

Original Research Article

The Effect of Charcoal and NPK Fertilizer on the Growth of two Peppers varieties on the sandy loamy soil in Sinyea

Abstract

This research shows the effect of charcoal + NPK fertilizer (combination of charcoal and NPK Fertilizer) on the growth of two pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) varieties. The treatment levels were: control (no treatment), charcoal (450 g plot⁻¹), NPK (112.5 g plot⁻¹) and charcoal + NPK combination. The experimental plots were 32 in total with 1.5 squares meter each. The growth parameters considered were: plant height, number of leaves, number of branches, leaves length, leaves width and plant diameter. The data analyzed indicated that Local pepper performed better than Jalapeno pepper for all treatments. For plant height charcoal plots performed better than control with these means 28 cm, 64 cm and 72 cm for date 1, 2, and 3 respectively. The Local pepper performed better than Jalapeno in growth with these plant height means 31 cm, 86 cm, and 96 cm for date 1, 2, and 3 respectively. Bigger stem diameters were recorded for the Local pepper and even wider leaf. The Local pepper performed better than the Jalapeno pepper at all levels of growth.

Key words: *Charcoal, NPK fertilizer, Growth and Pepper.*

1: Introduction

The name pepper is widely known almost everywhere as spoken in English language. Pepper which scientific name *Capsicum annum* belonging to the family of Nightshade, which is a spicy and pungent vegetable. It is a flowering plant and a horticultural crop grown in backyard gardens. The spicy and pungent horticultural crop, pepper, history can be traced far back from 7500BC from the west particularly Southern America, where it was eaten as food. The crop was introduced into Europe by an explorer Christopher Columbus upon his returned from America and later spread to Asia and Africa. Before this crop was brought to Europe, a black pepper was used by Europeans as currency or medium of exchange. The cultivars of this crop vary according to the quantity of capsaicin present in it or how pungent is the crop. The capsaicin is the chemical compound that produces the burning and is mordacious to mammals not birds. Birds swallow this crop without feeling the burns but it react faster to mammals upon consumption. In regard to the varieties, some have less capsaicin like Belle and Jalapeno peppers while others have enough capsaicin that produces burns or pungent. The used of organic fertilizers for crop production have been traced far back from primitive farming activities to modern farming to essentially develop plants. The organic materials served as a host for microorganisms that

36 | provide nutrients to soil for plants uptake (Silva, ~~Ranil and Fonseka, et al.~~, 2012). The economic
37 values of organic manures have provided crops with essential NPK content, which is capable to
38 enhance soil fertility. On the other hand, organic materials served as substrate for
39 microorganisms which lead to an increase in microbial activity. Organic fertilizers significantly
40 increase the soil carbon, nitrogen, pH, cation exchange capacity (CAC), and exchangeable
41 calcium, magnesium and potassium which invariable enhance crop yield and productivity.
42 Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (VAM) are widespread soil fungi that are capable of
43 enhancing yield of several agricultural crops (Thanuji, 2002). They are important in ecological
44 agriculture because of its benefits provided to majority of cultivars and the conservation of the
45 environment by acting as bio-fertilizers, biological protectors and biological control agents
46 | (Azcon-Aguilar, ~~Jaizme Vega and Calvet, et al.~~, 2002). The difficulties faced by smallholder
47 farmers are compounded by inadequate use of agricultural inputs to replenish the lost nutrients.
48 This inadequate has been caused by shortage of capital and lack of access to credit facilities to
49 enhance the purchasing of farm inputs and has hampered the use of inorganic fertilizers. The
50 local economic policies and the slow global economy improvement have led to higher fertilizers
51 prices. The result is expensive fertilizers which is contributing to low quantity fertilizer
52 applications. The lower or no fertilizer application is contributing to poor crop productivities.
53 This situation is made worse by continuous cropping without returning the plant residues back
54 into the field (Heerink, 2005). Soil fertility depletion remains the major factor causing decline in
55 crop productivity on smallholder farms. The infertility has resulted in low returns of agricultural
56 investments, declining food security and higher prices of foods. Study has indicated that soil
57 infertility is one of the results of soil erosion, removal of crop residues, access rain fall and
58 | continuous cultivation (Opala, ~~Okalebo, Othieno and Kisinjo, et al.~~, 2009). The horticultural crop
59 productions in Africa are given serious alarm since malnutrition continues to strike the continent.
60 The lack of balance diet is contributing to poor growth and mental incapability to the growing
61 population. In order to tackle this situation in the evergreen continent of Africa, adequate
62 attention is to be given to agricultural productivities for improvement of livelihoods and food
63 security.

Comment [F1]: (CEC) instead of (CAC)
cation exchange capacity – CEC

64

65

2. Methodology

66 Study Setting and Duration

67 The research was conducted on Cuttington University Agricultural Students Research site in a
68 sandy loamy soil of Sinyea Township, Bong County, Liberia. The period covered by this
69 research was from March 22, 2014 to October 10, 2014.

70 Research Population

71 The total experimental plots were 32, with a plot size of 1.5 m x 1.5 m. The plant population was
72 288 plants planted in the field with spacing of 60 cm x 60 cm. Each plot contains 9 plants, 3 x 3
73 in row and column. The total of 16 plots was assigned local pepper variety while 16 plots were
74 also assigned the foreign pepper variety, Jalapeno. The following treatments were observed:
75 control plots were 8, charcoal plots were 8, fertilizer (NPK) plots were 8 while charcoal with
76 fertilizer plots were 8. The application rates were 450 g/plot and 112.5 g/plot of charcoal and
77 NPK fertilizer respectively.

78 **Sampling Techniques**

79 A total of 3 (three) plants was randomly selected from each plot summing up to 96 plants
80 considered for data collection. The Complete Randomize Block Design Method, CRBDM, was
81 carried out in assigning plots with pepper varieties and fertilities level. The fertility levels were
82 four (4), replicated two (2) times per block and with total of four (4) blocks.

83 **Varieties and Fertility levels**

84 **Varieties:**

- 85 ➤ V1 = Local pepper (From Suakoko, Liberia)
- 86 ➤ V2 = Jalapeno pepper (From North Carolina, USA)

87 **Level of Fertilities**

- 88 ➤ C1 = Control (No Charcoal)
- 89 ➤ C2 = Charcoal (2 tons/ha)
- 90 ➤ F1= Control (No Fertilizer)
- 91 ➤ F2 = Fertilizer (150 g/ha)

92 **Methods of data collection**

93 Among the 9 plants in every plot, 3 plants were randomly selected for data collection. The plants
94 selected for data collection were marked in every plot as plant 1 to plant 3 for continuation of
95 data collection. This was done to remember plants selected for accurate data collection. The
96 growth parameters considered for data collection were: plant height, number of branches,
97 number of leaves, leaf width, stem diameter, and leaf length. The data were collected for three
98 consecutive months.

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

Comment [F2]: Is the unit of measurement correct?


111

3. Results and Discussions

112

3.1: Data Presentation and Analysis

113



114

115 Figure 1: Charcoal effects on plant height at three (3) different dates


116 Fig.1 showed the data results for the effects of charcoal on plant height at the three months of
 117 data collections. For the first month which is recorded as date 1, charcoal applied plots had the
 118 tallest plant height mean of 28 cm while the control plots had plant height mean of 27 cm. Date 2
 119 showed that charcoal applied plots also performed better than the control with a mean plant
 120 height of 64 cm tall while control had 63 cm as mean plant height. The third date data showed
 121 that charcoal also had the tallest plant height mean of 72 cm over the control plot with 71 cm as
 122 plant height mean. The results indicated that the charcoal had better influence on the growth of
 123 the plant. The tallest plant height mean was observed in charcoal plots regarded of the variety of
 124 pepper. This result consented with a research conducted by Vantsis and Bond (1950) which
 125 concluded that wood charcoal increased plant dry weight and nitrogen fixation.

126

Comment [F3]: It is recommended that the figure be positioned after it is referenced in the text, and not before.


It is advisable to review this aspect for all figures.

Moreover, it is not appropriate for a chapter to start directly with a figure, but with one or two paragraphs, introducing the reader in the content described in the chapter.




127
128 Figure 2: Plant Height of two pepper varieties at three dates

129 Fig. 2 revealed the plant height of two pepper varieties at three dates of data collection. Date one
130 showed that the Local pepper had taller plant height mean than the Jalapeno with 31 cm while
131 the Jalapeno pepper height mean was 23 cm. Date two data showed that the Local pepper also
132 had taller plant height mean of 86 cm and the Jalapeno plant height mean was 41 cm. For date
133 three, the Local pepper performed again better than the Jalapeno with the plant height mean of
134 96 cm while the Jalapeno plant height mean was 47 cm. The results showed that Local pepper
135 performed better than the Jalapeno pepper in their growth analysis. The three months data clearly
136 indicated the vigorous growth of the local pepper while the Jalapeno was struggling for survival.




138
139 Figure 3: NPK Fertilizer Effects on Pepper Plant Height at three dates

140 Fig. 3 showed the NPK fertilizer effects on pepper plant height at three dates. Date one showed
 141 that NPK fertilizer applied plots had taller plant height mean of 31 cm while the Control plots
 142 had shorter plant with a mean of 23 cm. For date two, the NPK fertilizer also had taller plant
 143 height mean of 72 cm compared to the Control plot with 55 cm as plant height mean. Date three
 144 also showed that NPK fertilizer plots were superior in height than the Control plots with 79 cm
 145 and 64 cm as plant height means respectively. The comparison of NPK fertilizer to Control
 146 clearly showed that NPK is superior and performed better than the control. From all data
 147 collected for the three months, it is very good in boosting plant growth. A research conducted by
 148 Kumar and Yadav (2008) revealed that NPK fertilizer applied at higher doses maintain soil
 149 fertility and raised crop growth and yields compare to N applied alone. Another research
 150 conducted by Omotoso and Shitu (2007) disclosed that the application of NPK fertilizer on Okra
 151 at the rate of 150 kg/ha and the ring method of application increased growth parameters.



153
 154 Figure 4: four treatments effect on plant height
 155


156 Fig.4 showed that Local pepper performed better than the Jalapeno pepper for the four treatments
 157 applied. For the Local pepper, Charcoal + NPK had the highest plant height mean of 83.87 cm
 158 followed by the charcoal plots mean of 76.62 cm. Unexpectedly the control plots performed
 159 better than the charcoal plots for the same Local pepper with means of 59.58 cm and 54.64 cm
 160 respectively. For the case of the Jalapeno pepper also, NPK plots had the highest plant height
 161 mean of 41.27 cm while the charcoal + NPK had a mean of 39.64 cm. The charcoal plots had
 162 higher mean than the control plots of 31.64 cm and 33.58 cm respectively. The improvement of
 163 plant growth was greatly seen when charcoal was combined with NPK fertilizer. This showed
 164 that charcoal improves crop growth as stated by McCormack, Ostle, Bardgett, Hopkins and
 165 Vanbergen (2013) in their research conducted on Biochar in bioenergy cropping systems.



166
167 Figure 5: Effect of charcoal + NPK on stem diameter of two pepper varieties on three dates

168 Figure 5 revealed the stem diameters for the two pepper varieties on three different dates. From
169 the data analyzed, the Local pepper had larger stems means than the Jalapeno pepper for the
170 three dates. The local pepper had 0.57 cm, 1.89 cm and 2.14 cm as means for the three dates
171 respectively. The Jalapeno pepper had 0.35 cm, 1.19 cm and 1.57 cm as mean stem diameter for
172 the three dates respectively.


173
174



175
176 Figure 6: Four treatments effects on the pepper stems

177 Figure 6 showed the four treatments results for the two pepper varieties. From the results
178 analyzed, charcoal + NPK performed best for the two pepper varieties compared to other
179 treatments. The control had a reverse result for the local pepper as it showed the biggest stem
180 diameter mean of 1.67 cm. The NPK performed better than the charcoal plots. The Local pepper
181 responded better than the Jalapeno pepper for all four treatments. With reference to Wanjari,
182 Sigh and Ghosh (2004) work, NPK + Farm Yard Manures (FYM) significantly increase crop
183 productions as seen in Figure 6 on the Charcoal + NPK for both pepper varieties. The tallest
184 plant height means were recorded for charcoal + NPK applied plots.

