SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_50079	
Title of the Manuscript:	Capabilities for Use of Improved Catfish Production Technologies among Fish Farmers in Delta State, Nigeria	
Type of the Article		

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	INTRODUCTION	
	* Line # 69, 74: production technologies into their fish farming system hence the need for this study. The study was designed to provide answers to the following research questions: What are the socio-economic characteristics of the catfish farmers? What are sources of funds available for the catfish farmers? What are sources of information used by the catfish farmers? What are improved catfish production technologies used by the farmers? What are constraints to use of improved catfish production technologies by the farmers?	
	Delete highlighted ones.	
	* Introduction portion is too lengthy, needs to be short down.	
	METHODOLOGY	
	Mean score calculation formulae / procedure missing.	
	CONCLUSION	
	Needs revision as per study findings.	
	REFERENCES	
	* Not incorporated as per journal's format in reference section	
	* Almost all references added are of 2005, 2006, 2007; are there no new references available???	
	Manuscript have some Language issues; needs to be addressed	
Optional/General comments	Data / results can be presented in graphic form.	

PART 2:

		Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manus	script?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamran Baseer Achakzai
Department, University & Country	Pakistan

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight s mandatory that authors should write his/her

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6