_{rw}(q)\right] - i_{s}$$
(23a)

278 Multiplying by z_f and dividing by $2K_V$ one obtains:

279
$$\frac{(q_s - q)(z_f)^2}{2K_v Dt} - \{k_{rw} - i_s^* + \frac{(q_s - q^o)z_f^o}{2K_v Dt}\}z_f - C_{ap}R_{es} = 0$$
(23b)

280 Setting $a = \frac{(\theta_s - \theta)}{2K_v \Delta t}$ (24a) $b = -\{k_{rw} - t_s^* + \frac{(q_s - q^{\rho})z_f^{o}}{2K_v Dt}\}$ (24b) and $c = -C_{ap}R_{es}$ (24c)

281 the solution is:
$$z_f = \frac{-b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$
(25)

Note that, since this value of z_f is obtained by requiring that the recharge rate v_{rech} be the same whether evaluated by mass balance or dynamically, in the later sections the stipulation that v_{rech} is the mass balance or the dynamic estimate is superfluous since they have the same value.

Because the driving force behind the transient evolution of the unsaturated 287 seepage is the head in the river cell (the aquifer cell that contains the river 288 reach cross-section), we look at how the aquifer zones react to that head and 289 to the head in the river. Because of the complex interaction between these 290 different zones (river, mound, river cell away from river banks, adjacent 291 cells) to keep derivations (and illustrations) simple we simply look at how 292 the mound reacts to the head in the half aquifer river cell not under the 293 clogging layer (see Figure 3), hf. (This is a reduced half river cell as it 294 excludes the water-table mound below the river bottom). Naturally in 295 practice the river head is affected by the river flow and its interaction with 296 the aquifer below. Similarly the head in the river cell is affected by the heads 297 in adjacent cells, conditioned by what happens in the full river-aquifer 298 system, as a result of pumping, artificial recharge, etc. These heads are not 299 realistic boundary conditions. Here we want to focus on the procedures to 300 estimate seepage and therefore eliminate all complexities resulting from a 301 full system that would obscure the manner in which seepage is estimated. 302

The water table mound is excited by the recharge rate from the river and the lateral outflow to (or inflow from) the part of the river cell, which is not below the river. Mass balance for the position of the mound is:

$$f_{erf}(B+H)\frac{dz_{rf}}{dt} = (B+H)v_{rech} - GK_H(z_{rf} - h_f)$$

$$= (B+H)\{2K_V[\frac{C_{ap}R_{es}}{z_f} + k_{rw}] - i_S\} - GK_H(z_{rf} - h_f)$$
(26a)

306

In this expression f_{erf} is the specific yield (effective porosity) in the mound region.

The position of the center of the part of the half river cell on the right (or 309 left) away from the river bank, which is G/4 - B/2, must exceed the standard 310 far distance (Morel-Seytoux et al., 2016). This requirement is necessary to 311 guarantee: (1) the applicability of the SAFE G as the proper dimensionless 312 conductance and (2) that the flow between the river cell and the adjacent cell 313 will be horizontal, i.e. meets the Dupuit-Forhheimer criterion. This puts a 314 limit on the minimum lateral size of the river cell. Let D be that excess 315 distance. Also the SAFE dimensionless conductance appearing in Eq. (26) 316 must be $\Gamma_{flat-anis-\Delta}$ accounting for the fact that there is no longer river 317 penetration, but the possibility of anisotropy in the aquifer and for an excess 318 distance over the standard far distance. Eq. (26a) slightly rewritten is: 319

320
$$f_{erf}(B+H)\frac{dz_{rf}}{dt} + GK_H z_{rf} = GK_H h_f + (B+H)v_{rech}$$
(26b)

321 Dividing throughout by ΓKH , setting $S_{rf} = \frac{B+H}{K_H G}$ (27a)

 $322 C_{rf} = f_{erf} S_{rf} (27b)$

323 one obtains:
$$C_{rf} \frac{dz_{rf}}{dt} + z_{rf} = h_f + S_{rf} v_{rech}$$
 (28a)

or more simply defining the excitation as:

325
$$E_{rf} = h_f + S_{rf} K_V \{ 2[k_{rw}(q) + \frac{C_{ap} R_{es}]}{Z_f}] - i_s^* \}$$
(29a)

326 or $E_{rf} = h_f + S_{rf} v_{rech}$ (29b) thus $C_{rf} \frac{dz_{rf}}{dt} + z_{rf} = E_{rf}^o + (E_{rf}^v - E_{rf}^o)t$ (28b)

with structure of a Linear Reservoir hydrologic routing model with constant "time constant" (e.g. Gilcrest, 1950; Linsley et al., 1958; Corps of Engineers, 1960; Chow et al.,1988) with a linear variation of the excitation with time .

The expression (see online Appendix 4) applied for $z_{rf}(n)$ (where n is the period (usually day) number for time) is:

$$z_{rf}^{dyn}(n) = \Gamma_{rf} z_{rf}(n-1) + \partial_{rf} [h_f(n-1) + S_{rf} v_{rech}(n-1)]$$

334

 $+ \mathcal{b}_{rf}[h_f(n) + \mathcal{S}_{rf}v_{rech}(n)]$ (30)

335 with
$$r_{rf} = e^{C_{rf}} (31a) a_{rf} = [C_{rf}(1 - r_{rf}) - r_{rf}] (31b) b_{rf} = [1 - C_{rf}(1 - r_{rf})] (31c)$$

336

3.5 Procedural steps

The external excitations to the system are the stage (maximum water depth) in the river, *H*, and the head in the part of the half river cell away from the banks, h_f . The first step is to estimate (guess) the value of the interface capillary pressure, h_{cl} , and thus determine θ_I , θ and i_S as well. Then one estimates a value for z_f by requiring that the recharge rates estimated by mass balance and dynamically be the same, using Eq. (25). That defines a value of z_f . Next the value of z_{rf} is obtained by mass balance and dynamically.

One estimates the value of
$$z_{rf}$$
 by mass balance: $z_{rf}^{mass} = z_{rf} = D - e_{cl} - z_f - h_{ce}$ (32)

and dynamically,
$$z_{rf}^{dyn}$$
, using Eq. (30).

Had one chosen the right value for h_{cI} the two estimated values for z_{rf} would be the same. If they are not the same then iteratively one chooses other values of h_{cI} so that ultimately the two values match within a given tolerance. Once that tolerance is met the right value of h_{cI} and of all the other variables was obtained.

352 **4. Numerical example**

³⁵³ Parameters of the system are provided in Table 1.

Parameter	Definition	Unit	Value
D	Aquifer thickness below river bottom	m	20
В	Half-width of the river	m	5
G	Lateral grid	m	200
D	Excess far distance	m	5.0
K_H	Aquifer hydraulic conductivity	m/day	2.5
	(horizontal)		
K_V	Aquifer hydraulic conductivity	m/day	2.5
	(vertical)		
K _{rcl}	Hydraulic conductivity of clogging layer	m/day	0.01

e_{rcl}	Thicknesss of clogging layer	m	0.4
h _{ce}	BC air entry value, aquifer	m	0.30
	BC air entry value, clogging layer	m	2.00
M	BC exponent, aquifer	-	2.5
	BC exponent, clogging layer	-	2.5
p	BC Exponent conductivity aquifer	-	5
	BC exponent conductivity clogging layer	- ,	5
			1
H _{ini}	Water level in river	m	0.1
h_f^{ini}	Initial head in the aquifer river cell	m	20.7

Table 1. Parameters of the system

The minimum grid size must be $8\overline{D}_{aq} + 4B$. In this case the cell size should equal or exceed 160 + 20 = 180 m. Nevertheless the grid size is chosen conservatively to be 200 m. The excess far distance is $\frac{G}{4} - B - 2\frac{D_{aq}}{1} = 200/4$ 58 - 5 - 2(20) = 5

Figure 6 displays the evolution of the head in the river, the mound and the river cell. To facilitate the interpretation of the results the river stage is maintained constant at a value of 0.1 m. Thus affecting the evolution of seepage and recharge is the variation of the head in the river cell. It varies in such a way that at times the hydraulic connection between the river and the aquifer is saturated and at other times it is unsaturated. As long as the

connection is saturated the head in the river cell and in the mound below the 365



river bottom are the same. 366



At first the river is gaining from the aquifer as the head in the aquifer exceeds 369 the river stage. The seepage is algebraically negative in that case as Figure 7 370

shows. At time 20 the head which had been declining starts to rise. 371

It rises so much that by time 35 resaturation is taking place and by time 38 the 372 river is gaining from the aquifer. Then it declines again and by time 49 373 desaturation occurs and it remains the condition till the end of the simulation. 374 In the case of MODFLOW there is no distinction between seepage and 375 recharge. It is assumed that the seepage rate instantly recharges the aquifer 376

cell below the river bottom as shown in Figure 7. 377



- Whenever that value exceeds the entry pressure (0.30 m) seepage is
- occurring under an unsaturated connection,



Figure 8. Capillary pressure at interface.

At time 8 the capillary pressure exceeds the entry pressur (0.3 m), the connection

becomes unsaturated and recharge now exceeds the seepage as a result of

- drainage of moisture below the riverbed.
- ³⁸⁹ Figure 9 shows the water content distribution within the unsaturated zone with
- 390 time.



Figure 9. Average water content in the unsaturated zone and value at interface.
(Table 1 in Appendix 5 online summarizes the results and provides a
glossary of terms).

395 **<u>5. Discussion</u>**

For this particular set of parameters, given that a very tight clogging layer exists, under a saturated condition the predictions between MODFLOW and the proposed method are very close. In this case MODFLOW's assumption that all the resistance is taking place vertically through the clogging layer (and none to allow for the flow to turn from a vertical to a horizontal direction) is practically correct. However when the connection becomes unsaturated then the difference is major. The assumption that the head drop driving the flow is the head difference between the river and the elevation of the bottom of the riverbed is
not valid. The location of the water-table mound below the riverbed does have
an impact on the seepage rate.

A major problem with these old methods is the basic assumption that the flow is driven by a difference of head between the river and an average head in a river cell whose dimensions are large compared to the width of the river. Clearly the head difference should be with a head in the aquifer that is close to the bottom of the river. Under an unsaturated connection it should be quite clear that the relevant head is not the average head in a huge river cell but the head of the water-table mound present below the riverbed.

