



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_49742
Title of the Manuscript:	TECHNICAL EFFICIENCY OF SELF HELP GROUPS GENERATING POULTRY ACTIVITY IN AMRAVATI DISTRICT OF MAHARASHTRA
Type of the Article	Short Communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract need to be rewrite with following elements should be included:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Brief introduction on the background of the study 2. State your problem statement briefly. 3. NEED TO INCLUDE THE OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY 4. Briefly state the method and highlight the needed results which related to the study. 5. The significance of the study. <hr/> <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clearly state the background of the study. Why the author looking at efficiency of poultry industry? Any problems on the technical efficiency level? I believed that your introduction section need to be rewritten so that it will attract the attention of the readers. Lacking in terms of previous studies reviews. <p>Materials and Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The author did discuss well but lacking of discussion on the results. The author interpret the results without relevant discussions. 	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nalini Arumugam
Department, University & Country	Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia