

# paper to be reviewed

*by* Sheila A. Bishop

---

**Submission date:** 27-Jun-2018 10:09AM (UTC+0200)

**Submission ID:** 978885535

**File name:** Paper\_to\_be\_reviewed.pdf (509.55K)

**Word count:** 2535

**Character count:** 12031

# MODELLING THE MEAN WAITING TIMES FOR QUEUES IN SELECTED BANKS IN ELDORET TOWN- KENYA

## Abstract

The mathematical study of waiting lines is mainly concerned with queue performance measures where several applications have been drawn in past studies. Among the vast uses and applications of the theory is the queuing system in banking halls which sets in here as the main focus of this study where the theory has been used to solve the problem of long queues as witnessed in banks which leads to resource waste. The main aim of this study was to model waiting times for queues in selected banks within Eldoret town-Kenya. The latter component was put under D/D/1 framework and then its mean derived while the stochastic component was put under the M/M/c framework. Harmonization of the moments of the deterministic and the stochastic components was done to come up with the mean of the overall bank queue traffic delay. Simulation was performed using MATLAB for traffic intensities ranging from 0.1 to 1.9. The results reveal that both deterministic and the stochastic delay components are compatible in modelling waiting time. The models also are applicable to real time bank queue data where upon simulation, both models depict fairly equal waiting times for server utilization factors below 1 and an infinitely increasing delay at rho greater than 1. In conclusion, the models that estimate waiting time were developed and applied on real bank queue data. The models need be implemented by the banks in their systems so that customers are in a position to know the expected waiting time to be served as soon as they get the ticket from the ticket dispenser.

**Key words:** D/D/1, M/M/c, Utilization factor, Simulation.

## 1.0: Introduction

Waiting is one of the most unpleasant experiences of life. Queuing theory deals with delays and queues which are essentials in determining the levels of service in banking halls. They also evaluate the adequacy of service channels and the economic losses that come about as a result of long waiting lines. Quantifying these delays accurately and appropriately in banks is critical for planning design and analysis of teller services. Tellers referred herein are the personnel in the bank and will be represented as servers or service channels. In modern banking, queuing has been automated such that customers arrive and pick ticket numbers from a ticket dispensing machine. Electronic quality management systems were implemented for purposes of instilling order and eliminating or easing/reducing congestion in banks.

1  
37 Models that incorporate both deterministic and stochastic components of queue  
38 performance are very appealing in modelling bank queues since they are applied in a  
39 wide range of traffic intensities as well as to various types of teller services. They simplify  
40 theoretical models with delay terms that are numerically inconsequential. Of the various  
41 queueing models, D/D/1 and M/M /c were used in this study. The D/D/1 model assumed  
42 that the arrivals and departures were uniform and one service channel (teller) existed. This  
43 model is quite intuitive and easily solvable. Using this form of queueing with an arrival  
44 rate, denoted by  $\lambda$  and a service rate, denoted by  $\lambda$ , certain useful values regarding the  
45 consequences of queues were computed. The M/M /c model used implied that the  
46 customers arrived at an intersection in a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$  and were treated in the  
47 order of arrival with inter arrival times following exponential distribution with parameter  $\mu$ .  
48 The service times were treated as independent identically distributed with an arbitrary  
49 distribution. Similarly, several service channels (tellers) were considered in this model.

## 50 2.0: Modelling Waiting Times

### 51 The Mean of Deterministic Delay Model

1  
52 To compute the mean, it is assumed that customer arrivals and departures are uniformly  
53 distributed with rates  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  respectively.

54 To obtain the mean waiting time for the D/D/1 model, we note the following notations.

55  $c_y$  – Cycle time (min).

56  $g_e$  – Effective service time.

57  $g_0$  – Time necessary for the queue to dissipate.

58  $r$  – Effective waiting time on the queue before service.

59  $D(t)$  - Cumulative departures.

60  $\lambda$  – Arrival rate.

61  $A(t)$  – Cumulative arrivals.

62  $\rho$  - Utilization factor

17  
63  $W_{t_1}$  – Deterministic queue delay component.

64  $\pi_n$  – Probability of waiting on the queue.

65  $P_0$  – Steady state probability of having no customers in the system.

66 Such that the duration of  $C_y$  in the bank is given by

$$C_y = r + g$$

$$W_{t_1} = \frac{\lambda r^2}{2 \left(1 - \frac{g_e}{C_y} \rho\right)} \quad 2$$

67 Finally the expected deterministic delay in the bank queue is obtained by dividing  $W_{t_1}$  by the  
68 total number of customers in the in a cycle, that is  $\lambda C_y$  to yield

$$E(W_{t_1}) = \frac{C_y \left(1 - \frac{g_e}{C_y}\right)^2}{2 \left(1 - \frac{g_e}{C_y} \rho\right)} \quad 3$$

69 as the mean of the deterministic component,  $W_{t_1}$ .