If a single leakance coefficient is used under saturated or unsaturated connection as is done here for the estimation by MODFLOW's approach then clearly it cannot be accurate under all circumstances. With the new approach presented here that leakance coefficient is constantly changing based on the physical situation and the prevailing circumstances, as demonstrated in the numerical example..

419 6. Conclusion

Many previous studies have shown that the early methodology to estimate the
flow interaction between a river and a connected aquifer, as described in a
number of manuals, was not very physically based. Yet that methodology is still

much in used today, particularly in large-scale regional studies. That situation is 423 especially critical when the connection becomes unsaturated and the situation 424 alternates between the two conditions. An alternative approach is presented 425 which has a sound physical basis and allows the situation to alternate between 426 a saturated and unsaturated connection. This is done with recourse to simple 427 analytical procedures and avoids reliance on complex and time-consuming 428 numerical solutions of the two-dimensional unsaturated flow equations. 429 Because in this article the emphasis is on the estimation of seepage and recharge 430 the river stage and the aquifer river cell are treated as the decision variables. 431 That way comparison with MODFLOW is not obscured by the influence of 432 many other factors. In actual studies they are not decision variables but rather 433 state variables depending on routing of flow in the river and the influence of 434 adjacent cells in a large system. Other articles have already suggested more 435 efficient analytical routing procedures and how to treat the river cell head as a 436 state variable depending on the recharge from the river and the influence of the 437 heads in the adjacent cells and more articles will explore these aspects and 438 publish them in greater details in the future. 439

440 **<u>7. Bibliography</u>**

Hanson, R. 2017. Salinas Valley Integrated Modeling of Agricultural
Conjunctive Use. California Water and Environmenatal Modeling Forum

- 443 Annual Meeting, March 20-22, 2017, Folsom, California.
- 444 McDonald, M., and A. Harbaugh. 1988. A modular three-dimensional finite-
- 445 difference ground-water flow model: Techniques of Water-Resources
- Investigations of the United States Geological Survey, Book 6, Chapter A1.
- 447 Miracapillo, C., and H. J. Morel-Seytoux. 2014. Analytical solutions for stream-
- 448 aquifer flow exchange under varying head asymmetry and river penetration:
- 449 Comparison to numerical solutions and use in regional groundwater models,
- 450 *Water Resour. Res.*, 50, doi:10.1002/2014WR015456.
- 451 Morel-Seytoux, H.J., 2009. The Turning Factor in the Estimation of Stream-
- 452 Aquifer Seepage. Ground Water Journal, doi: 10.1111/j.1745-
- 453 6584.2008.00512.x
- 454 Morel-Seytoux, H.J., Calvin D. Miller, Cinzia Miracapillo and Steffen Mehl
- 455 (2016). River Seepage Conductance in Large-Scale Regional Studies.
- 456 November 2016. ©2016, National GroundWater Association, doi:
- 457 10.1111/gwat.12491
- 458 Morel-Seytoux, H.J., C. Miracapillo and S. Mehl. 2015. Impact of aquifer
- desaturation on steady-state river seepage. Adv Water Resources. 2015, 88,
- 460 21-31, doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.09.012
- 461 Morel Seytoux, H. J., S. Mehl, and K. Morgado. 2014. Factors influencing the
- stream aquifer flow exchange coefficient. Groundwater. 52(5), 775-781.

463	Rushton, K. 2007. Representation in regional models of saturated river-
464	aquifer interaction for gaining/losing rivers. Journal of Hydrology 334: 262-
465	281.
466	Woolfenden, L.R., and T. Nishikawa. 2014. Simulation of groundwater and
467	surface-water resources of the Santa Rosa Plain Watershed, Sonoma County,
468	California. USGS Scientific Investigation Report 2014–5052, 241 p.
469	
470	Appendix 1. Using the Brooks-Corey formulation

Normalized water content is defined as: 471

474

472
$$\theta^* = \frac{\theta - \theta_r}{\theta_s - \theta_r}$$
(1)

Capillary pressure (head), h_c , expressed as an equivalent water height is 473 represented in the unsaturated zone by a power law (Brooks-Corey, BC) as:

 $h_c = h_{ce}(q^*)^{-M}$ (2)475

where h_{ce} is the entry pressure. In the BC original notations a parameter / 476 is used and M is simply the inverse of /) That capillary pressure is positive 477 in the unsaturated zones and in the capillary fringes. h_{cl} denotes the 478 capillary pressure at the interface between the bottom of the clogging layer 479 and the aquifer below. This pressure is continuous across the interface. 480

Relative permeability, k_{rw} , in the unsaturated zone is defined by a power law as:

483
$$k_{rw} = (q^*)^p$$
 (3)

In the BC original notations a power $e = \frac{h}{l}$ is used which is simply p.

Note that the power p is always much greater than M. BC suggested a relation between p and M, p = 3 + 2M. Actually p can be less than 3 so this is a rough approximation. Then

488
$$k_{rw} = (q^*)^p$$
 (4a) for $h_c {}^3 h_{ce}$
489 $k_{rw} = 1$ (4b) for $h_c \notin h_{ce}$

490
$$(\frac{h_c}{h_{ce}}) = (q^*)^{-M}$$
 (5a) for $h_c {}^3h_{ce}$

491 or vice versa
$$q^* = \left(\frac{h_c}{h_{ce}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{M}}$$
 (5b)

492 Also
$$k_{rw} = (\frac{h_c}{h_{ce}})^{-\frac{p}{M}}$$
 (6a) for $h_c \,{}^3h_{ce}$

493 and $k_{rw} = 1$ (6b) otherwise.

