



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_49805
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Evaluation of a Boron Mine and Processing Plant with respect to Dust
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Clarity required in the selection of the title itself. Thorough reading of related articles suggested. Introduction & literature shown is inadequate. More data apt to the topic is needed. Data collected should be organised systematically. More references may be added.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Thorough reading and modification by a language expert enhance the quality of the paper.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>May be published after the corrections.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mini K D</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Mahatma Gandhi University, India</i>