



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_50053
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW OF BAYESIAN ANALYSIS IN ADDITIVE HAZARDS MODEL
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors have intended to develop a literature review on application of Bayesian analysis in additive hazards model. However, it seems necessary to clarify whether the study has been capable of catching all the recent literature on such a subject, due to the allegation that the most recent work was published in 2013 (Chernoukov, 2013). Therefore, it is recommendable to improve the literature review with the presentation of recently published papers on subject.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexandre Ripamonti
Department, University & Country	Ibirapuera University, Brazil