185



186
187 Figure 7: three treatments effects on leaf width for the two pepper varieties for three dates

188 Figure 7 showed the outcomes of treating peppers with three treatments of charcoal, NPK
189 fertilizer and charcoal + NPK. The results indicated that charcoal applied plots performed lower
190 with the following results for local pepper as 5 cm, 10.5 cm and 6.99 cm as leaf width means for
191 date 1, 2 and 3 respectively. The NPK applied plot had the following means of 5.83 cm, 12.25
192 cm and 7.83 cm for date 1 to date 3 respectively for the same leaf width. The charcoal + NPK
193 showed superior results for all three dates as 6.5 cm, 14.58 cm and 7.83 cm as means width
194 respectively. Also for the Jalapeno, charcoal + NPK performed superior than the three
195 treatments. The widest leaf mean was recorded for the local pepper during date 2 of data
196 collection for charcoal + NPK fertilizer treatment. For economic consideration, charcoal
197 application to crops influences growth as recorded by Al-Kaisi and Grote (2007).

198

199

4. Conclusions and Recommendations

200 Conclusions

201 Generally taller plants were observed in charcoal applied plots than no charcoal applied plots.
202 Charcoal + NPK applied plots had the tallest plants than only NPK or charcoal alone. Local
203 variety had taller plants than Jalapeno especially when charcoal and NPK were applied.
204 Generally charcoal applied plots had taller plants, longer and wider leaves, and bigger stem
205 diameter with more numbers of leaves on it. Similarly, charcoal applied plots had higher number
206 of pods which were longer and heavier than no charcoal applied plots. In conclusion, Local

207 pepper performances were far superior to the Jalapeno pepper for all treatments. Subsequently,
208 charcoal + NPK gave the best result in terms of growth of pepper crop.

209 **Recommendations**

210 From the finding of this research, I recommend the following:

- 211 1. Extension programs shall be designed to convey this information to farmers about the use
212 of charcoal in crop production.
- 213 2. More research work can be conducted on process of improving soil fertility as to enhance
214 crop productions.
- 215 3. This research work can be carryout on different crops to substantial the finding.

216

217

218 **References**

219 Al-Kaisi, M. M. and Grote, J. B. (2007). Cropping System Effects on Improving Soil Carbon
220 Stocks of Exposed Subsoil. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 71(4): 1381-1389.

221

222 Azcon-Aguilar, C., Jaizme-Vega, M.C. and Calvet, C. (2002). The Contribution of
223 Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi for Bioremediation. *Mycorrhizal Technology in*
224 *Agriculture*, Berlin.

225 Heerink, N. (2005). Soil fertility decline and economic policy reform in Sub-Sahara Africa.
226 *Land Use Policy*, 22 (1), 67-74.

227 Kumar, A and Yavad, D. S. (2008). Long-term Effects of Fertilizers on the Soil Fertility and
228 Productivity of a Rice-Wheat System. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop science*, 186(1):
229 47-54.

230 McCormack, S. A., Ostle, N., Bardgett, R. D., Hopkins, D. W., and Vanbergen A. J. (2013).
231 Biochar in bioenergy cropping systems: Impacts on Soil Faunal communities and linked
232 ecosystem processes. *Global Change Biology*, 5(2): 81-95.

233

234 Omotoso, S. and Shitu, O. (2007). Effect of NPK Fertilizer Rates and Method of Application on
235 the Growth and Yield of Okra (*abelmoschus esculentus* (L) moench) at Ado-Ekitis
236 Southwestern. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2(7): 614-619.

- 237 Opala, P. A., Okalebo, J. R., Othieno, C. O. and Kisinjo, P. (2009). Effect of organic and
238 inorganic phosphorus sources on maize yields in an acidic soil in western Kenya.
239 Department of Soil Science, Moi University, Kenya.
240
- 241 Silva, M. W. K. P., Ranil, R.H.G. and Fonseka, R.M. (2012). An Emerging High Potential
242 Underutilized Cucurbit. *Tropical Agricultural Research*, 23 (2),186–191.
243
- 244 Thanuji, T. V. (2002). Induction of rooting and root growth in black pepper cuttings (*Piper*
245 *nigrum* L.) with the inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizae. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 92(4),
246 339-346.
247
- 248 Vantsis, J. T. and Bond, G. (1950). Effect of charcoal on the Growth of Leguminous Plants in
249 Sand Culture. *An International Journal of Annals of Applied Biology*,37(2): 159-168.
- 250 Wanjari, R. H., Singh, M. V. and Ghosh, P. K. (2008): Sustainable Yield Index: An approach to
251 evaluate the sustainability of long-term intensive cropping in India. *Journal of*
252 *Sustainable Agriculture* 24(4): 39-54.
253