SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJARR_49825**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Simulation of an Enhanced Network Security Framework for Federal Polytechnic Mubi**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This article presents interesting ideas, but presents some key weaknesses on which the authors should work. In particular I think the most noteworthy, in my opinion are:** **- The article should present a deeper and more systematic review of the literature, in a more organized way, and above all, spun with the rest of the article.** **- It does not seem clear what the scientific methodology followed in the research has been. There are no clear research questions, it is not well motivated what problem we solve and why this system is necessary.** **- There are not included results of easy form to interpret, nor comparative with respect to the revised works in the state of the question.** **- It would include a section to discuss these results and to validate the proposal itself. Furthermore, I believe that the section on conclusions is too succinct and does not present any promising future lines in the area.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***David Lizcano***
Department, University & Country	***Madrid Open University, Spain***