



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_49286
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of the use of the flowchart by registered nurses in the Kasa-Vubu Health Zone in Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In overall, English editing to be needed. The language and expression is not correlated. 2. In abstract, the exact significant points only be included and should be precise with objectives and methodology. Don't use places in keywords. 3. In introduction , used the abbreviations of CS, DRS, ZS and ZSK without expansion. 4. Differentiate the research question with objectives its so confused. 5. Why mentioned the identification of low use of flow chart ? 6. Regarding study participants, mention the similarities and differences who you categorized? 7. Regarding tools , whether used the standardized or non-standardized and how differentiated made in affective part, efficiency of treatment , motivation, and factors involvement ? explain. 8. In table 6 used the level of education , upto high school and university – explain. 9. In discussion – use little recent articles and precise to the point. 10. In conclusion- The exact significant findings only can be written , not too lengthy. 11. In References, use latest articles and mention either citation number or name. 12. Follow author guidelines. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Nil	
Optional/General comments	Nil	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No ethical issues included, For safety purpose get formal letter.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Rajathi Sakthivel</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Dr. M.G.R Medical University, India</i>