SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_49980
Title of the Manuscript:	Flood Resilience Measures in buildings on the Flood-plains of Ogbaru, Anambra State, Nigeria;
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
	As the authors had write in the abstract, "The study evaluates the flood resilience	
	measures in buildings on flood-plains of Ogbaru, with a view to establishing the	
	extent of its incorporation into the design and construction of Buildings". I think the	
	topic is very original and interesting, but the text needs some adjustments.	
	The abstract is too long, and splitting it into paragraphs makes it redundant with the	
	rest of the text.	
	I would try to reduce it and merge it into a small single paragraph.	
	In paragraph 2.1 it could be interesting in addition to the tables of materials, to also	
	group the interventions useful for resilience to floods in these contexts (already	
	described in the text).	
	Tables 1,2 and 3 lack a description of what is reported inside. Finally, it could be	
	interesting to insert in the text a risks matrix that identifies the lowering of the same	
	following the resilience measures adopted and the consequent reduced damage.	
	The conclusions should be improved by including the future development of the	
	research and the purpose of its use.	
	Following these arrangements the text can be published in this journal.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eleonora Laurini
Department, University & Country	University of L'Aquila, Italy

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)