



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_45461
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF LONG-ACTING REVERSIBLE CONTRACEPTIVE METHODS AND FACTORS AFFECTING ITS USE AMONG MARRIED WOMEN IN AKASTA TOWN, NORTH-EAST ETHIOPIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This cross-sectional study on prevalence and factors affecting long acting contraceptives is generally well written. The study design needs to be clearly stated with the help of flow chart under Methodology.</p> <p>This is a questionnaire study, so there will be limitations which should be stated in the conclusions. Patient literacy would affect the study an response- some clarification as to how this was overcome need to be stated.</p> <p>There was mention of religiosity with near 60 % being Muslims and near 30 % being Orthodox (Christians, I believe). Did this affect the use of contraceptives? This was not addressed.</p> <p>Some comments have been made in the Study methodology –these needs to be addressed.</p> <p>The construct of questionnaires and what was being evaluated was alluded to- since this survey is largely based on questionnaires- some comment on the contents should be stated (e.g. Section 1 referred to Patient demography,. Section 2 looked at practice and ..) Although reference is made to a previous similar study, no reference is made.</p> <p>The tables are well exhibited and table titles are clear.</p> <p>Avoid added abbreviations in the end to the script –best to include those as each term appears in the text.</p> <p>Recommendations should appear with conclusions and is better to write that in narrative- remember there are limitations of this study which have not been addressed (see above)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Some sentence constructions have been indicated under comments in the text	
Optional/General comments	Overall this is a publishable study provided the author addresses the comments made above and in the text (under comments)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sivalingam Nalliah
Department, University & Country	International Medical University, Malaysia