494 Appendix 2. Steady-state unsaturated seepage water content 495 profile

496 Darcy's equation:
$$v^* = \frac{v}{K_V} = k_{rw} \left[\frac{h_{ce}dh_c^*}{dz} + 1\right]$$
 (1)

497 Expressing k_{rw} as a function of h_c :

498
$$k_{rw} = (h_c^*)^{-\frac{p}{M}} = (h_c^*)^{-\partial}$$
 (2)

499 Substitution in Eq.(1) yields:

500
$$v^* = (h_c^*)^{-\partial} [\frac{h_{ce}dh_c^*}{dz} + 1]$$
 or $\frac{v^* - (h_c^*)^{-\partial}}{(h_c^*)^{-\partial}} = \frac{h_{ce}dh_c^*}{dz}$

501 Separation of variables yields:

502
$$\frac{(h_c^*)^{-a} dh_c^*}{v^* - (h_c^*)^{-a}} = \frac{dz}{h_{ce}}$$

503 Let
$$x = h_c^* \sqrt{v^*}$$
 then $h_c^* = x / \sqrt{v^*}$ and $dh_c^* = \frac{dx}{\sqrt{v^*}}$

 $\frac{\sqrt{v^{*}} \sqrt{v^{*}}}{v^{*} - (\frac{x}{\sqrt{v^{*}}})^{-a}} = \frac{dz}{h_{ce}}$ (5)

506

In case
$$a = 2$$

507

$$\frac{\left(\frac{v}{x^{2}}\right)\frac{dx}{\sqrt{v^{*}}}}{v^{*}-\frac{v}{x^{2}}} = \frac{dz}{h_{ce}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{dx}{\frac{x^{2}\sqrt{v^{*}}}{1-\frac{1}{x^{2}}}} = \frac{dz}{h_{ce}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{dx}{1-x^{2}} = -\sqrt{v^{*}}\frac{dz}{h_{ce}} \tag{6}$$

Note that Eq.(4) is also integrable exactly for values of *a* equal to 3 and 4. Integration of Eq.(5b) between the limits $h_c^* \sqrt{v^*}$ and $h_{cI}^* \sqrt{v^*}$ yields:

(3)

(4)

510
$$\frac{1}{2}\ln(\frac{1+x}{1-x})\Big|_{h_{c}^{*}\sqrt{v^{*}}}^{h_{cI}^{*}\sqrt{v^{*}}} = \frac{\sqrt{v^{*}z}}{h_{ce}}$$
(7)

511 or ultimately:

512
$$\frac{1}{2} \ln \{ (\frac{1+h_{cI}^* \sqrt{v^*}}{1+h_c^* \sqrt{v^*}}) (\frac{1-h_c^* \sqrt{v^*}}{1-h_{cI}^* \sqrt{v^*}}) \} = \frac{\sqrt{v^*}}{h_{ce}} z$$
(8)

513

3 When $h_c^* = 1$, one is at the top of the capillary fringe and then:

514
$$\frac{1}{2} \ln \left\{ \left(\frac{1 + h_{cI}^* \sqrt{v^*}}{1 + \sqrt{v^*}} \right) \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{v^*}}{1 - h_{cI}^* \sqrt{v^*}} \right) \right\} = \frac{\sqrt{v^*}}{h_{ce}} z_f$$

515 Defining:
$$D_z = \ln\{(\frac{1+h_{cI}^*\sqrt{v^*}}{1+\sqrt{v^*}})(\frac{1-\sqrt{v^*}}{1-h_{cI}^*\sqrt{v^*}})\}$$
 (10)

517
$$z^{*} = \frac{z}{z_{f}} = \ln\left\{\left(\frac{1 + h_{cI}^{*}\sqrt{v^{*}}}{1 + h_{c}^{*}\sqrt{v^{*}}}\right)\left(\frac{1 - h_{c}^{*}\sqrt{v^{*}}}{1 - h_{cI}^{*}\sqrt{v^{*}}}\right)\right\} / D_{z}$$
(11)

518

which solved for the normalized capillary pressure yields:

$$h_{c}^{*} = \frac{1 + h_{cI}^{*}\sqrt{v^{*}} - e^{D_{z}z^{*}}(1 - h_{cI}^{*}\sqrt{v^{*}})}{\sqrt{v^{*}}[1 + h_{cI}^{*}\sqrt{v^{*}} + e^{D_{z}z^{*}}(1 - h_{cI}^{*}\sqrt{v^{*}})]}$$
(12)

519

One can verify that for $z^* = 0$ one obtains correctly $h_c^* = h_{cI}^*$. For $z^* = 1$ one obtains also correctly $h_c^* = 1$. That follows from the very definition of the parameter D_z .

