

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_49805
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Evaluation of a Boron Mine and Processing Plant with respect to Dust
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment SUGGESTIONS:	Author's comment (if agreed with reviews correct the manuscript and highlight that pa in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
ABSTRACT	So, the risks of occupational accidents and are quite high	
Tables 1, 2 and 3	Review spaces between/among words and/or adjust letters dimensions!	
Line {32} 'L type risk assessment matrix´ Lines {35, 36,	Define "L type risk" before using this specific expression Review and clarify statements.	
37}	CaO (28%), SiO ₂ (6.5%), B ₂ O ₃ (42%) and H ₂ O (23.5%) Review text !	
Lines {127- 129}	Line {169}administrative personal; on the contrary,	
Line {169}administrative personal, on the contrary		
Optional/General comments I consider the present paper or article a very important study in order to promote protection and preventive actions in order to avoid a serious occupational illness!		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jurandyr Santos Nogueira
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil



with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her