



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_49928
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Aloe vera gel and Water-leaf Extract for Removal of Egg Adhesiveness During Artificial Propagation of African Catfish (<i>Clarias gariepinus</i> , Burchel 1822)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: the abstract does not show the purpose, location and duration of the study, nor the results in full</p> <p>Materials and Methods <u>I propose you to divided your text into numbered sections with brief headings. Starting from introduction with section 1. subsections should be numbered (for example 2.1 (then 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2, etc.), up to three levels.</u> do the same to the results and in discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Study zone was omitted - In title the author presents 2 substances (aloe vera and waterleaf), but in mateterials and method we see only one (aloe vera) <p>-waterleaf reappears again in discussion. it's all a mess -authors should separate the results of the two substances and then compare them, or explain in materials and methods why they mixed the two extracts by giving either the composition or the role of each</p> <p>Discussion: you must explain the differences or similarities between your results and those of other researchers. Do not stop at comparisons only</p> <p>To conclude, this manuscript can be accepted and published in this journal under one condition: the author must incorporate all the corrections</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	See in the manuscript	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Tiogué Tekounegning</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>The University of Dschang, Cameroon</i>