



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_49349
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Screening and Antimicrobial Activity of Kenyan mushroom <i>Ganoderma lucidum</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Please avoid repeating of sentences in abstract.</li> <li>2) Please write correct table number while referring to information.</li> <li>3) Please write a complete description of phytochemical screening test. Eg. Salkowski test should mention dissolution of crude extract in chloroform before adding sulphuric acid to crude extract. Since there are minor mistakes in description of other tests, please go through all test to find such mistakes and change accordingly. Please refer reference #14 of your manuscript.</li> <li>4) 3.4.2 Antibacterial tests; 3.4.3 Antifungal tests: Please include appropriate positive control (vehicle only) for tests against each bacteria and fungi.</li> <li>5) 3.1 Phytochemical results: Even foam test found negative for all three crude extract authors have claimed that saponins are present in all three extracts. Please correct this claim.</li> <li>6) Please add reference number to table 2 and 4. Please correct information in table 2 since it is deviating from original referred paper (reference # 16). Eg. Pooja et al haven't published saponins test results while your table 2 mentions that their study on Indian <i>Ganoderma lucidum</i> tested negative for saponin. Overall I would suggest authors of this manuscript to please maintain integrity of published results.</li> <li>7) Please add the unit to the numbers of table 3.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Milankumar J. Kothiya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Columbia University Medical Center, USA</b>