SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_42027
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of microbial load of milk shakes available in various educational institutes of Lahore
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The whole document needs to be edited by a professional English editor Use Log ₁₀ cfu/ml as the standard symbol throughout the document Total coliform is not a species name hence must be not be italized throughout the document Spelling of Staphylococcus aureus must be correct throughout the whole document Methods must be referenced against other published acceptable work Results section must be redone ensuring the following • Avoiding redundancy / repeating information e.g. Table 1 has information that is communicated in Figure 1 (a) • Tables must use standard publishable formats • All figures are sub standard. Pay attention on the following aspects - Bars to include standard deviation bars - X and Y axis to be labelled - Descriptive titles to be used - Don't use short names like Staph - The figures are too many and redundant. Choose the few most important ones Discussion must not repeat results but rather discuss them e.g. line 214-266 is not discussion but rather results explanation A study conclusion is required Confirm with journal requirements but I don't the title is Literature cited but rather References	
Minor REVISION comments	Word spellings and grammar throughout the document	
Optional/General comments	N/A	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Desmond Ticahona Mugadza
Department, University & Country	Midlands State University, Zimbabwe

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)