### 70 Mean of Stochastic Delay Component

71 To obtain the mean of the stochastic delay component we also note the following notations,

72 We begin with the expected waiting time while on service is given by

$$W_s = 1/\mu \quad 4$$

73 Then proceed to the waiting time on the queue which is obtained as follows

$$E(t) = \int_0^{\infty} t \cdot \pi_w c\mu(1-\rho) e^{-c\mu(1-\rho)t} dt \quad 5$$

$$= \frac{\pi_w c\mu(1-\rho)}{[c\mu(1-\rho)^2]} \int_0^{\infty} y e^{-y} dy \quad 6$$

$$\text{Thus } E(t) = \frac{\pi_w}{c\mu(1-\rho)} = W_q \quad 7$$

$$\therefore E(W_{t_2}) = 1/\mu + \frac{\pi_w}{c\mu(1-\rho)} \quad 8$$

### 74 Mean of the overall delay model

75 To obtain the mean of the overall delay model we sum up the expected waiting times for both  
76 stochastic and deterministic delay model.

$$E(W_t) = \frac{C_y \left(1 - \frac{g_e}{C_y}\right)^2}{2 \left(1 - \frac{g_e}{C_y} \rho\right)} + 1/\mu + \frac{\pi_w}{c\mu(1-\rho)} \quad 9$$

## 77 3.0: Results

78 The developed overall traffic delay model was applied on real bank queue data collected  
79 at the various banks in Eldoret town between 1<sup>st</sup> August and 5<sup>th</sup> August 2016. The

1  
80 intermediate results from the data are given and simulation on the developed models  
81 using MATLAB software is performed for traffic intensities ranging from 0.1 to 1.9.

## 82 Computation of Parameters

83 The average effective deterministic service time is

$$g_e = \frac{1}{5} \left( \frac{420}{6} + \frac{417}{6} + \frac{410}{6} + \frac{406}{6} + \frac{394}{6} \right)$$

$$= 68.23 \text{ sec}$$

84 The average arrival rate is

$$\lambda = \frac{\text{Total arrivals}}{\text{Total number of hours observed}}$$

$$= \frac{2146}{30}$$

$$= 71.5333 \text{ Customers per hour}$$

85 The average service rate is

$$\mu = \frac{\text{Total Departures}}{\text{Total number of hours observed}}$$

$$= \frac{2092}{30}$$

$$= 69.7333 \text{ Customers per hour}$$

86 The utilization factor (probability that a server is busy) is

$$\rho = \frac{\text{Average arrival rate}}{\text{number of servers} * \text{Average service rate}}$$

$$= \frac{71.5333}{3 * 69.7333}$$

87

$$= 0.3419$$

88 The probability that a server is idle is

$$P_0 = \left\{ 1 + \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^1}{1!} + \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^{c-1}}{(c-1)!} + \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^c}{c!} \left[ 1 + (\lambda/c\mu) + (\lambda/c\mu)^2 + \dots \right] \right\}^{-1}$$

$$= \left\{ 1 + 1.0258 + \frac{(1.0258)^2}{2!} + \frac{(1.0258)^3}{3! (1 - 0.3419)} \right\}^{-1}$$

$$= (2.8253)^{-1}$$

$$= 0.3539$$

89 For two servers (c=2)

90 The utilization factor (probability that a server is busy) is

$$\rho = \frac{\text{Average arrival rate}}{\text{number of servers} * \text{Average service rate}}$$

$$= \frac{71.5333}{2 * 69.7333}$$

$$= 0.5129$$

91 The probability that a server is idle is

$$P_0 = \left\{ 1 + \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^1}{1!} + \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^{c-1}}{(c-1)!} + \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^c}{c!} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\lambda}{c\mu} \right) + \left( \frac{\lambda}{c\mu} \right)^2 + \dots \right] \right\}^{-1}$$

$$= \left\{ 1 + 1.0258 + \frac{(1.0258)^2}{2! (1 - 0.5129)} \right\}^{-1}$$


$$= (1 + 1.0258 + 1.0801)^{-1}$$

$$= (3.1059)^{-1}$$

$$= 0.3219$$

92 **4.0 Discussion and conclusion**

93 **4.0.1 Discussion**




94  
95

Figure 1 Diagram representing simulation of deterministic component  $E[W_{t_1}]$  verses  $\rho$

96 From figure 1, it is clear <sup>1</sup> that the deterministic delay model estimates a continuous delay but  
 97 does not accommodate the aspect of randomness when the arrival flows are close to  
 98 capacity  $\rho < 1$ . The model reveals a steady increase in mean delay with a more increase in  
 99 waiting when the flows approach capacity  $\rho > 1$  which consequently implies infinite delays  
 100 in the long run queuing of customers.  
 101

102 **Simulation of  $E(W_{t_2})$**




104 Figure 2 Diagram representing simulation of stochastic component  $E[W_{t_2}]$  verses  $\rho$  with  
105 two servers

106 From figure 2, the stochastic delay model with two servers is also applicable to under  
107 saturated conditions  $\rho < 1$  and estimates delays tending to infinity when the arrival flow  
108 approaches capacity  $\rho > 1$ . However, comparing the delay with the three server model, it  
109 implies an increased delay which is quite natural due to decreased service channels.

