



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_49872
Title of the Manuscript:	PROJECTION OF PADDY PRODUCTION IN KEDAH MALAYSIA: A CASE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract : You do not provide the methodology used. The abstract should present a background, the methodology and conclusion. Also provide key statistics.</p> <p>Methodology: Which techniques do you use? Modeling?? Regression?? XXXX..??? which softwares do you use to analyse data, to draw your figures???</p> <p>Results: Scatter plot of what??? Production? Relationship between production and rainfall. Your title should be more expressive</p> <p>Explain and discuss the reasons of yours result. Very poor discussion of results</p> <p>Figure title should be put after the figure</p> <p>Corrections were integrated in the paper</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>What is the hypothesis of this study???)</p> <p>Authors should respect author guidelines for reference</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>We are in 2019. The forecasted production must be for the future. I am sure that statistic of 2018, 2019 are already available. Why to predict available data. It will be interesting to work for the future (2020,2021, etc.). You can also used it to confirm the prevision.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	<p>This activity provides major source of income for small-scale farmers in Kedah that depend solely on paddy cultivation. (http://www.academicjournals.org/app/webroot/article/article1379493532_Firdaus%20et%20al.pdf)</p> <p>A scientific assessment indicates that the coastlines areas of Southeast Asia including Malaysia are highly vulnerable to the effects of climate change. (https://journals.openedition.org/sapiens/881)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	TONI Hermann Cyr Dowo
Department, University & Country	University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin