



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_45207
Title of the Manuscript:	EPIDEMIOLOGICAL INVESTIGATION, MONITORING AND SURVELLANCE; STRATEGIES IN ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SUSTENANCE
Type of the Article	Short communication

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The review is quite interesting and scientifically robust. Authors should address the following:</b>                      Consider using "Public" rather than <i>Environmental</i> on the title.</p> <p><b>Abstract</b>                      Abstract is quite Ok. Authors should edit grammar and typing errors. The abstract should summarize the critical points of your write up.</p> <p><b>Introduction</b>                      The authors did not introduce the study as expected. Relate introduction to Public health sustenance.                      Need grammatical and syntax corrections.                      More citations needed.                      Conclusion should justify the study.                      Why Environmental Health sustenance and not Public health? From your work, you emphasize more on public health.                      References should be arranged according to Journal format.                      Minimize <b>Capitalization and Bolding</b> of words/sentences in your write up</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Authors did a very good review. Keep it up.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Oti Baba Victor</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nasarawa State University, Keffi, Nigeria</b>