



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Hematology Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_49944
Title of the Manuscript:	FV, FVIII and fibrinogen activity in fresh frozen plasma, frozen plasma and cryoprecipitate: observational cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Lines 105-109: The text is exact copy of the reference. Please rephrase.</b></p> <p><b>Lines 99-109: It is not clearly explained why the storing of blood reduced the availability of female blood donors.</b></p> <p><b>Line 122: the main indication of plasma transfusion is</b> to correct deficiencies of clotting factors, for which a specific concentrate is not available [<a href="#">Blood Transfus.</a> 2009 Apr; 7(2): 132–150.] and not restoration of blood volume! Please rewrite the whole paragraph according to the bibliography.</p> <p><b>Lines 128-130: Are there any data supporting that FP and FFP are clinically equally effective? Please search the literature and revise accordingly. Please indicate in which situations the decrease of FVIII in transfused plasma is not clinically important.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	Lines 105-109: The text is exact copy of the reference. Please rephrase.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Vasileios Papadopoulos
Department, University & Country	General Hospital Of Giannitsa, Greece