110 **Simulation of  $E(W_t)$**

111 We split  $E(W_t)$  into  $EW_{t_1}$  and  $EW_{t_2}$  as described in figure 7 by MATLAB software when  
112 service times and inter arrival times follow exponential distributions with parameters  $\frac{1}{\mu}$  and  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$   
113 respectively.





114

115 Figure 3 Diagram representing simulation of overall model  $E[W_t]$   $E[W_{t1}]$   $E[W_{t2}]$  verses  $\rho$   $\rho$   
 116 with two servers

117 From figure 3 it is clear to note that the stochastic delay model is only applicable to under  
 118 saturated conditions  $\rho < 1$  and estimates infinite delay when the arrival flow approaches  
 119 capacity. However, when arrival flows exceed capacity, oversaturated queues exist and  
 120 continuous delays occur. The deterministic delay model also depicts that <sup>1</sup> estimates a  
 121 continuous delay which is definitely higher than that of a three server queue but it does not  
 122 completely deal with the effect of randomness when the arrival flows are close to capacity.

123 The figure shows that both components of the overall delay model are compatible when the  
 124 utilization factor is equal to 1.0. Therefore the overall delay model is used to bridge the gap  
 125 between the two models. It is important to also note that ultimately the overall model also  
 126 indicates of an increased waiting time which is explained by the reduced number of servers  
 127 and also provides a more realistic point of view for the results in the estimation of delays in  
 128 the bank queue delays for the oversaturated as well as the under saturated conditions is  
 129 predicted without having any discontinuity.

130 **4.0.2: Conclusion**

131 <sup>1</sup> Considering the uniform and random properties of queues in banks, the models for estimating  
 132 deterministic and stochastic delay components of bank queue delays successfully modelled  
 133 waiting times in selected banks in Eldoret town.

134 From the mean waiting time models of stochastic and deterministic delays, the models are  
 135 conveniently applicable on real time bank queue data.

136 To validate the mean waiting time models, the model was applied to real bank queue data  
 137 collected from the various selected banks namely; Kenya Commercial bank, Equity Bank,  
 138 National Bank, Barclays Bank and <sup>1</sup> cooperative Bank for data between Monday 1<sup>st</sup> to Friday  
 139 5<sup>th</sup> August 2016 respectively and simulation was performed for utilization factors ranging  
 140 from 0.1 to 1.9 using MATLAB software simulink functions. The simulation results show  
 141 that when a queue system is not at equilibrium, it indicates continuous delays past the  
 142 equilibrium point i.e.  $\rho > 1$ .

143 **Reference**

144 Agbola A. A & Salawu R.O (2008). Optimizing the use of Information and communication  
 145 technology (ICT) in Nigerian banks, Journal of internet banking and commerce, Vol.  
 146 13, 1, 4 – 15.

147 Agbola & Odunukwe, A.D. (2013). Application of queuing model to customer management  
 148 in the banking system. International Journal of Engineering.

149 Bakari, H.R. (2014). Queuing process and its applications to customer service delivery.  
 150 IJMSI Journal.

151 <sup>10</sup> Banks, Carson, Nelson, and Nicol (2001). Discrete event system simulation, (3<sup>rd</sup> edition).  
 152 London: Prentice Hall international.

153 <sup>1</sup> Beckmann, M. J., McGuire, C. B. and Winsten C. B. (1956). Studies in the Economics in  
 154 Transportation. New Haven, Yale University Press.

155 <sup>7</sup> Darroch, J. N. (1964). On the Traffic-Light Queue. Ann. Math. Statist., 35, 380-388

156 Erlang, A.K <sup>13</sup> (1909) The theory of Probabilities and telephone conversations.

157 <sup>1</sup> Gazis, D. C. (1974). Traffic Science. A Wiley-Intersection Publication, 148-151, USA.

158 <sup>9</sup> Janos, S & Eger (2010). Queuing theory and its application: A personal view. 8<sup>th</sup> International  
 159 conference of Applied Mathematics vol 1, 9 – 30.

160 <sup>6</sup> Kendal D.G (1953). Stochastic Processes occurring in the theory of queues and the analysis  
 161 method of the embedded Markov chain. JSTOR Journal. 8:4, 1– 3.

162

- 163 <sup>1</sup> Kimber, R. and Hollis, E. (1979). Traffic Queues and Delays at Road Junctions. TRRL  
164 Laboratory Report, 909, U.K.
- 165 <sup>4</sup> Lindley D. V. (1952). The theory of queues with a single server. Mathematical proceedings  
166 of the Cambridge philosophical society. 48(2): 277 – 289.
- 167 <sup>1</sup> Liping, F. and Bruce, H. (1999). Delay Variability at Signalized Intersection.  
168 Transportation Research Record 1710, Paper No. 00-0810.
- 169 Little, J. D. C. (1961). Approximate Expected Delays for Several Maneuvers in Poisson  
170 Traffic. Operations Research, 9, 39-52.
- 171 <sup>1</sup> MATLAB Version 7.5.0.342 (R2007b). The language of technical computing (1984-  
172 2007). The MathWorks inc., www.mathworks.com, March, 15–17 2013.
- 173 McNeil, D. R. (1968). A Solution to the Fixed-Cycle Traffic Light Problem for Compound  
174 Poisson Arrivals. J. Appl. Prob. 5, 624-635.
- 175 <sup>8</sup> Molina C. Edward (1927). Application of the theory of probability to telephone trunking  
176 problems. Bell system Technical Journal.
- 177 <sup>5</sup> Pritin Bajpai (2013). Measure of Performance of Queuing models and behavior of customers  
178 in real life applications. International of applied physics and mathematics.
- 179 <sup>1</sup> Tarko, A., Roupail, N. and Akcelik, R. (1993b). Overflow Delay at a Signalized  
180 intersection Approach Influenced by an Upstream Signal: An Analytical  
181 investigation. Transportation Research Record, No. 1398, pp. 82-89.
- 182 Teply, S., Allingham, D. I., Richardson, D. B. and Stephenson, B. W. (1995). Canadian  
183 Capacity Guide for Signalized Intersections, 2nd ed. (S. Teply,ed.), Institute of  
184 Transportation Engineering, District 7, Canada.
- 185 Toshiba et al. (2013). Application of Queuing theory for improvement of bank services 3:4,  
186 1– 3.
- 187 <sup>12</sup> Wayne L Winston (2003). Operations Research Applications and algorithms, 20, 1051–1144.
- 188 Wenny C. and Whitney C (2004). Determining bank teller scheduling using simulation with  
189 changing arrival rates, J.O.M 1–8.
- 190 Yusuf S.A. (2013). Analysis of expected actual waiting time and service delivery (2013).  
191 International Journal of Humanities and Social studies.
- 192 <sup>1</sup> Zukerman, M. (2012). Introduction to Queuing Theory and Stochastic Teletraffic Models,  
193 94-95.

# paper to be reviewed

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

35%

SIMILARITY INDEX

32%

INTERNET SOURCES

13%

PUBLICATIONS

9%

STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

1

issuu.com

Internet Source

26%

2

Submitted to Universidad Estadual Paulista

Student Paper

1%

3

Submitted to Intercollege

Student Paper

1%

4

ibisuva.nl

Internet Source

1%

5

Submitted to Keller Graduate School of Management

Student Paper

1%

6

Huzurbazar. "References", Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics, 11/05/2004

Publication

1%

7

Ohno, K.. "Optimal traffic signal settings-II. A refinement of Webster's method", Transportation Research, 197309

Publication

1%

eprints.uanl.mx

8	Internet Source	1 %
9	Submitted to Cummins College of Engineering for Women, Pune Student Paper	1 %
10	<a href="http://www.thesimguy.com">www.thesimguy.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
11	<a href="http://www.yumpu.com">www.yumpu.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
12	<a href="http://www.becbgk.edu">www.becbgk.edu</a> Internet Source	<1 %
13	<a href="http://alk.tiehallinto.fi">alk.tiehallinto.fi</a> Internet Source	<1 %
14	<a href="http://dspace.lboro.ac.uk">dspace.lboro.ac.uk</a> Internet Source	<1 %
15	<a href="http://tft.eng.usf.edu">tft.eng.usf.edu</a> Internet Source	<1 %
16	Zhe George Zhang, Naishuo Tian. "Analysis on queueing systems with synchronous vacations of partial servers", Performance Evaluation, 2003 Publication	<1 %
17	Rudesindo Núñez-Queija. "NOTE ON THE GI/GI/1 QUEUE WITH LCFS-PR OBSERVED AT ARBITRARY TIMES", Probability in the	<1 %

# Engineering and Informational Sciences, 04/2001

Publication

---

---

Exclude quotes	On
Exclude bibliography	Off

Exclude matches	Off
-----------------	-----