



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_48889
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SOCIO ECONOMIC STATUS (SES) ON FOOT LENGTH, PALM LENGTH, AND MID-FINGER LENGTH OF SCHOOL GOING CHILDREN (8-10 YEARS OLD) IN MUMBAI.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The theme is important. I have some advice.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract is missing. 2. Introduction: SES is defined twice. The last of introduction should consist of the following meaning. For example, "The present study was an attempt to determine body part-length in this developing area in India" like sentence. This is an example. 3. You measured three indicators. Please explain why you chose these three. 4. You determined SES only by questionnaire, right? Then, some may have filled in wrong data, which is more likely to occur in lower SES group. Please justify that your study design approximately represented "real" SES. 5. Please define FIVE SES classes/categories. 6. Figures: "Mean value" is difficult to read due to a small scale bar. Please magnify it. 7. Please explain/state, 1) whether preceding articles existed, 2) if yes, these preceding studies indicated what, 3) what was a "novel" findings here demonstrated? and 4) if there were no novel findings, then, what was the significance of this study-data? 8. Please state if Ethical Committee approved this study and if informed consent has been obtained from the participants and their parents. One must be very cautious when asking SES as questionnaire. Please state study inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria. How many agreed with this questionnaire and how many completed this questionnaire? 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Shigeki Matsubara</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Jichi Medical University, Japan</i>