SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJARR_49825**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Simulation of an Enhanced Network Security Framework for Federal Polytechnic Mubi**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1) **The resolution of the figures is low and not enough for publication. In addition to this, I have seen bunch of distortion that might have happened through the PDF conversion.** 2) **Figure 4 must be provided in English.** 3) **The authors have used some reference that is very old in the field. For example, threat prevention algorithm mentioned in [6]. The following paper can be added to the manuscript to point out the newer methods:** • **Chen W.H, Hsu S.H, Shen S.H. Application of SVM and ANN for intrusion detection. *Computers and Operations Research* 32(10), 2617–2634, 2015.** • **A. Sever, A Machine Learning Algorithm Based on Inverse Problems for Cyber Anomaly Detection, *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, ISSN: 2457-1024; 2231-0843 ,Vol.: 28, Issue.: 3, 2018.** **4) The result that is presented in Figure 4 is not clear. The Section 4 should be explained in detail.** **5) Finally, the authors can have comparative study results and visualize it by using some plots.**	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Ali Sever***
Department, University & Country	***Pfeiffer University, USA***