



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_45265
Title of the Manuscript:	Systematic Review: Training Needs of Agriculture Extension Workers
Type of the Article	Review Paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Line 15: You've identified-&gt; They've identified</li> <li>Line 16: maybe you have a-&gt; maybe they have a</li> <li>Line 28: be focuses -&gt; be focused</li> <li>Line 53-56: The sentence was too long, please break the sentence into two or three sentences.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I don't suggest you separate the purpose, method, finding, implications from the abstract paragraph. You may integrate all of them into one paragraph, or just remove them from the first abstract paragraph. Your abstract paragraph is strong enough.</li> <li>In your introduction, you may start from directly introducing the situations and issues, rather than stating the google scholar's search.</li> <li>Between different literatures, you need to have some sort of transitions to connect the different literature.</li> <li>In your conclusion section, more discussions on the possible implications of your model needs to be added. I think your model is great, but you just need to prove what's value of your model, how your model can make a difference for future's extension work, etc.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Overall, this manuscript is qualified to be published. Please address my comments and make the changes before you submit the final manuscript. If possible, you may hire an professional English editor to help you correct some grammatical errors and improve your sentences' clarification.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Guang Han</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Iowa State University, USA</i></b>