523 If
$$v^* < 0$$
 let $\tan(h_{cl}^* \sqrt{-v^*}) = A$, $\tan(\sqrt{-v^*}) = P$ and $D_z = A - P$

(9)

524 The relation between normalized capillary pressure and normalized 525 unsaturated zone coordinate $z^* = \frac{z}{z_f}$ is:

526
$$z^* = \frac{A - \tan(h_c^* \sqrt{-v^*})}{D_z}$$
 or $h_c^* = \frac{\tan^{-1}[A - D_z z^*]}{\sqrt{-v^*}}$

s27 while the full thickness of the unsaturated zone is: $z_f = \frac{h_{ce}D_z}{\sqrt{-v^*}}$

528 Appendix 3. Initial conditions.

529

3.1 Hydrostatic condition.

At initial incipient desaturation the seepage (infiltration) flux (area per time)

through the interface on one side is:

532
$$(B+H)i_{S}^{ini} = (B+H)K_{rcl}[\frac{h_{ce} + H + e_{rcl}}{e_{rcl}}]$$
 (1)

where *B* is half the width of the river bottom, K_{rcl} is the conductivity of a (real) clogging layer, e_{rcl} its thickness, and *H* the water depth in the river. The flux transmitted out of the mound into the river cell (e.g. Morel-Seytoux et al., 2014; Morel-Seytoux, 2009) is:

537
$$K_H G(z_{rf} - h_f) = (B + H)i_S^{ini}$$
 (2)

where Γ is the SAFE dimensionless conductance (Morel-Seytoux et al., 2016), K_H is the aquifer horizontal conductivity and h_f is the head in the part of the half river cell away from the river bank.

541 At incipient desaturation, since $z_f = 0$, $z_{rf}^{ini} = D - e_{rcl} - h_{ce}$ (3)

and it follows from Eq.(2) that
$$h_f^{ini} = z_{rf}^{ini} - \frac{(B+H)i_s^{ini}}{K_H G}$$
(4)

These are possible chosen initial conditions in the river and the aquifer so that simulation starts at incipient desaturation time and continues unsaturated.

546

3.2 Hydrostatic condition.

547
$$i_{S}^{ini} = \frac{K_{H} \mathsf{G}(h_{S}^{ini} - h_{f}^{ini})}{B + H^{ini}} z_{f}^{ini} = 0 z_{rf}^{ini} = h_{f}^{ini} - e_{rcl}$$
 (1)

= 0 (2)

- 548 $z_{rf}^{ini} = D e_{rcl} h_{ce}$ (3)
- 549 $i_{S}^{ini} = 0 = v_{rech}^{ini}$ (4) $h_{cl}^{ini} = -(H_{ini} + e_{rcl})$ (5)

550

3.3 General saturated condition

In this case $h_S^{ini} = D + H_{ini}$ (1) $h_f^{ini} = D + l$ (2) where *l* is an arbitrary number but greater than the negative of the entry pressure $-h_{ce} \in l$ so that no unsaturated zone exists below the riverbed at initial time.

554
$$i_{S}^{ini} = \frac{K_{H}G(h_{S}^{ini} - h_{f}^{ini})}{B + H^{ini}}$$
 (3) $z_{f}^{ini} = 0$ (4) $z_{rf}^{ini} = h_{f}^{ini} - e_{rcl} - h_{ce}$ (5)

Appendix 4. <u>Constant C Linear Reservoir type equation with a right</u> hand-side excitation varying linearly in time.

558 The excitation varies linearly in time and thus the basic governing equation

559 is:
$$C\frac{dU}{dt} + U = E_o + (E_n - E_o)t$$
 (1)

560 We look for a solution of the form: $U(t) = A + Mt + De^{-\frac{t}{C}}$ (2)

561
$$\frac{dU(t)}{dt} = M - \frac{D}{C}e^{-\frac{t}{C}}$$
 (3). Substitution in Eq.(1) yields:

562
$$C(M - \frac{D}{C}e^{-\frac{t}{C}}) + [A + Mt + De^{-\frac{t}{C}}] = E_o + (E_n - E_o)t$$
 (4)

Satisfaction of the equation requires that: $M = (E_n - E_o)$ (5) and

564
$$A = E_o - C(E_n - E_o)$$
 (6)

565 Substitution in Eq.(2) yields:

566
$$U(t) = E_o - C(E_n - E_o) + (E_n - E_o)t + De^{-\frac{t}{C}}$$
(7)

567 At time zero then: $U(0) = E_o - C(E_n - E_o) + D$ (8) which yields D.

568 Substitution in Eq.(7) yields:

569
$$U(t) = U(0)e^{-\frac{t}{C}} + [E_o - C(E_n - E_o)](1 - e^{-\frac{t}{C}}] + (E_n - E_o)t$$
 (9)

- 570 Application for end of period n making t = 1 and setting $\Gamma_U = e^{-\frac{1}{C}}$ (10)
- 571 yields:

572
$$U(n) = \Gamma_U U(n-1) + (1 - \Gamma_U) \{ E(n-1) - C[E(n) - E(n-1)] \} + [E(n) - E(n-1)] \}$$

573 (11)

575
$$U(n) = r_U U(n-1) + \{(1 - r_U)(1 + C) - 1\}E(n-1) + \{1 - C(1 - r_U)\}E(n)$$

576 (12) or

577
$$U(n) = r_U U(n-1) + [C(1-r_U) - r_U]E(n-1) + [1-C(1-r_U)]E(n)$$
(13)

