



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_47985
Title of the Manuscript:	Sleep-disorders in children and adolescents
Type of the Article	<u>Review Paper</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors need to rethink the organization of this paper. They are doing a review of sleep disorders in children and adolescents intended for what audience? The abstract needs to be succinct: background, aim, method, results, conclusions. The introduction should be short addressing the fact that this area of medicine/paediatrics is understudied. The method: How was the review done? What databases were consulted? What years? What languages? What inclusion/exclusion criteria? How many may research papers were found? Were review papers used? The findings: What was found in the areas of epidemiology, symptoms, course of symptoms, treatments, outcomes? Discussion: How do these findings compare with other reviews in this field? Which ones are new? Which pertain to which cultures/geographic regions? Which ages? Limitations: What are the limitations of this review? Conclusion: The incontrovertible findings and recommendations based on them.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	English grammar needs edits.	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>MV Seeman</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Toronto, Canada</i>