

Original Research Article

The Idiopathic Clubfoot: Is There Any Relationship Between Patient's Gender And The Degree Of Severity Of The Deformity?

Comment [VS1]: between

Comment [VS2]: of

Comment [VS3]: of

Comment [VS4]: Title should be changed as "The Idiopathic Clubfoot: Relationship between Patient's Gender and the Degree of severity of the deformity"

ABSTRACT

Background

The prevalence of the idiopathic clubfoot has been largely reported to be higher in male patients. However, the existence of any relationship between the gender of patients and the degree of severity of the deformity is yet to be established. This study was designed to determine if there is any relationship between the gender of idiopathic clubfoot patients and the degree of severity of deformity.

Comment [VS5]: Remove bold

Methods

Records of all idiopathic clubfoot patients aged 2 years and below who presented at our clubfoot clinic between January 2013 and March 2019 were reviewed. The degree of deformity of each affected foot based on the ascribed Pirani score at presentation was graded as 'Less Severe' for scores less than or equal to 4.0, and 'More Severe' for scores greater than 4.0. For the purpose of data analysis, patients were categorized into 2 groups, 'Male Idiopathic Clubfoot' and 'Female Idiopathic Clubfoot' groups. Statistical analysis was done using IBM SPSS version 22.0.

Comment [VS6]: Don't use 'our' like words. Should be mentioned the name of the clinic

Comment [VS7]: Remove bold

Result

Median total Pirani score and mean total Pirani score were higher for male patients. The degree of severity of deformity for unilateral cases was evenly distributed irrespective of gender, while for bilateral cases, the degree of severity was proportionately more severe for male patients, and evenly distributed for female patients ($p=0.514$).

Comment [VS8]: Remove bold

Conclusion

In this study, we did not find any significant relationship between gender and the degree of severity of the idiopathic clubfoot.

Comment [VS9]: The researcher/s

Comment [VS10]: Remove bold

INTRODUCTION

Idiopathic clubfoot is a congenital deformity of the foot and distal part of the leg which is characterized by equinus and varus of the hindfoot, as well as adductus and cavus of the forefoot¹⁻⁶. It is the commonest congenital deformity of the extremity¹⁻³. The Incidence of the deformity ranges from 1 to 3.4 per thousand live births, the value depending on patient's tribe, ethnicity or race^{4, 7-10}. The definitive cause of idiopathic clubfoot is not known, but its development has been attributed to many factors including environmental, hereditary and pregnancy-related factors¹⁻⁶

A number of reports from studies based on segregation analysis have suggested the presence of a single major gene in the development of clubfoot¹¹⁻¹⁴. Also, observation of greater concordance among monozygotic twins

compared to dizygotic twins, as well as affectation of a significant proportion of pedigrees of families with multiple affected members both suggest the existence of a potential genetic mechanism^{1, 15, 16}.

Several authors have reported a higher prevalence of idiopathic clubfoot in males, with Male: Female ratios ranging from 1.36: 1 to 2.88: 1^{1, 4, 17-23}. Although a single gene causing idiopathic clubfoot is yet to be identified, the lower prevalence in females may be attributed to an inherent difference in the susceptibility to the deformity, females requiring a higher number of susceptibility genes than males¹.

Zionst et al¹⁷ found no significant difference in the severity of deformity due to gender. However, any relationship between gender and severity of idiopathic clubfoot is yet to be widely established. This study was therefore designed to determine if there is any relationship between the gender of idiopathic clubfoot patients and the severity of deformity.

METHODS

Records of all patients with idiopathic clubfoot aged 2 years and below, who presented at our clubfoot clinic between January 2013 and March 2019 were reviewed. Patients who had any form of treatment for their clubfoot before presenting to our clinic and those with any established co-morbid conditions were excluded from the study. Documented records of patients' socio-demographic characteristics, laterality of deformity as well as the degree of severity of each affected foot based on the Pirani scoring system were studied.

Comment [VS11]: remove

Comment [VS12]: remove

The degree of deformity of each affected foot based on the ascribed Pirani score at presentation was graded as 'Less Severe' for scores less than or equal to 4.0, and 'More Severe' for scores greater than 4.0. For the purpose of data analysis, patients were categorized into 2 groups, 'Male Idiopathic Clubfoot' and 'Female Idiopathic Clubfoot' groups. Statistical analysis was done using IBM SPSS version 22.0.

RESULTS

A total of 161 idiopathic clubfoot patients who presented between January 2013 and March 2019 were studied. Thirty seven patients which did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded, leaving the study with 192 clubfoot cases, belonging to 124 (68 bilateral, 56 unilateral) idiopathic clubfoot patients. At presentation, the age range of patients was 3 days to 24 months, with majority presenting at 3 months of age and less. See Table I.

The total Pirani scores of affected feet at presentation ranged from 0.5 to 6.0. Majority of feet with total Pirani Scores of 5.5 and above belonged to male patients. See Figure I. Male patients had higher median total Pirani score and mean total Pirani score compared to female patients. Irrespective of gender, the mean total Pirani score of the affected feet was marginally higher for the left feet ($p=0.497$). See Table II.

The degree of severity of deformity for unilateral cases was evenly distributed irrespective of gender. For bilateral cases, degree of severity was proportionately more severe for male patients, and evenly distributed for female patients ($p=0.514$). See Table III.

DISCUSSION

Several studies on the inheritance pattern of clubfoot have been inconclusive, even though their findings are suggestive of the existence of a single major gene responsible for the development of clubfoot¹. In a study by Rebbeck et al¹², complex segregation analysis suggested the existence of an incompletely dominant gene, leading to incomplete penetrance of the disease, while de Andrade et al¹³ reported the possibility of the existence of a mixed model in their own study. Also, complex segregation analysis of Pacific and Maori people suggesting the existence of a single dominant gene with 33% penetrance has been reported¹¹.

A polygenic inheritance model with a dynamic sex threshold where females require a greater number of, or more potent susceptibility genes than males to inherit clubfoot has been proposed by Kruse et al¹. In their study, they observed that females with clubfoot were 5.55 times more likely than affected males to transmit clubfoot to their children. The prevalence of clubfoot was lowest in daughters of affected males (33%) and highest in sons of affected mothers (85%). They highlighted the fact that the rate of transmission of clubfoot by affected mothers to sons was higher than would be expected with a fully penetrant autosomal dominant condition, which would be transmitted 50% of the time. They therefore submitted that the high transmission rate suggests several possible mechanisms, including multigenic, mitochondrial or other environmental factors.

There is no question as to whether there is any relationship between the prevalence of the idiopathic clubfoot and gender as several studies have already established a higher prevalence of idiopathic clubfoot in males over the years, with Male to Female ratios ranging from 1.36: 1 to 2.88: 1^{1,4,17-22}. However, till date, any relationship between patient's gender and severity of the idiopathic clubfoot is yet to be widely established. In a study of 240 infants with idiopathic clubfoot over a period of 8 years, Zionst et al¹⁷ found no significant difference in the severity of deformity due to gender.

In our study, majority of the affected feet with total Pirani scores of 3.5 and above belonged to male patients, while those with scores of 3.0 and less were predominantly those of female patients. Similarly, the median total Pirani score and mean total Pirani score of affected feet of male patients were higher than those of female patients. Irrespective of gender, the degree of severity of deformity was evenly distributed for unilateral cases but not so for bilateral cases. For the bilateral cases, the degree of severity of deformity was proportionately more severe for male patients and evenly distributed for female patients, a relationship which was found not to be statistically significant ($p=0.514$). This observed pattern may be explained by the fact that the bilateral deformity which is known to be associated with a wider range of deformity was found more in the male patients in this study. The fact that the degree of severity for the unilateral clubfoot cases was evenly distributed irrespective of gender lends further credence to the suggestion that laterality rather than gender is associated with any observed pattern of distribution of severity of deformity.

Comment [VS13]: remove

Comment [VS14]: present

CONCLUSION

The higher incidence of idiopathic clubfoot in male patients as well as the predominance of bilateral disease are well documented. However, the existence of any relationship between gender and the severity of the disease is yet to be established. In this study, we did not find any significant relationship between gender and the degree of severity of the disease.

Comment [VS15]: researchers

REFERENCES

1. Kruse LM, Dobbs MB, Gurnett CA. Polygenic threshold model with sex dimorphism in clubfoot inheritance: The Carter effect. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2008; 90: 2688–2694.
2. Solomon L, Warwick D, Selvadurai N. *Appley's System of Orthopaedics and Fractures.* 9th Ed. Hodder Arnold 2010; 591–595.
3. Canale ST, Beaty JH (Eds). *Congenital anomalies of the lower extremities.* In: *Campbell's Operative Orthopaedics.* 11th Ed. Philadelphia. Mosby Elsevier 2007; 1993–2041.
4. Asuquo JE, Abang IE, Anisi C, Urom S, Agweye P, Ngim NE, Okeke N. Descriptive epidemiology and predisposing factors to idiopathic talipes equinovarus in South South Nigeria. *J Public Health Epidemiol.* 2016; 8: 147–151.
5. Anisi CO, Asuquo JE, Abang IE, Eyong ME, Osakwe OG, Ngim NE. The role of Pirani scoring in predicting the frequency of casting and the need for percutaneous Achilles tenotomy in the treatment of idiopathic clubfoot using the Ponseti method. *Paediatr Orthop Relat Sci.* 2017; 3: 55–59.
6. Anisi CO, Asuquo JE, Abang IE. Frequency of percutaneous Achilles tenotomy in the treatment of idiopathic clubfoot using the Ponseti method. *Niger J Med.* 2018; 27: 163–167.
7. Wallander H. Congenital clubfoot. *Acta Orthop* 2010; 81: 1–25, DOI: 10.3109/17453671003619045.
8. Mkandawire M, Kaunda E. Incidence and pattern of congenital talipes equinovarus (clubfoot) at Queen Elizabeth central hospital. *East and Central Afr. J. Surg* 2004; 2: 2–31.
9. Parker SE, Mai CT, Strickland MJ, Olney RS, Rickard R, Marengo L, Wang Y, Hashmi SS, Meyer RE. Multistate study of the epidemiology of clubfoot. *Birth Defects Res. A. Clin. Mol. Teratol.* 2009; 85: 897–907.
10. Ukoha U, Egwu OA, Okafor IJ, Ogugua PC, Udemezue OO, Olisah R, Anyabolu AE. Incidence of congenital talipes equinovarus among children in south east Nigeria. *Int. J. Biol. Med. Res.* 2011; 2: 712–715.
11. Chapman C, Stott NS, Port RV, Nicol RO. Genetics of club foot in Maori and Pacific people. *J Med Genet.* 2000; 37: 680–683.
12. Rebbeck TR, Dietz FR, Murray JC, Buetow KH. A single gene explanation for the probability of having idiopathic talipes equinovarus. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1993; 53: 1051–1063.
13. De Andrade M, Barnholtz JS, Amos CI, Lochmiller C, Scott A, Risman M, Hecht JT. Segregation analysis of idiopathic talipes equinovarus in a Texan population. *Am J Med Genet.* 1998; 79: 97–102.

14. Wang JH, Palmer RM, Chung CS. The role of major gene in clubfoot. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1988; 42: 772–776.
15. Lochmiller C, Johnson D, Scott A, Risman M, Hecht JT. Genetic epidemiology study of idiopathic talipes equinovarus. *Am J Med Genet.* 1988; 79: 90–96.
16. Wynne-Davies R. Genetic and environmental factors in the etiology of talipes equinovarus. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1972; 84: 9–13.
17. Zionst LE, Jew MH, Ebramzadeh E, Sangiorgio SN. The influence of sex and laterality on clubfoot severity. *J Pediatr Orthop* 2017; 37: 129-133.
18. Anisi CO, Abang IE, Asuquo JE, Agweye PU, Osakwe OG. Does laterality of deformity influence the severity of the idiopathic clubfoot? *Niger J Med.* 2019; 28: 148–151.
19. Azarpira MR, Emami MJ, Vosoughi AR, Rahbari K. Factors associated with recurrence of clubfoot treated by the Ponseti method. *World J Clin Cases* 2016; 4(10): 318-322.
20. Wijayasinghe SR, Abeysekera WYM, Dharmaratne TSS. Descriptive epidemiology of congenital clubfoot deformity in Sri Lanka.
21. Byron-Scott R, Sharpe P, Hasler C, Cundy P, Hirte C, Chan A, Scott H, Baghurst P, Haan EA. South Australian population based study of congenital talipes equinovarus. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2005; 19: 227–237.
22. Agarwal A, Agrawal N, Barik S, Gupta N. Are bilateral idiopathic clubfoot more severe than unilateral? A severity and treatment analysis. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)* 2018; 26: 1-2.
23. Carey M, Bower C, Mylyaganam A, Rouse I. Talipes equinovarus in Western Australia. *Pediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* 2003; 17: 187–194.

Comment [VS16]: check the font style

TABLES AND FIGURE

| Variable | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Age (Months) | | |
| 0 – 3 | 80 | 64.5 |
| 4 – 6 | 23 | 18.5 |
| 7 – 12 | 13 | 10.5 |
| 13 – 24 | 8 | 6.5 |
| Total | 124 | 100.0 |
| Gender | | |
| Male | 69 | 55.6 |
| Female | 55 | 44.4 |
| Total | 124 | 100.0 |
| Laterality of Deformity | | |
| Unilateral | | |
| Right | 28 | 22.6 |
| Left | 28 | 22.6 |
| Bilateral | | |
| Total | 68 | 54.8 |
| Total | 124 | 100.0 |

Table I: Distribution of age, gender and laterality of deformity.




Figure I: Distribution of Total Pirani scores across gender at presentation.

| Gender and Laterality of Deformity | Median Total Pirani Score | Mean Total Pirani Score (SD) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Male | | |
| Right | 5.00 | 4.673 (1.3785) |
| Left | 5.50 | 4.837 (1.2926) |
| Female | | |
| Right | 4.50 | 4.036 (1.7158) |
| Left | 3.75 | 4.076 (1.6226) |

Table II: Distribution of Mean and Median Total Pirani scores across gender.

| Gender / Laterality | Severity | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | <u>Less Severe</u> | <u>More Severe</u> |
| Male (Unilateral) | | |
| Right | 10 | 9 |
| Left | 5 | 10 |
| Male (Bilateral) | | |
| Right | 9 | 26 |
| Left | 9 | 26 |
| Female (Unilateral) | | |
| Right | 4 | 5 |
| Left | 8 | 5 |
| Female (Bilateral) | | |
| Right | 15 | 18 |
| Left | 17 | 16 |

Table III: Distribution of severity of deformity across gender.

UNDER PEER REVIEW