578 with
$$a_U = [C(1 - r_U) - r_U]$$
 (14a) $b_U = [1 - C_U(1 - r_U)]$ (14b)

579 then Eq.(13) becomes: $U(n) = \Gamma_U U(n-1) + a_U E(n-1) + b_U E(n)$ (15)

580 Appendix 5. Tabulated results for the numerical example

```
Name of the file unsatseep#22 results 2018.mpw. It was run on December
581
582
     13, 2018
583
584
     JUNSAT is index to indicate if condition is currently unsaturated or
585
     saturated seepage (abbreviated in Table as simply JU)
586
     JUNSAT = 1 means unsaturated case
587
     JUNSAT = -1 means saturated case
588
589
     All lengths are in units of meters.
590
     Distance from bottom of river (top of clogging layer) to aquifer
591
     impervious bottom D = 20.0 \text{ m}
592
     Grid size is GRID = 200.0 m
593
     Initial condition for this run is one of general saturated condition.
594
     Initial head in
     the aquifer is 20.7 m. Initially the river is gaining from the aquifer.
595
596
     So one can see that at time (day) = 8 the condition starts again as
597
     desaturated case
598
     ZF is the thickness of the unsaturated zone (that does not include the
599
     capillary fringe)
600
     HSTAGE is the head in the river. The datum for all heads are the
601
     bottom of the aquifer.
     River stage in the river is maintained constant at a value of 0.1 m.
602
603
     Thus head in the river
604
     is maintained constant at a value of 20.1 m
     ZRF is the elevation of the top of the water-table mound
605
606
     HF is the head in the part of the river cell that excludes the river
607
     AIS is the seepage rate (velocity) at the bottom of the river bed
608
     AISRP is meant to be the seepage rate using MODFLOW's approach
609
     (simplest River Package).
610
     AISRP is the MODFLOW seepage rate (velocity) at the bottom of the river
611
     bed. It is also the recharge rate.
612
     VRECH is the recharge rate into the mound.
613
614
     Parameters for the run are KH (horizontal conductivity) = 2.5 m/day,
615
     AKRCL (real clogging layer conductivity = 0.01 m/day
616
     ERCL (real clogging layer thickness) = 0.4 m
```

617 Drainage entry pressure HCE = 0.30 m 618 Capillary pressure power exponent M = 2.5619 Relative conductivity power exponent p = 5.0620 Saturated water content WCSAT = 0.4; Residual water content WCRES = 0.2 621 Effective porosity of aquifer PHIEFFEC = 0.2 622 623 624 625 626 unsatseep#22_results_2018.mp DAY JU HCI ZF VRECH ZF HSTAGE HF HFRP AISRP WCI WC ZRF AIS 0 -1 -0.5000 0.0000 20.1000 20.0000 20.7000 20.7000 -.0130 -.0130 -.0130 0.4000 0.4000

620	1	-1	-0.84//	0.0000	20.1000	20.4584	20.5000	20.5000	0100	008/	008/	0.4000	0.4000
62/	2	-1	-0.6737	0.0000	20.1000	20.2790	20.3000	20.3000	0050	0043	0043	0.4000	0.4000
628	3	-1	-0.5000	0.0000	20.1000	20.1000	20.1000	20.1000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.4000	0.4000
629	4	-1	-0.3265	0.0000	20.1000	19.9214	19.9000	19.9000	0.0050	0.0043	0.0043	0.4000	0.4000
630	5	-1	-0.1533	0.0000	20.1000	19.7431	19.7000	19.7000	0.0100	0.0087	0.0087	0.400	0.4000
631	6	-1	0.0197	0.0000	20.1000	19.5653	19.5000	19.5000	0.0150	0.0130	0.0130	0.400	0.4000
632	7	-1	0.1923	0.0000	20.1000	19.3878	19.3000	19.3000	0.0200	0.0173	0.0173	0.400	0.4000
633	8	1	0 3647	0 0892	20 1000	19 2108	19 1000	19 1000	0 0250	0 0216	0 0216	0 385	0 4000
634	9	1	0.4559	0 1595	20.1000	10 1/15	19.0000	10 0123	0.0200	0.0230	0.0210	0.369	0 3931
635	10	1	0.4333	0.1505	20.1000	10.0400	10.3000	10.9123	0.0125	0.0259	0.0200	0.309	0.3051
626	10	1	0.5444	0.2501	20.1000	19.0499	18.7000	18./1/8	0.0125	0.0261	0.0295	0.357	0.3758
627	11	1	0.6705	0.3843	20.1000	18.915/	18.5000	18.5212	0.0125	0.0293	0.0358	0.345	0.36/2
03/	12	1	0.8047	0.5333	20.1000	18.7667	18.3000	18.3238	0.0125	0.0326	0.0416	0.334	0.3596
638	13	1	0.9379	0.6906	20.1000	18.6094	18.1000	18.1260	0.0125	0.0359	0.0468	0.326	0.3531
639	14	1	1.0649	0.8531	20.1000	18.4469	17.9000	17.9279	0.0125	0.0391	0.0514	0.320	0.3477
640	15	1	1.1825	1.0191	20.1000	18.2809	17.7000	17.7296	0.0125	0.0421	0.0555	0.315	0.3430
641	16	1	1.2888	1.1879	20.1000	18.1121	17.5000	17.5312	0.0125	0.0447	0.0591	0.311	0.3390
642	17	1	1.3834	1.3592	20.1000	17,9408	17.3000	17.3327	0.0125	0.0471	0.0622	0.308	0.3356
643	1.8	1	1 4667	1 5343	20 1000	17 7657	17 1000	17 1339	0 0125	0 0492	0.0651	0 306	0 3326
644	10	1	1 5404	1 7170	20.1000	17 5020	16 0000	16 0240	0.0125	0.0402	0.00001	0.300	0.3320
645	19	1	1.5404	1.0070	20.1000	17.3020	16.9000	10.9340	0.0125	0.0510	0.0000	0.303	0.3299
616	20	1	1.6046	1.9072	20.1000	17.3928	16./000	10./353	0.0125	0.0526	0.0705	0.302	0.3275
040	21	1	1.5971	1.8126	20.1000	17.4874	17.6000	17.5943	0.0125	0.0524	0.0450	0.302	0.3278
64/	22	1	1.5293	1.6074	20.1000	17.6926	17.7000	17.6996	0.0125	0.0507	0.0318	0.304	0.3303
648	23	1	1.4609	1.4664	20.1000	17.8336	17.8000	17.8017	0.0125	0.0490	0.0355	0.306	0.3328
649	24	1	1.3941	1.3379	20.1000	17.9621	17.9000	17.9032	0.0125	0.0474	0.0355	0.308	0.3352
650	25	1	1.3280	1.2229	20.1000	18.0771	18.0000	18.0039	0.0125	0.0457	0.0353	0.310	0.3376
651	26	1	1.2616	1.1164	20.1000	18.1836	18.1000	18.1043	0.0125	0.0440	0.0347	0.312	0.3400
652	27	1	1 1940	1 0156	20 1000	18 2844	18 2000	18 2043	0 0125	0 0423	0 0337	0 315	0 3426
653	20	1	1 1244	0 0105	20.1000	10.2011	19 3000	19 3042	0.0125	0.0406	0.0326	0.317	0.3453
651	20	1	1 0505	0.9100	20.1000	10.3013	10.3000	10.3042	0.0125	0.0400	0.0320	0.317	0.3433
655	29	1	1.0323	0.0239	20.1000	10.4701	10.4000	10.4039	0.0125	0.0300	0.0312	0.321	0.3462
656	30	1	0.9782	0./310	20.1000	18.5690	18.5000	18.5035	0.0125	0.0370	0.0298	0.324	0.3513
020	31	1	0.8812	0.6151	20.1000	18.6849	18.7000	18.6992	0.0125	0.0345	0.0262	0.330	0.3558
02/	32	1	0.7530	0.4706	20.1000	18.8294	18.9000	18.8964	0.0125	0.0313	0.0218	0.338	0.3624
628	33	1	0.6028	0.3098	20.1000	18.9902	19.1000	19.0944	0.0125	0.0276	0.0187	0.351	0.3716
659	34	1	0.4353	0.1370	20.1000	19.1630	19.3000	19.2930	0.0125	0.0234	0.0166	0.372	0.3849
660	35	1	0.3002	0.0013	20.1000	19.2987	19.5000	19.4897	0.0125	0.0200	0.0179	0.399	0.4000
661	36	-1	0.3002	0.0013	20.1000	19.2987	19.7000	19.4897	0.0125	0.0200	0.0179	0.399	0.4000
662	37	-1	-0 3268	0 0000	20 1000	19 9218	19 9000	19 9000	0 0050	0 0043	0 0043	0 400	0 4000
663	38	-1	-0.5000	0 0000	20.1000	20 1000	20 1000	20 1000	0.0000	0.0010	0.0010	0.400	0.4000
664	20	1	0.5000	0.0000	20.1000	20.1000	20.1000	20.1000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.400	0.4000
665	10	-1	-0.0733	0.0000	20.1000	20.2700	20.5000	20.5000	0050	0043	0043	0.400	0.4000
666	40	-1	-0.8472	0.0000	20.1000	20.4576	20.5000	20.5000	0100	0087	0087	0.400	0.4000
000	41	-1	-0.3263	0.0000	20.1000	19.9210	19.9000	19.9000	0.0050	0.0043	0.0043	0.400	0.4000
66/	42	-1	-0.2400	0.0000	20.1000	19.8323	19.8000	19.8000	0.0075	0.0065	0.0065	0.400	0.4000
668	43	-1	-0.1534	0.0000	20.1000	19.7433	19.7000	19.7000	0.0100	0.0087	0.0087	0.400	0.4000
669	44	-1	-0.0669	0.0000	20.1000	19.6544	19.6000	19.6000	0.0125	0.0108	0.0108	0.400	0.4000
670	45	-1	0.0195	0.0000	20.1000	19.5656	19.5000	19.5000	0.0150	0.0130	0.0130	0.400	0.4000
671	46	-1	0.1058	0.0000	20.1000	19.4769	19.4000	19.4000	0.0175	0.0151	0.0151	0.400	0.4000
672	47	-1	0.1921	0.0000	20.1000	19.3882	19.3000	19.3000	0.0200	0.0173	0.0173	0.400	0.4000
673	48	-1	0.2783	0.0000	20.1000	19.2997	19.2000	19,2000	0.0225	0.0195	0.0195	0.400	0.4000
674	49	1	0 3644	0 0887	20 1000	19 2113	19 1000	19 1000	0 0250	0 0216	0 0216	0 385	0 4000
675	50	1	0 4028	0 1041	20.1000	19.2119	19 0000	19 0100	0.0230	0.0226	0.0238	0.377	0.3881
676	50	1	0.4507	0.1621	20.1000	10 1460	10 0000	10 0100	0.0125	0.0220	0.0250	0.377	0.3035
677	51	- 1	0.4307	0.1331	20.1000	19.1409	10.9000	10.9120	0.0125	0.0236	0.0230	0.370	0.3033
676	52	1	0.51/5	0.2221	20.1000	19.0779	18.8000	18.8142	0.0125	0.0254	0.0278	0.360	0.3779
670	53	1	0.5901	0.2980	20.1000	19.0020	18./000	18./154	0.0125	0.02/3	0.0305	0.352	0.3725
0/9	54	1	0.6646	0.3775	20.1000	18.9225	18.6000	18.6164	0.0125	0.0291	0.0332	0.345	0.3675
680	55	1	0.7393	0.4589	20.1000	18.8411	18.5000	18.5174	0.0125	0.0310	0.0357	0.339	0.3631
681	56	1	0.8130	0.5416	20.1000	18.7584	18.4000	18.4183	0.0125	0.0328	0.0380	0.334	0.3592
682	57	1	0.8852	0.6253	20.1000	18.6747	18.3000	18.3191	0.0125	0.0346	0.0403	0.329	0.3556
683	58	1	0.9555	0.7098	20.1000	18.5902	18.2000	18.2199	0.0125	0.0364	0.0424	0.325	0.3523
684	59	1	1.0234	0.7951	20.1000	18.5049	18,1000	18,1207	0.0125	0.0381	0.0445	0.322	0.3494
685	60	1	1 0887	0 8812	20 1000	18 4188	18 0000	18 0214	0 0125	0 0397	0 0465	0 319	0 3467
686	61 61	1	1 1101	0 0100	20 1000	18 3801	18 1000	18 11/2	0 0125	0 0405	0 0437	0 318	0 3/55
687	60	1	1 1050	0 0070	20.1000	10 1000	10 2000	10 0104	0.0125	0 0401	0 0204	0.010	0 2460
686	52	1	1.0000	0.09/0	20.1000	10.4030	10.2000	10.2104	0.0125	0.0401	0.0384	0.310	0.3400
600	63	Ţ	T.0689	0.84/5	20.1000	18.4525	18.3000	18.30/8	0.0125	0.0392	0.0353	0.320	0.34/5
600	64	1	1.0179	0.7813	20.1000	18.5187	18.4000	18.4061	0.0125	0.0379	0.0328	0.3227	0.3496
020	65	1	0.9567	0.7055	20.1000	18.5945	18.5000	18.5048	0.0125	0.0364	0.0307	0.325	0.3523
021	66	1	0.8881	0.6240	20.1000	18.6760	18.6000	18.6039	0.0125	0.0347	0.0288	0.329	0.3554
692	67	1	0.8138	0.5391	20.1000	18.7609	18.7000	18.7031	0.0125	0.0328	0.0271	0.334	0.3591
693	68	1	0.7353	0.4521	20.1000	18.8479	18.8000	18.8024	0.0125	0.0309	0.0254	0.339	0.3634
694	6.9	1	0.6533	0.3638	20.1000	18.9362	18.9000	18.9018	0.0125	0.0288	0.0238	0.346	0.3683
695	70	1	0.5686	0.2745	20.1000	19.0255	19.0000	19.0013	0.0125	0.0267	0.0223	0.354	0.3740
	. 5	-											

