



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_50332
Title of the Manuscript:	AMELIORATING EFFECT OF GARNODERMA LUCIDUM ON COMBINED ORAL CONTRACEPTIVE-INDUCED CARDIOMETABOLIC SYNDROME IN FEMALE GUINEA PIGS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The study carried is an interesting and has shown dramatic effects of Garnoderma in COC induced cardio-metabolic syndrome. The language used in the manuscript is good and appreciating. There are few typical mistakes that are highlighted and need to be corrected. The dose of Garnoderma used in present study was arbitrary or ther are are references for that and if so then they need to be incorporated. Further, references quoted should be strictly as per the journal guidelines.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zahoor . A. Pampori
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology , India