



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_48533
Title of the Manuscript:	HAEMATOLOGICAL AND HISTOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF JUVENILES OF <i>Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus</i> IN OGBESE RIVER, ONDO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Water quality parameters, haematological and histological indices are not compared with known standards or controls of fish samples from non polluted source. How was fish age determined to qualify juveniles as indicated in the title. The study purpose was to assess if the water habitat of the fish is polluted by measuring physic-chemical parameters and if this impacted on fish health by determining haematological and histological parameters. However, the title as it is is not informative enough. Very many authors are listed in references but they but they are not cited in the text, a few are cited but not listed. The conclusion in this manuscript looks like part discussion and recommendation; the conclusion should have been that the water was found polluted and this negatively impacted on fish	
Minor REVISION comments	Include details of histological techniques/ procedures that were used	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moses Mwajar Ngeiywa
Department, University & Country	University of Eldoret, Kenya