696	71	1	0.5211	0.2254	20.1000	19.0746	18.9500	18.9564	0.0125	0.0255	0.0234	0.360	0.3776
697	72	1	0.5174	0.2218	20.1000	19.0782	18.9000	18.9091	0.0125	0.0254	0.0253	0.360	0.3779
698	73	1	0.5357	0.2408	20.1000	19.0592	18.8500	18.8607	0.0125	0.0259	0.0267	0.358	0.3764
699	74	1	0.5652	0.2717	20.1000	19.0283	18.8000	18.8116	0.0125	0.0266	0.0280	0.355	0.3742
700	75	1	0.6000	0.3083	20.1000	18.9917	18.7500	18.7623	0.0125	0.0275	0.0292	0.351	0.3718
701	76	1	0.6372	0.3477	20.1000	18.9523	18.7000	18.7129	0.0125	0.0284	0.0304	0.348	0.3693
702	77	1	0.6753	0.3887	20.1000	18.9113	18.6500	18.6633	0.0125	0.0294	0.0316	0.344	0.3669
703	78	1	0.7138	0.4304	20.1000	18.8696	18.6000	18.6137	0.0125	0.0303	0.0327	0.341	0.3646
704	79	1	0.7522	0.4726	20.1000	18.8274	18.5500	18.5641	0.0125	0.0313	0.0338	0.338	0.3624
705	80	1	0.7903	0.5152	20.1000	18.7848	18.5000	18.5145	0.0125	0.0323	0.0349	0.335	0.3603
706													
707													

709 710